

# The chromatic number of random Cayley graphs

Noga Alon \*

## Abstract

We consider the typical behaviour of the chromatic number of a random Cayley graph of a given group of order  $n$  with respect to a randomly chosen set of size  $k \leq n/2$ . This behaviour depends on the group: for some groups it is typically 2 for all  $k < 0.99 \log_2 n$ , whereas for some other groups it grows whenever  $k$  grows. The results obtained include a proof that for any large prime  $p$ , and any  $1 \leq k \leq 0.99 \log_3 p$ , the chromatic number of the Cayley graph of  $Z_p$  with respect to a uniform random set of  $k$  generators is, asymptotically almost surely, precisely 3. This strengthens a recent result of Czerwiński. On the other hand, for  $k > \log p$ , the chromatic number is typically at least  $\Omega(\sqrt{k/\log p})$  and for  $k = \Theta(p)$  it is  $\Theta(\frac{p}{\log p})$ .

For some non-abelian groups (like  $SL_2(Z_q)$ ), the chromatic number is, asymptotically almost surely, at least  $k^{\Omega(1)}$  for every  $k$ , whereas for elementary abelian 2-groups of order  $n = 2^t$  and any  $k$  satisfying  $1.001t \leq k \leq 2.999t$  the chromatic number is, asymptotically almost surely, precisely 4. Despite these discrepancies between different groups, it seems plausible to conjecture that for any group of order  $n$  and any  $k \leq n/2$ , the typical chromatic number of the corresponding Cayley graph cannot differ from  $k$  by more than a poly-logarithmic factor in  $n$ .

## 1 Introduction

Let  $B$  be a finite group of order  $n$ . For an integer  $k \leq n/2$ , let  $S$  be a random subset of  $B$  obtained by choosing, randomly, uniformly and independently (with repetitions),  $k$  elements of  $B$ , and by letting  $S$  be the set of these elements and their inverses, without the identity. Thus  $S$  is a set of cardinality at most  $2k$ , and is typically of cardinality at least  $k - O(k^2/n)$ . (Note that some elements  $b$  of the group may be of order 2, and if such a  $b$  lies in  $S$  then  $b = b^{-1}$ .) In this paper we consider the behaviour of the chromatic number of the Cayley graph of  $B$  with respect to  $S$ , that is, the graph whose vertices are all members of  $B$  where  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  are adjacent if  $b_1 \cdot b_2^{-1} \in S$ . We denote this random graph by  $(B, k)$ , and its chromatic number by  $\chi(B, k)$ .

One motivation for studying this problem is the constructions in [6] in which random self complementary Cayley graphs of high chromatic number are used in the investigation of a problem in Information Theory, providing graphs with a big gap between their chromatic number and their so-called Witsenhausen rate—see [6] for more details. Another motivation is the fact that many of the

---

\*Sackler School of Mathematics and Blavatnik School of Computer Science, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv 69978, Israel and Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, New Jersey, 08540, USA. Email: [nogaa@tau.ac.il](mailto:nogaa@tau.ac.il). Research supported in part by an ERC Advanced grant, by a USA-Israeli BSF grant and by NSF grant No. DMS-0835373.

known constructions of expanders, like the ones in [5], [19], [20] are Cayley graphs, the fact that random Cayley graphs with logarithmic degrees over any group are typically expanders [8], and over some groups even a bounded degree suffices [9], and the fact that graphs with strong expansion properties have high chromatic numbers. Yet another reason is the study of an extremal problem of Green regarding sumsets in finite fields, whose investigation leads to the question of estimating the typical behaviour of the chromatic number of random Cayley sum graphs of  $Z_p$ . See [14], [1] for more details.

Our results are asymptotic and we are interested in the case of large  $n$ , where  $k$  may grow with  $n$  or stay constant. As usual, we will say that a property holds asymptotically almost surely (a.a.s., for short), if the probability it holds tends to 1 as  $n$  tends to infinity. The problem of determining the typical asymptotic behaviour of  $\chi(B, k)$  for a general given group  $B$  of order  $n$  and general  $k \leq n/2$  appears to be very difficult, but we do obtain several nontrivial estimates for general groups, as well as more accurate estimates for specific groups.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In the next section we consider general groups, cyclic groups are considered in Section 3 and abelian ones in Section 4. The final Section 5 contains several open problems. Throughout the paper all logarithms are in base 2, unless otherwise specified, and we omit floor and ceiling signs whenever these are not crucial. We generally make no serious attempts to optimize the absolute constants in (most of) our estimates.

## 2 General groups

Note that  $(B, k)$  is regular of degree at most  $2k$ , and hence always  $\chi(B, k) \leq 2k + 1$ .

**Theorem 2.1** *For any group  $B$  of order  $n$  and any  $k \leq n/2$ , the chromatic number  $\chi(B, k)$  satisfies, a.a.s, the following bounds.*

- (i)  $\chi(B, k) \leq O(k/\log k)$ .
- (ii)  $\chi(B, k) \geq \Omega((k/\log n)^{1/2})$ .
- (iii)  $\chi(B, k) \geq \Omega(\frac{k^2}{n \log^2 n})$ .

Note that the bound (ii) is better than (iii) if  $k < cn^{2/3} \log n$  for an appropriate constant  $c$ , and that (i) and (iii) imply that for  $k = \Theta(n)$ ,

$$\Omega\left(\frac{n}{\log^2 n}\right) \leq \chi(B, k) \leq O\left(\frac{n}{\log n}\right).$$

In order to prove the theorem we need several lemmas. The first two supply upper bounds for the chromatic number of sparse or pseudo-random graphs.

**Lemma 2.2** ([4]) *The chromatic number of any graph with maximum degree  $d$  in which every neighbourhood of a vertex spans at most  $d^2/f$  edges, where  $f < d^2$ , is  $O(d/\log f)$ .*

**Lemma 2.3** ([3]) *The chromatic number of any  $d$ -regular graph with all nontrivial eigenvalues bounded in absolute value by  $\lambda$  is at most*

$$O\left(\frac{d - \lambda}{\log\left(\frac{d - \lambda}{\lambda + 1} + 1\right)}\right).$$

The following lemma is proved in [8]. See also [17], [18], [11] for subsequent alternative proofs, providing somewhat sharper estimates

**Lemma 2.4 ([8])** *Let  $G$  be a Cayley graph of a group of order  $n$  with a random set  $S$  of  $k$  generators. Then, a.a.s., every nontrivial eigenvalues of  $G$  is, in absolute value, at most*

$$\lambda = O(\sqrt{k}\sqrt{\log n}).$$

We will also apply the following well known result of Hoffman.

