

On the Construction of Analytic Sequent Calculi for Sub-classical Logics

Ori Lahav

Yoni Zohar

Tel Aviv University

Workshop on Gentzen Systems and Beyond 3
July 2014

Motivation

- Sequent calculi reveal a wide variety of options to define sub-classical logics:
 - Begin with Gentzen's **LK**.
 - Discard some of its (logical) rules.
 - Add other (logical) rules, that are derivable in **LK**.
- The usefulness of the resulting calculus depends on its *analyticity*.

What general conditions guarantee the analyticity of the obtained calculus?

Examples

$$\frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow B, \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \supset B, \Delta}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow B}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \supset B}$$

Intuitionistic logic

$$\frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow B, \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \supset B, \Delta}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow B, \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \supset B, \Delta}$$

Primal logic [Gurevich, Neeman '09]

$$\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A, \Delta}{\Gamma, \neg A \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

⋮

Paraconsistent logic

Pure Sequent Calculi

- *Pure sequent calculi* are propositional sequent calculi that include all usual structural rules (including (*cut*)), and a finite set of **pure logical rules**.
- *Pure logical rules* are logical rules that allow any context [Avron '91].

$$\frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow B, \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \supset B, \Delta} \quad \text{but not} \quad \frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow B}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \supset B}$$

- Rules vs. applications:

$\frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow B, \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \supset B, \Delta}$	$\frac{p_1 \Rightarrow p_2}{\Rightarrow p_1 \supset p_2}$	$\frac{p_1, p_3 \Rightarrow p_4, p_3}{p_1 \Rightarrow p_3 \supset p_4, p_3}$
Application Scheme	Rule	Application

Pure Sequent Calculi

- *Pure sequent calculi* are propositional sequent calculi that include all usual structural rules (including (*cut*)), and a finite set of **pure logical rules**.
- *Pure logical rules* are logical rules that allow any context [Avron '91].

$$\frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow B, \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \supset B, \Delta} \quad \text{but not} \quad \frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow B}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \supset B}$$

- Rules vs. applications:

$\frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow B, \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \supset B, \Delta}$	$\frac{p_1 \Rightarrow p_2}{\Rightarrow p_1 \supset p_2}$	$\frac{p_1, p_3 \Rightarrow p_4, p_3}{p_1 \Rightarrow p_3 \supset p_4, p_3}$
Application Scheme	Rule	Application
	Application	Rule

Analyticity

Definition

A calculus is *analytic* if $\vdash \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta$ implies that there is a derivation of $\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta$ using only *subformulas* of $\Gamma \cup \Delta$.

- If a pure calculus is analytic then it is *decidable*.

Analyticity

Definition

A calculus is *analytic* if $\vdash \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta$ implies that there is a derivation of $\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta$ using only *subformulas* of $\Gamma \cup \Delta$.

- If a pure calculus is analytic then it is *decidable*.

Definition (*k*-subformula)

$$\frac{}{A \preceq_k \neg A} \quad \frac{}{\neg^k A_i \preceq_k A_1 \diamond A_2} \quad \frac{}{A \preceq_k A} \quad \frac{A \preceq_k B \quad B \preceq_k C}{A \preceq_k C}$$

Definition

A calculus is *k-analytic* if $\vdash \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta$ implies that there is a derivation of $\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta$ using only *k-subformulas* of $\Gamma \cup \Delta$.

Basic Criterion for k -Analyticity

- All rules are *k-closed*:
 - The conclusion has the form $\Rightarrow A$ or $A \Rightarrow$
 - The premises consist of k -subformulas of A
- Right and left rules play well together:

For any two contextless applications of the form

$$\frac{s_1 \quad \dots \quad s_n}{\Rightarrow A} \qquad \frac{s'_1 \quad \dots \quad s'_m}{A \Rightarrow}$$

we have

$$s_1, \dots, s_n, s'_1, \dots, s'_m \vdash^{(cut)} \Rightarrow$$

- Generalizes *coherence* (Avron, Lev '01,'05).

Basic Criterion for Analyticity

- The above criterion suffices for various calculi, e.g.:
 - The propositional fragment of **LK**.
 - The calculus for the logic of first degree entailment (FDE).
 - The calculus for Primal logic.
- However, this criterion is **not** necessary.

Example

$$\frac{\cancel{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow B, \Delta}}{\cancel{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \supset B, \Delta}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow B, \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \supset B, \Delta}$$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \supset (B \supset A), \Delta}$$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \supset (B \supset A), \Delta} \text{ and } \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A, \Delta \quad \Gamma, B \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, A \supset B \Rightarrow \Delta} \text{ do not "play well" together.}$$

Example: A 1-analytic Pure Calculus for da Costa's Paraconsistent Logic \mathbf{C}_1 [Avron, Konikowska, Zamansky '12]

$$\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A, \Delta}{\Gamma, \neg A \Rightarrow \Delta} \quad \frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \neg A, \Delta} \quad \frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, \neg\neg A \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A, \Delta \quad \Gamma \Rightarrow \neg A, \Delta}{\Gamma, \neg(A \wedge \neg A) \Rightarrow \Delta} \quad \frac{\Gamma, \neg A \Rightarrow \Delta \quad \Gamma, \neg B \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, \neg(A \wedge B) \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \neg A \Rightarrow \Delta \quad \Gamma, B, \neg B \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, \neg(A \vee B) \Rightarrow \Delta} \quad \frac{\Gamma, A, \neg A \Rightarrow \Delta \quad \Gamma, \neg B \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, \neg(A \vee B) \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow \Delta \quad \Gamma, B, \neg B \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, \neg(A \supset B) \Rightarrow \Delta} \quad \frac{\Gamma, A, \neg A \Rightarrow \Delta \quad \Gamma, \neg B \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, \neg(A \supset B) \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

Analyticity by Construction

Definition (Safe Application)

An application of an **LK** rule is *k-safe* if the context formulas are all *k*-subformulas of the principal formula.