**Lemma 2.5 ([16])** *Let  $G$  be a  $d$ -regular graph on  $n$  vertices in which the smallest (that is, the most negative) eigenvalue is  $\lambda_n$ . Then the maximum size of an independent set in  $G$  is at most  $\frac{-n\lambda_n}{d-\lambda_n}$  and hence its chromatic number is at least  $-\frac{d-\lambda_n}{\lambda_n}$ .*

Call a subset  $D$  of cardinality  $|D| = t$  in a group  $B$  a *subset with many quotients* if the number of distinct elements of the form  $d(d')^{-1}$  with  $d, d' \in D$  is at least  $t^2/5$ . The following simple lemma asserts that any sufficiently large set  $C$  in a group contains a subset with many quotients of size at least  $\lfloor \sqrt{|C|} \rfloor$ . A version of this lemma for abelian groups appears in [6].

**Lemma 2.6** *Any set  $C$  of cardinality  $r > r_0$  in a group contains a subset  $D$  with many quotients of cardinality at least  $\lfloor \sqrt{r} \rfloor$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $C = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_r\}$ , and let  $D = \{c_{i_1}, c_{i_2}, \dots, c_{i_t}\} = \{d_1, d_2, \dots, d_t\}$ , with  $d_j = c_{i_j}$  and  $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_t \leq r$ , be a random subset of  $t$  elements of  $C$ , where  $t$  will be chosen later. As the group may contain elements of order 2 and thus it may be that  $d(d')^{-1} = d'd^{-1}$  for some distinct  $d, d' \in D$ , we will consider only quotients of the form  $d_i d_j^{-1}$  with  $i < j$ . There are  $\binom{t}{2}$  such quotients, but some of them may be equal.

Let  $n_3(D)$  denote the number of ordered triples  $(d_i, d_j, d_k)$  of elements of  $D$  with  $i < j < k$  so that  $d_i(d_j)^{-1} = d_j(d_k)^{-1}$ . Let  $n_4(D)$  denote the number of ordered 4-tuples  $(d_i, d_j, d_k, d_\ell)$  of elements of  $D$  with  $i < j$  and  $k < \ell$ , so that  $d_i(d_j)^{-1} = d_k(d_\ell)^{-1}$ . Similarly, let  $n_3(C)$  and  $n_4(C)$  be defined analogously, with respect to the larger set  $C$ .

It is not difficult to check that the number of distinct quotients of the form  $d_i(d_j)^{-1}$  with  $i < j$ ,  $d_i, d_j \in D$  is at least  $\binom{t}{2} - n_3(D) - \frac{1}{2}n_4(D)$ . Indeed, each group element obtained  $h > 1$  times as a quotient of the above form, contributes at least  $h - 1$  to  $n_3(D) + \frac{1}{2}n_4(D)$ . This is because if we fix a quotient  $d_i(d_j)^{-1} = g$  then any other quotient  $d_p(d_q)^{-1} = g$  contributes to  $n_3$  with  $d_i, d_j$  if  $\{i, j\} \cap \{p, q\} \neq \emptyset$ , and contributes 2 to  $n_4$  with  $d_i, d_j$  if  $\{i, j\} \cap \{p, q\} = \emptyset$ .

We proceed to estimate the expectation of the random variable  $\binom{t}{2} - n_3(D) - \frac{1}{2}n_4(D)$ . The expected values  $E(n_3(D))$  and  $E(n_4(D))$  satisfy

$$E(n_3(D)) = n_3(C) \frac{t(t-1)(t-2)}{r(r-1)(r-2)},$$

and

$$E(n_4(D)) = n_4(C) \frac{t(t-1)(t-2)(t-3)}{r(r-1)(r-2)(r-3)}.$$

Indeed, this follows, by linearity of expectation, from the fact that the probability that a fixed set of 3 members of  $C$  is contained in  $D$  is exactly  $\frac{t(t-1)(t-2)}{r(r-1)(r-2)}$ , and an analogous expression gives the probability that a fixed set of 4 members of  $C$  is a subset of  $D$ .

It is also easy to see that  $n_3(C) \leq \binom{r-1}{2}$ , as there are that many ways to choose  $c_i, c_j \in C$  with  $i < j < r$ , and this determines a unique group element  $g$  so that  $c_i(c_j)^{-1} = c_j g^{-1}$  (which may be some  $c_k$  for  $k > j$ .) Note that here  $j$  is strictly smaller than  $r$ , as  $k$  has to be bigger than  $j$ . A similar argument implies that  $n_4(C) \leq \binom{r}{2}(r-2)$ , as there are  $\binom{r}{2}(r-2)$  ways to choose three distinct elements of  $C$ ,  $(c_i, c_j, c_k)$  with  $i < j$  and this determines a unique group element  $g$  with  $c_i c_j^{-1} = c_k g^{-1}$ . It thus follows, by linearity of expectation, that the expectation of the difference  $\binom{t}{2} - n_3(D) - \frac{1}{2}n_4(D)$  is at least

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{t}{2} - \frac{(r-1)(r-2)}{2} \frac{t(t-1)(t-2)}{r(r-1)(r-2)} - \frac{r(r-1)(r-2)}{4} \frac{t(t-1)(t-2)(t-3)}{r(r-1)(r-2)(r-3)} \\ = \frac{t(t-1)}{2} \left[ 1 - \frac{t-2}{r} - \frac{(t-2)(t-3)}{2(r-3)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Taking  $t = \lfloor \sqrt{r} \rfloor$  we conclude that for a sufficiently large choice of  $r_0$  in the lemma, that ensures that  $t$  is large, the expected number of distinct quotients of elements of  $D$ , which is at least the expectation of  $\binom{t}{2} - n_3(D) - \frac{1}{2}n_4(D)$ , is at least  $(\frac{1}{4} - o(1))t^2 > \frac{1}{5}t^2$ . Thus there exists a  $D$  with many quotients, as needed.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 2.1:**

(i) For, say,  $k < n^{1/4}$  we apply Lemma 2.2. The random graph we consider is a Cayley graph of the group  $B$  with respect to a set  $S$  of cardinality at most  $2k$ . This is an  $|S|$ -regular graph and the number of edges in a neighborhood of each of its vertices is at most the number of ordered triples  $(s_1, s_2, s_3)$  where  $s_i \in S$  for all  $i$  and  $s_1 \cdot s_2 \cdot s_3 = 1$ , where 1 is the identity of  $B$ . The number of such triples in which one of the elements  $s_i$  is equal to another one  $s_j$  or to its inverse is clearly  $O(k)$ . The expected number of such triples in which no element  $s_i$  is equal to another one or to its inverse is at most  $O(n^2(\frac{k}{n})^3) = O(\frac{k^3}{n}) = O(n^{-1/4})$ , as the number of ordered triples  $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  of elements of  $B$  whose product is 1 is at most  $n^2$ , and the probability that all members of such a triple belong to the random set  $S$  is  $O((\frac{k}{n})^3)$ . It thus follows, by Markov's inequality, that a.a.s. the number of edges in a neighborhood of a vertex is at most  $O(k)$ , and the required  $O(k/\log k)$  upper bound for the chromatic number follows from Lemma 2.2

For  $k \geq n^{1/4}$ , we have  $\log k = \Theta(\log n)$ . Here we use the result of [8] quoted as Lemma 2.4 above. As we consider now the range  $k \geq n^{1/4}$ ,  $\lambda = O(\sqrt{k \log n}) < k^{3/4}$ . We can now apply Lemma 2.3. In our case, a.a.s,  $d = \Theta(k)$  and  $\lambda < k^{3/4}$ , providing the required bound and completing the proof of (i).

(ii) The required assertion follows immediately from Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.5.

(iii) Define  $t = \frac{5n \ln n}{k}$  and note that as  $k \leq n/2$  this number is at least  $10 \ln n$ . We claim that a.a.s. the random Cayley graph  $(B, k)$  contains no independent set  $D$  of size  $t$  which forms a set with many quotients. Indeed, the probability that a fixed such set is independent is at most the probability that all  $k$  random choices of the elements in our generating set lie outside the set  $D \cdot D^{-1}$ , whose cardinality is at least  $\frac{t^2}{5}$ . This probability is at most  $(1 - \frac{t^2}{5n})^k \leq e^{-t^2 k / (5n)}$ . As the number of potential sets  $D$  is  $\binom{n}{t} \leq \frac{n^t}{t!}$  we conclude that the probability that there exists such a  $D$  is at most

$$\frac{1}{t!} n^t \cdot e^{-t^2 k / (5n)} = \frac{1}{t!} [n e^{-tk/5n}]^t = \frac{1}{t!}.$$

As  $t \geq 10 \ln n$  this probability is negligible, proving the claim. By Lemma 2.6 this implies that a.a.s. our graph contains no independent set of size  $t^2 = \frac{25n^2 \ln^2 n}{k^2}$  supplying the desired lower bound for the chromatic number.  $\square$

We conclude this section by observing that for some groups  $B$ , the typical chromatic number  $\chi(B, k)$  grows with  $k$  even if  $k$  is very small as a function of the size  $n$  of the group. As we show later, this is not the case if  $B$  is abelian.

**Proposition 2.7** *There exists an absolute constant  $\delta > 0$  so that if  $B$  is the group  $SL_2(Z_q)$  then for any  $k$ ,  $\chi(B, k) \geq \Omega(k^\delta)$  a.a.s.*

The proof is an immediate consequence of Lemma 2.5 and the following result of Bourgain and Gamburd.

**Lemma 2.8** ([9]) *There exists an absolute constant  $\delta > 0$  so that if  $B$  is the group  $SL_2(Z_q)$  then for any  $k$ , every nontrivial eigenvalue of the random Cayley graph  $(B, k)$  is, in absolute value, at most  $k^{1-\delta}$  a.a.s.*

## 3 Cyclic groups

### 3.1 Groups of prime order

A recent result of Czerwiński [10] implies that for any prime  $p$  and for  $k \leq (\frac{\log p}{\log \log p})^{1/2}$ ,  $\chi(Z_p, k) = 3$  a.a.s. It turns out that this holds for a wider range of  $k$  including all  $k \leq (1 - o(1)) \log_3 p$ . This is proved in Theorem 3.2 below. Note that by Theorem 2.1, part (ii), once  $k > C \log p$  for some large constant  $C$ , the chromatic number exceeds 3 (and is at least  $\Omega(\sqrt{C})$ ) a.a.s.

The main tool in the proof of Theorem 3.2 is the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1** *Let  $p$  be an odd prime, let  $\delta, \mu$  be positive reals satisfying  $1 > \delta > 2\mu > 0$  and let  $I$  be a cyclic interval in  $Z_p$  of size  $|I| = \delta p$ . Let  $A \subset Z_p$  be an arbitrary subset of  $Z_p$ , and let  $x$  be a uniformly chosen random element of  $Z_p$ . Define  $A' = \{a \in A : xa \in I\}$ . Then the probability that the size of  $A'$  is smaller than  $(\delta - 2\mu)|A|$  satisfies*

$$Pr(|A'| < (\delta - 2\mu)|A|) \leq \left\lceil \frac{1}{\mu} \right\rceil \frac{(\delta - \mu)(1 - \delta + \mu)|A|}{\mu^2 |A|^2} < \frac{2\delta(1 - \delta + \mu)}{\mu^3 |A|}.$$