Example (Derivable rules that are 0-safe applications)

$$\frac{p_2, p_1 \Rightarrow p_2}{p_2 \Rightarrow p_1 \supset p_2} \quad \frac{p_1 \Rightarrow p_1}{\Rightarrow p_1 \supset p_1} \quad \frac{p_1 \Rightarrow p_2 \supset p_1}{\Rightarrow p_1 \supset (p_2 \supset p_1)} \quad \frac{p_1 \wedge p_2 \Rightarrow p_1}{\Rightarrow (p_1 \wedge p_2) \supset p_1}$$

Theorem

A calculus whose rules are all *k*-safe applications is *k*-analytic.

- Simple “analyticity preserving transformations” are also useful.
- The same holds for any calculus admitting the basic criterion instead of **LK**.

Example: Paraconsistent Logic

$$\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A, \Delta}{\Gamma, \neg A \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \neg A, \Delta}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, A, B \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, A \wedge B \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A, \Delta \quad \Gamma \Rightarrow B, \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \wedge B, \Delta}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A, \Delta \quad \Gamma, B \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, A \supset B \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow B, \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \supset B, \Delta}$$

Example: Paraconsistent Logic

$$\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A, \Delta}{\Gamma, \neg A \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \neg A, \Delta}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, A, B \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, A \wedge B \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A, \Delta \quad \Gamma \Rightarrow B, \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \wedge B, \Delta}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A, \Delta \quad \Gamma, B \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, A \supset B \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow B, \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \supset B, \Delta}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A, \Delta \quad \Gamma \Rightarrow \neg A, \Delta}{\Gamma, \neg(A \wedge \neg A) \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

\approx

$$\frac{\Gamma, A, \neg A \Rightarrow A \wedge \neg A, \Delta}{\Gamma, A, \neg A, \neg(A \wedge \neg A) \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

Semantics

- Pure calculi can be characterized by *two-valued valuations* [Béziau '01].
- Each pure rule is read as a semantic condition.
- By joining the semantic conditions of all rules in a calculus \mathbf{G} , we obtain the set of *\mathbf{G} -legal* valuations.

Soundness and Completeness

$\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta$ is provable in \mathbf{G} iff every \mathbf{G} -legal valuation is a model of $\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta$.

Stronger Version

$\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta$ is provable in \mathbf{G} *using only formulas of \mathcal{F}* iff every \mathbf{G} -legal valuation *whose domain is \mathcal{F}* is a model of $\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta$.

Semantic Analyticity

Definition

\mathbf{G} is **semantically k -analytic** if every \mathbf{G} -legal **partial** valuation whose domain is closed under k -subformulas can be extended to a full \mathbf{G} -legal valuation.

Theorem

A calculus is k -analytic iff it is semantically k -analytic.

Proof Outline

Theorem

A calculus whose rules are all k -safe applications is k -analytic.

- Suppose that \mathcal{F} is closed under k -subformulas.
- Enumerate all formulas that are not included in \mathcal{F} :

$$A_1 \preceq_k A_2 \preceq_k \dots$$

- **LK** has a trivial “extension procedure” to assign values for all formulas.
- The same procedure applies for any collection of k -safe applications.

Proof Outline

Theorem

A calculus whose rules are all k -safe applications is k -analytic.

- Suppose that \mathcal{F} is closed under k -subformulas.
- Enumerate all formulas that are not included in \mathcal{F} :

$$A_1 \preceq_k A_2 \preceq_k \dots$$

- **LK** has a trivial “extension procedure” to assign values for all formulas.
- The same procedure applies for any collection of k -safe applications.

$$\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \wedge B, \neg A, \neg B, \Delta}{\Gamma, \neg(A \wedge B) \Rightarrow \neg A, \neg B, \Delta}$$

If $\neg A$ and $\neg B$ are false, but $A \wedge B$ is true, then $\neg(A \wedge B)$ must be false.

(In the presence of $(\Rightarrow \wedge)$ and $(\Rightarrow \neg)$, this is equivalent to $\frac{\Gamma, \neg A \Rightarrow \Delta \quad \Gamma, \neg B \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, \neg(A \wedge B) \Rightarrow \Delta}$)

Conclusions and Further Work

- We provided a **general sufficient condition for k -analyticity** in pure calculi.
- We identified a large family of **classically derivable rules** that form analytic calculi.
- This allows one to easily verify analyticity, introduce new analytic calculi, and augment analytic calculi with more useful rules.
- Further work:
 - Cut-elimination
 - Non-pure calculi (context restrictions)
 - First order logics

Thank you!