**Proof.** We apply the second moment method, a similar application appears in [7] and in [2]. Put  $r = \lceil \frac{1}{\mu} \rceil$  and let  $L = \{J_1, J_2, \dots, J_r\}$  be a family of cyclic intervals in  $Z_p$ , each of size  $(\delta - \mu)p$ , so that any cyclic interval  $I$  of size  $\delta p$  fully contains at least one  $J_i$ . It is clear that such a set of intervals  $J_i$  exists, simply choose their leftmost points with (nearly) equal spacing in  $Z_p$ . Fix an interval  $J$  in  $L$ , and let  $y$  be another random uniform member of  $Z_p$ , independent of  $x$ . For each  $a \in A$ , put  $z_a = ax + y$ , and let  $Z_a$  be the indicator random variable whose value is 1 if and only if  $z_a \in J$ . Define also  $Z = \sum_{a \in A} Z_a$ . Note that each  $z_a$  is uniformly distributed in  $Z_p$  and hence the expectation of  $Z_a$  is exactly  $\delta - \mu$ . Moreover, crucially, for each two distinct  $a, a' \in A$ , the ordered pair  $(z_a, z_{a'})$  is uniformly distributed in  $Z_p^2$ , implying that the random variables  $\{Z_a : a \in A\}$  are pairwise independent. It follows that the expectation of  $Z = \sum_{a \in A} Z_a$  is  $(\delta - \mu)|A|$ , and its variance is  $(\delta - \mu)(1 - \delta + \mu)|A|$ . By Chebyshev's Inequality, the probability that the value of  $Z$  deviates from its expectation by at least  $\mu|A|$  is at most

$$\frac{\text{Var}[Z]}{\mu^2|A|^2} = \frac{(\delta - \mu)(1 - \delta + \mu)|A|}{\mu^2|A|^2}.$$

Therefore, the probability that there exists an interval  $J$  in  $L$  which contains less than  $(\delta - 2\mu)|A|$  elements  $z_a$  is at most  $r$  times the above bound. It follows that with probability at least

$$P = 1 - r \frac{(\delta - \mu)(1 - \delta + \mu)|A|}{\mu^2|A|^2}$$

(over the choices of  $x$  and  $y$ ) every interval in  $L$  contains at least  $(\delta - 2\mu)|A|$  elements  $z_a$ , and hence there is a fixed  $y$  so that for this  $y$ , as  $x$  is chosen at random, the probability that every interval in  $L$  contains at least that many numbers  $z_a$  is at least  $P$ . However, by the construction of the family  $L$ , the interval  $I + y$  (whose length is  $\delta p$ ) fully contains one of the intervals in  $L$ , and hence with the above probability it contains at least  $(\delta - 2\mu)|A|$  elements of the form  $z_a = ax + y$ , implying that  $I$  contains that many elements  $ax$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2** *For any fixed  $\epsilon > 0$ , if  $p$  is a prime and  $1 \leq k \leq (1 - \epsilon) \log_3 p$  then the chromatic number  $\chi(Z_p, k)$  is, a.a.s., exactly 3.*

**Proof.** As the order of each nonzero member of  $Z_p$  is  $p$ , which is odd, the chromatic number is at least 3. To prove the upper bound, let  $S = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$  with  $k \leq (1 - \epsilon) \log_3 p$  be a sequence of random elements of  $Z_p$ , and consider the Cayley graph of  $Z_p$  with respect to  $S \cup (-S)$ . Let  $I = \{[p/3], \dots, [2p/3]\}$  be the interval consisting of (roughly) the third middle of  $Z_p$ . Note that its size is  $\delta p$  where  $\delta = \frac{1}{3} + \Theta(1/p)$  and the  $\Theta(1/p)$  term is positive for  $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$  and is negative for  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ .

**Claim:** A.a.s. there exists an  $a \in Z_p$  so that  $ax_i \in I$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq k$ .

**Proof of Claim:** Let  $\mu$  be a fixed real satisfying  $\delta > 2\mu$  (its exact value will be chosen later). Put  $A_0 = Z_p$  and for each  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , define  $A_i = \{a \in A_{i-1}, ax_i \in I\}$ . By Lemma 3.1,  $|A_i| \geq (\delta - 2\mu)|A_{i-1}|$

with probability at least  $1 - \frac{2\delta(1-\delta+\mu)}{\mu^3|A_{i-1}|}$ . Therefore, with probability at least

$$1 - \frac{2\delta(1-\delta+\mu)}{\mu^3p} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{(\delta-2\mu)^i} = 1 - \frac{2\delta(1-\delta+\mu)}{\mu^3p} \left[ \frac{1}{(\delta-2\mu)^k} - 1 \right] / \left[ \frac{1}{\delta-2\mu} - 1 \right] \geq 1 - \frac{2\delta}{\mu^3p(\delta-2\mu)^{k-1}},$$

we have  $|A_i| \geq (\delta-2\mu)^i p$  for all  $i$ . In our case  $\delta \geq \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{3p}$ . By choosing, say,  $\mu = \frac{\epsilon}{20}$  this implies that with probability at least  $1 - p^{-\Omega(\epsilon)}$  the set  $A_k$  is nonempty. Any  $a \in A_k$  satisfies the assertion of the claim.  $\square$

Having proved the claim we can now complete the proof of the theorem. Cover  $Z_p$  by three pairwise disjoint intervals  $I_1, I_2, I_3$ , each having at most  $\lceil p/3 \rceil$  elements, and color any  $z \in Z_p$  by the index  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 3$  such that in  $Z_p$ ,  $az \in I_i$ . It is easy to check that this is a proper coloring. Indeed, if  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  have the same color then  $az_1$  and  $az_2$  lie in the same interval  $I_j$  and thus differ in  $Z_p$  by at most  $\lceil p/3 \rceil - 1 = \lfloor p/3 \rfloor$ . Therefore their difference cannot be of the form  $ax_i$  or  $-ax_i$  for some  $i$ , as all these numbers lie in  $I = \{\lceil p/3 \rceil, \dots, \lfloor 2p/3 \rfloor\}$ .  $\square$

### Remarks:

- The proof above works for elementary abelian  $p$ -groups  $B = Z_p^m$  by a simple modification, showing that for any odd prime  $p$ , whenever  $k$  does not exceed  $c \log |B|$  for an absolute positive constant  $c$ , then  $\chi(B, k) = 3$  a.a.s.
- For two integers  $a \geq 2b$ , an  $(a, b)$ -coloring of a graph  $G = (V, E)$  is a mapping  $f : V \mapsto \{0, 1, \dots, a-1\}$  so that for every edge  $uv \in E$ ,  $b \leq |f(u) - f(v)| \leq a-b$ . The circular chromatic number  $\chi_c(G)$  of  $G$  is the minimum possible ratio  $a/b$  so that there is an  $(a, b)$ -coloring of  $G$ . See [22] for a survey on circular coloring. It is known that for any graph  $G$ ,  $\lceil \chi_c(G) \rceil = \chi(G)$ . The proof above can be easily modified to show that for any positive  $\mu$  there is a positive  $c = c(\mu)$  so that for any large prime  $p$ , if  $k \leq c \log p$  then the circular chromatic number of a random Cayley graph of  $Z_p$  with respect to  $k$  randomly chosen generators is, asymptotically almost surely, at most  $2 + \mu$ .

By a similar reasoning, we can prove the following.

**Theorem 3.3** *For all  $k$ ,  $1 < k \leq p/2$ ,  $\chi(Z_p, k) \leq O(1 + \frac{k}{\log p})$  a.a.s.*

**Proof.** Observe, first, that the statement for  $k < 10 \log p$ , say, follows from the one for  $k = 10 \log p$  proven below, and that for  $k \geq p^{0.1}$  the statement follows from Theorem 2.1, part (i). We thus may and will assume that  $10 \log p \leq k \leq p^{0.1}$ .

Put  $k = g \log p$ , and let  $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$  be a random sequence of elements of  $Z_p$ . Note that  $10 \leq g < p^{0.1}/\log p$ . Define  $I$  to be the following interval in  $Z_p$ ,

$$I = \left\{ \left\lceil \frac{p}{10g} \right\rceil, \left\lceil \frac{p}{10g} \right\rceil + 1, \dots, p - \left\lceil \frac{p}{10g} \right\rceil \right\}.$$

Thus  $|I| = \delta p$  where  $\delta = 1 - \frac{2}{10g} + \Theta(\frac{1}{p})$ . Put  $\mu = \frac{1}{10g}$ , then for large  $p$ ,

$$\delta - 2\mu = 1 - \frac{4}{10g} + \Theta(\frac{1}{p}) \geq 1 - \frac{1}{2g}.$$

As in the previous proof, we claim that a.a.s. there exists an  $a \in Z_p$  so that  $ax_i \in I$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . To prove this claim define, as before,  $A_0 = Z_p$  and  $A_i = \{a \in A_{i-1}, ax_i \in I\}$ . Thus  $|A_i| \geq (\delta - 2\mu)^i p$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq k$  with probability at least

$$1 - \frac{2\delta}{\mu^3 p (\delta - 2\mu)^{k-1}}.$$

In our case  $\mu^3 \geq p^{-0.3}$  and  $(\delta - 2\mu)^{k-1} \geq p^{-2/3}$ , implying that with probability at least  $1 - p^{-\Omega(1)}$   $A_k$  is nonempty, providing the existence of the claimed  $a$ .

Once we have the claimed  $a$  it is easy to define a proper coloring by  $O(g)$  colors. Indeed, split  $Z_p$  into, say,  $20g$  nearly equal pairwise disjoint intervals and color each  $z \in Z_p$  by the index of the interval containing  $az$ . If two elements  $z, z'$  have the same color then  $az, az'$  lie in the same interval, and hence the difference between these two lies in  $[-\frac{p}{20g}, \frac{p}{20g}]$  and therefore cannot be an  $ax_i$  or  $-ax_i$ , as all these lie in  $I$ . This shows that a.a.s.  $\chi(Z_p, k) \leq 20g \leq O(k/\log p)$ , completing the proof.  $\square$

For  $k = \Theta(p)$  the above estimate is tight up to a constant factor, as shown by the following, which is essentially proved in [15].

**Proposition 3.4** *For any constant  $1/2 \geq c_1 > 0$  there are two constants  $b_1, b_2 > 0$  so that for  $k = \lfloor c_1 p \rfloor$ , a.a.s.,  $b_1 \frac{p}{\log p} \leq \chi(Z_p, k) \leq b_2 \frac{p}{\log p}$ .*

The upper bound is proved in Theorem 2.1. The lower bound follows from the result of Green [15] who showed that the maximum size of an independent set in the relevant Cayley graph is, a.a.s.,  $O(\log p)$ . Note that Green's proof actually deals with Cayley sum-graphs, rather than Cayley graphs, but the proof for Cayley graphs is analogous. Note also that he only deals with the case  $k = p/2$ , but his argument carries over to all admissible values of  $c_1$ . We omit the details.

## 3.2 General cyclic groups

The probabilistic proof in the previous section can be extended to general cyclic groups, by a more careful computation of the variance. As before, the main idea is showing that for a logarithmic number of random elements  $x_i$  of  $Z_n$ , with high probability there is a multiplier  $a$  so that  $ax_i$  lies in the middle third of  $Z_n$  for all  $i$ . This is done by proving the analog of Lemma 3.1 for  $Z_n$ , but the computation of the variance here requires some work. Luckily this has already been done in [7], and hence we will simply quote and apply a result from that paper.

**Lemma 3.5 ([7], Corollary 4.1)** *For every fixed  $\alpha > 0$  and all  $r > r_0(\alpha)$  the following holds. Let  $A$  be a set of  $r$  elements in the one dimensional torus  $T = R/Z$ , let  $N$  be a large integer, and let  $(xA + y)(\text{mod } 1)$  be a random set of  $T$ , where  $x$  is a uniform random integer in  $\{1, 2, \dots, N\}$  and  $y$*

is a random real in  $T$ . Let  $I$  be a fixed interval of length  $\beta$  in  $T$ , and let the random variable  $Y$  give the cardinality of  $(xA + y) \cap I$ . Then the expectation of  $Y$  is  $\beta r$ , and its variance, for all sufficiently large  $N$ , is at most  $r^{1+\alpha} \beta^{1-\alpha}$ .

We apply the lemma where the set  $A$  consists of all elements of the form  $\frac{a}{n}$  for integers  $1 \leq a \leq n$ . These elements represent the members of the cyclic group  $Z_n$ . As in the proof of Lemma 3.1 we can, given  $1 > \delta > 2\mu > 0$ , define a family of  $\lceil \frac{1}{\mu} \rceil$  intervals in  $T$ , each of length  $\delta - \mu$ , so that any interval (with arbitrary real endpoints) in  $T$  of length  $\delta$  fully contains one of these intervals. We can then repeat the proof of the lemma, replacing the expression for the variance by the estimate given in Lemma 3.5, noting that in our case, if  $N$  is any multiple of  $n$ , then the choice of a random uniform integer in  $\{1, 2, \dots, N\}$  is equivalent to a uniform choice in  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , as we only multiply this number by fractions of the form  $a/n$  modulo 1. Interpreting the obtained elements as members of  $Z_n$ , this gives the following.

**Lemma 3.6** *For any positive  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $1 > \delta > 2\mu > 0$  and any  $n > r > r_0(\alpha)$  the following holds. Let  $I$  be a cyclic interval in  $Z_n$  of size  $|I| = \delta n$ . Let  $A \subset Z_n$  be an arbitrary subset of  $r > r_0$  elements of  $Z_n$ , and let  $x$  be a uniformly chosen random element of  $Z_n$ . Define  $A' = \{a \in A : xa \in I\}$  (where the product is computed in  $Z_n$ ). Then the probability that the size of  $A'$  is smaller than  $(\delta - 2\mu)|A|$  satisfies*

$$\Pr(|A'| < (\delta - 2\mu)|A|) \leq \lceil \frac{1}{\mu} \rceil \frac{(\delta - \mu)^{1-\alpha} r^{1+\alpha}}{\mu^2 r^2} < \frac{2}{\mu^3 r^{1-\alpha}}.$$

The above lemma suffices to prove the following analogs of Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.3 with no essential change in the proofs, besides the obvious minor modifications required in the computation. Note that in the proofs Lemma 3.6 is applied to sets  $A_i$  each of which is of cardinality at least  $n^{\Omega(\epsilon)} > r_0$ . We omit the details.

**Theorem 3.7** *For any fixed  $\epsilon > 0$ , if  $n$  is an integer and  $1 \leq k \leq (1 - \epsilon) \log_3 n$  then the chromatic number  $\chi(Z_n, k)$  is, a.a.s., at most 3.*

**Theorem 3.8** *For all  $k$ ,  $1 < k \leq n/2$ ,  $\chi(Z_n, k) \leq O(1 + \frac{k}{\log n})$  a.a.s.*

The analog of Proposition 3.4 also holds for any cyclic group  $Z_n$ , where the lower bound is (essentially) proved in [15], and the upper bound follows from Theorem 2.1.

## 4 Abelian groups

### 4.1 Elementary abelian 2-groups

When  $B = Z_2^t$  is an elementary abelian 2-group of order  $n = 2^t$ , and  $k \leq 2.999t$  we can determine the typical chromatic number of  $(Z_2^t, k)$  accurately. This is described in the following theorem, in which  $\omega(1)$  denotes any positive function that grows to infinity, arbitrarily slowly, with  $t$ .

**Theorem 4.1** For  $B = Z_2^t$  the following hold.

(i) If  $k \leq t - \omega(1)$  then  $\chi(Z_2^t, k) = 2$  a.a.s.

(ii) If  $t + \omega(1) \leq k \leq 3t - \omega(1)\sqrt{t}$  then  $\chi(Z_2^t, k) = 4$  a.a.s.

(iii) If  $k = t + \Theta(1)$  then the probability that  $\chi(Z_2^t, k) = 2$  as well as the probability that  $\chi(Z_2^t, k) = 4$  are both bounded away from 0.

Note that the chromatic number of  $(Z_2^t, k)$  above is never 3. Indeed, a somewhat surprising known result of Payan asserts that no Cayley graph of  $Z_2^t$  can have chromatic number 3.

**Lemma 4.2 (Payan [21])** *If the chromatic number of a Cayley graph of an elementary abelian 2-group is at least 3, then it is at least 4.*

Although the result sounds surprising, its elegant proof is not very difficult. It proceeds by showing that if such a graph contains an odd cycle, then it contains the graph of an even dimensional discrete cube together with additional edges connecting every pair of antipodal vertices, and by proving that the chromatic number of each of these graphs is 4, as they contain a so called generalized Mycielski graph. See [21] for more details.

It is not difficult to use Edmonds's well known result about covering matroids by bases (see [13]) in order to show that a random set of  $2t$  elements of  $Z_2^t$  can be partitioned, a.a.s., into two linear bases. This can be used to show that for  $k = 2t$  the chromatic number of  $(Z_2^t, k)$  is, a.a.s., at most 4. In order to deal with somewhat higher values of  $k$  we prove the following.

**Lemma 4.3** *Let  $S$  be a random sequence of at most  $3t - \omega(1)\sqrt{t}$  elements of  $Z_2^t$ . Then, a.a.s.,  $S$  can be partitioned into two disjoint sets  $S_1, S_2$  so that  $S_2$  is linearly independent and  $S_1$  contains no subset of odd cardinality whose sum is the 0 vector.*

**Proof.** Let  $S = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k)$ , where  $k = 3t - g\sqrt{t}$ , and  $g$  grows arbitrarily slowly to infinity as  $t$  grows to infinity, be a random sequence of elements of  $Z_2^t$ . Starting with both  $S_1, S_2$  empty, examine these elements one by one. Whenever an  $s_i$  is not a sum of an even number of previous vectors placed already in  $S_1$ , add it to  $S_1$ . Else, put it in  $S_2$ . Note that by definition, the set  $S_1$  produced at the end of this process will not contain any subset of odd cardinality with sum 0. We proceed to show that a.a.s. the process ends with  $S_2$  of cardinality smaller than  $t - (g/5)\sqrt{t}$  which is linearly independent.

During the process of producing  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  let us also produce a linear basis of  $S_1$  consisting of all elements that when they are added to  $S_1$  increase its rank. Thus, the basis consists of all members of  $S_1$  which are not linear combinations of previous members of  $S_1$ . When exposing a new element of  $S$ , let us first examine only whether or not it is a linear combination of the current basis of  $S_1$ . If so, it is clearly a uniform linear combination, and let us next examine if it is a sum of an odd number of basis elements, or an even number (each of these events happens with probability  $1/2$ ). If it is a sum of an even number-it is thrown into  $S_2$ . Note that at this point it is a uniform sum of an even number

of these basis elements, and we will expose the actual sum only once we consider  $S_2$ . If it is a sum of an odd number of the basis elements, it is added to  $S_1$ .

For any positive  $i$ , the probability that  $s_i$  is a linear combination of the previous elements  $s_1, \dots, s_{i-1}$  is at most  $2^{i-1-t}$ , and hence a.a.s. all the first, say,  $t - g$  members of  $S$  are placed in  $S_1$ . After that, at most  $g$  elements will be added to  $S_1$  while increasing its rank. However, at least  $k - t$  times we will have an element that is a linear combination of the previous basis elements of  $S_1$ , and whenever such a random element is exposed, it is a sum of an odd number of such elements with probability exactly  $1/2$ . Therefore, the number of extra elements added to  $S_1$  in that part of the process is a binomial random variable with parameters  $k - t$  and  $1/2$  and hence a.a.s. its value is at least, say,  $(k - t)/2 - (g/4)\sqrt{t}$ , leaving at most  $t - (g/4)\sqrt{t} + g < t - (g/5)\sqrt{t}$  elements for  $S_2$ . Note that  $S_1$  contains a basis and additional elements each of which is a sum of an odd number of basis elements, and it is therefore clear that no sum of an odd number of members of  $S_1$  can be the zero vector (as altogether such a sum is a sum of an odd number of basis elements).

What about  $S_2$ ? It consists of at most  $t - (g/5)\sqrt{t}$  elements, and each of them is a sum of an even number of the basis elements of  $S_1$ . We also know that each such element is a uniform linear combination of an even number of members from some prefix consisting of at least  $t - g$  basis elements of  $S_1$ . This means that each member of  $S_2$  is uniformly distributed over a set of at least  $2^{t-g-1}$  vectors (all combinations of an even number of those basis elements). However, this implies that the probability that some given fixed subset of elements of  $S_2$  has sum 0 is at most  $2^{-(t-g-1)}$ , since we can expose all members of this subset but the last one, and then the last one still has at least  $2^{t-g-1}$  possibilities and at most one of them can make the sum 0. As there are only at most  $2^{t-(g/5)\sqrt{t}}$  subsets of  $S_2$  this implies that the probability that one of them adds to 0 is at most  $2^{t-(g/5)\sqrt{t}} \cdot 2^{-(t-g-1)}$ , which is negligible. This shows that a.a.s.  $S_2$  is linearly independent, completing the proof.  $\square$

### Proof of Theorem 4.1:

(i) Put  $k = t - h$  with  $h = \omega(1)$ . The probability that all  $k$  vectors in  $S$  are linearly independent is exactly  $\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (1 - \frac{1}{2^{t-i}})$ , as each term  $(1 - \frac{1}{2^{t-i}})$  is exactly the conditional probability that vector number  $i + 1$  does not lie in the span of the previous ones assuming all previous ones are linearly independent. This product is bigger than  $1 - \frac{1}{2^{t-k}} = 1 - \frac{1}{2^h}$ , showing that a.a.s all vectors in  $S$  are linearly independent. Therefore, a.a.s. no nontrivial linear combination of members of  $S$  is 0, and in particular no sum of an odd number of members of  $S$  is 0. Since an odd cycle in the Cayley graph  $(Z_2^t, S)$  is exactly a sum of an odd number of members of  $S$  that add to 0 this shows that a.a.s.  $(Z_2^t, k)$  contains no odd cycle and is thus bipartite. This proves (i).

(ii) By the obvious monotonicity it suffices to show that for  $k = t + 2h$ , with  $h = \omega(1)$ ,  $\chi(Z_2^t, k) \geq 4$  a.a.s and that  $\chi(Z_2^t, 3t - \omega(1)\sqrt{t}) \leq 4$  a.a.s.

To prove that a.a.s.  $\chi(Z_2^t, t + 2h) \geq 4$  observe, first, that a.a.s, the first  $t + h$  members of  $S$  span  $Z_2^t$ , as the probability they do not is at most  $2^t \cdot 2^{-(t+h)} = 2^{-h}$  since if they do not span  $Z_2^t$  all should be orthogonal to some vector in it, and the probability of being orthogonal to a fixed nonzero vector is exactly  $1/2$ . Assuming this is the case, fix a basis among the first  $t + h$  members of  $S$ , and expose

the last  $h$  vectors in  $S$ . Each of them is the sum of an even number of the basis elements we fixed with probability exactly  $1/2$ . If it is, then this generates an odd cycle in the graph. The probability this fails to happen is at most  $2^{-h}$ . This shows that a.a.s. the graph contains an odd cycle, and hence by Lemma 4.2 its chromatic number is at least 4.

To show that a.a.s.  $\chi(Z_2^t, 3t - \omega(1)\sqrt{t})$  is at most 4 let  $S$  be a random sequence of elements of  $Z_2^t$ ,  $|S| = 3t - \omega(1)\sqrt{t}$ . Apply Lemma 4.3 to partition  $S$  into two sets  $S_1, S_2$  as in the lemma. Such a partition exists a.a.s., and it provides a partition of the set of edges of the Cayley graph into two bipartite graphs, implying the assertion of (ii).

(iii) For  $k = t - h$ ,  $h = O(1)$  nonnegative, the probability that the first  $t - h - 1$  vectors in  $S$  are linearly independent is bounded away from zero, and then the conditional probability that the last vector is a sum of an even number of them, creating an odd cycle, is at least  $2^{-h-2}$  which is bounded away from zero. By Lemma 4.2 if this happens then the chromatic number is at least 4.

Similarly for  $k = t + h$ ,  $h = O(1)$  positive, the probability that the first  $t$  vectors in  $S$  form a basis is bounded away from zero, and then the conditional probability that each of the last  $h$  vectors is a sum of an odd number of the basis elements is at least  $2^{-h}$ , which is bounded away from zero. If this happens, then there is no odd cycle and the graph is 2-colorable. Monotonicity thus completes the proof.  $\square$

For bigger values of  $k$  the situation is less clear. If  $k \geq 2^{\Omega(t)}$  then by Theorem 2.1, part (i) we know that  $\chi(Z_2^t, k) \leq O(\frac{k}{\log k})$  a.a.s., and by Theorem 2.1, parts (ii) and (ii) we get some lower bounds. Note that for  $k = 2^{ct}$  with  $0 < c < 1$  the gap between the upper and lower bounds is large. For smaller values of  $k$ , say,  $2.99t < k < 2^{o(t)}$ , we can show that  $\chi(Z_2^t, k) \leq O(k/t)$  as follows. Put  $p = \lceil \log_2(k/t) \rceil + 2$ , and consider only the vectors in  $S$  whose first  $p$  coordinates are all 0. A.a.s. their number is smaller than, say,  $t/2$ , which is much smaller than their length (as  $p = o(t)$ ). Thus a.a.s. they are linearly independent and the Cayley graph in which the only edges correspond to these elements has chromatic number 2. The Cayley graph corresponding to all other edges (arising from the members of  $S$  with nonzero values in the first  $p$  coordinates) can be trivially colored by  $2^p$  colors- simply color each vertex by the vector of its first  $p$ -coordinates. The product coloring gives a proper coloring of our graph with at most  $2^p \cdot 2 = O(k/t)$  colors.

We have thus proved the following simple proposition.

**Proposition 4.4** *For all  $t < k \leq 2^{t-1}$ ,  $\chi(Z_2^t, k) \leq O(k/t)$  a.a.s.*

For very large values of  $k$  one can get a sharper estimate, using the results of Green [15]. Indeed, these results give the following.

**Proposition 4.5** *For every  $c$ ,  $0 < c \leq 1/2$  there are  $b_1 = b_1(c), b_2 = b_2(c) > 0$  so that for  $n = 2^t$  and  $k = cn = c2^t$ , a.a.s.*

$$b_1 \frac{n}{\log n \log \log n} \leq \chi(Z_2^t, k) \leq b_2 \frac{n}{\log n \log \log n}.$$

Indeed Green proves in [15] that for  $c = 1/2$  the largest independent set in  $(Z_2^t, c2^t)$  is, a.a.s, of size  $\Theta(\log n \log \log n)$ , providing the lower bound for this case. Moreover, his proof shows that there

is such an independent set consisting of all nonzero elements of a linear subspace, and we can thus color by the cosets of this subspace. His proof works essentially as it is for all other values of  $c$  which are bounded away from 0. Note that he considers Cayley sum graphs, but for  $Z_2^t$  the definitions of Cayley sum-graphs and Cayley graphs coincide.

## 4.2 General abelian Groups

For general abelian groups, it is not difficult to see that if  $k$  is small with respect to  $n$ , then the chromatic number is typically at most 3.

**Theorem 4.6** *For any abelian group  $B$  of size  $n$  and any  $k \leq \frac{1}{4} \log \log n$ , the chromatic number  $\chi(B, k)$  satisfies  $\chi(B, k) \leq 3$  a.a.s.*

**Proof.** Let  $B = Z_{n_1} \oplus Z_{n_2} \oplus \dots \oplus Z_{n_r}$  be a general abelian group of order  $n = n_1 n_2 \dots n_r$ . Let  $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_k\}$  be a random subset, where  $s_i = (s_{i1}, s_{i2}, \dots, s_{ir})$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Note that each  $s_{ij}$  is a random uniform element of  $Z_{n_j}$ .

There is a natural graph-homomorphism from the Cayley graph  $(B, k)$  to the Cayley graph  $(Z_{n_j}, k)$ , mapping each vertex to its  $j$ -th coordinate. Thus, the chromatic number of  $(B, k)$  is at most that of  $(Z_{n_j}, k)$  for every  $j$  (where here we define the chromatic number to be infinite if some generator vanishes in  $Z_{n_j}$ .)

If for some  $j$   $|Z_{n_j}| \geq \log n$ , then the result follows from Theorem 3.7. Else,  $r \geq \frac{\log n}{\log \log n}$ . For a fixed  $j$ , the probability that all values  $s_{ij}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$  fall into the open middle third of  $Z_{n_i}$  ensuring chromatic number at most 3, is at least, say,  $(\frac{1}{4})^k \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\log n}}$ . Thus, the probability that this fails for all values of  $j$  is at most  $(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\log n}})^r < e^{-\sqrt{\log n}/\log \log n}$ . It follows that in this case, a.a.s., the chromatic number of at least one graph  $(Z_{n_j}, k)$  is at most 3, and hence so is the chromatic number of  $(B, k)$ .  $\square$

## 5 Open problems

The general problem of determining or estimating more accurately the chromatic number of a random Cayley graph in a given group with a prescribed number of randomly chosen generators deserves more attention. It may be interesting, in particular, to study the case of the symmetric group  $S_n$ .

Regarding other groups, it seems plausible to believe that for every solvable group  $B$  of size  $n$  and every  $k \leq 0.01 \log n$ ,  $\chi(B, k) \leq 3$  a.a.s., but we have not been able to prove or disprove this statement.

Is it true that for every group  $B$  of size  $n$  and every  $k \leq n/2$ , the typical chromatic number  $\chi(B, k)$  differs from the degree of regularity of  $(B, k)$  only by a poly-logarithmic factor (in  $n$ ), that is: is  $\chi(B, k) = \tilde{\Theta}_n(k)$  a.a.s. ?

Another interesting question is the study of the concentration of the chromatic number  $\chi(B, k)$ , that is, the standard deviation of this quantity. Our results show that for several families of groups this is  $o(1)$  for small values of  $k$  (though for elementary abelian 2-groups  $Z_2^t$  and for  $k = t + \Theta(1)$  the

deviation is  $\Theta(1)$ , by Theorem 4.1). Since our model here does not fix the size of the set of generators (as we are choosing them with repetitions), there is a rather simple argument showing that in this model, for cyclic groups  $Z_n$  the standard deviation is at least  $\Omega(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\log n})$  for some values of  $k = \Theta(n)$ . If, however, we fix the degree of regularity  $|S \cup S^{-1}|$  of the graph, the standard deviation may well be smaller.

Finally, it seems interesting to investigate systematically other invariants and properties of random Cayley graphs. For a finite group  $B$  and an integer  $k$ , the random Cayley graph  $(B, k)$  is a natural model of a random regular graph, and the study of its properties is often challenging. In this paper we focused on the investigation of its chromatic number, while some of the earlier papers mentioned here deal with its expansion and spectral properties. The problem of developing a general theory of this class of random graphs deserves further attention.

**Acknowledgment** I would like to thank Jarek Grytczuk, as well as an anonymous referee, for helpful comments.

**Note added in proof:** A recent paper of Christofides and Markström [12] discusses a new model of random graphs arising from Latin squares, which includes random Cayley graphs as a special case. They study several properties of these graphs and establish, in particular, the statement of Theorem 2.1 (i) and (iii) for the special case  $k = \Theta(n)$ .

## References

- [1] N. Alon, Large sets in finite fields are sumsets, *J. Number Theory* 126 (2007), 110–118.
- [2] N. Alon, I. Kriz and J. Nešetřil, How to color shift hypergraphs, *Studia Scientiarum Mathematicarum Hungarica* 30 (1995), 1-11.
- [3] N. Alon, M. Krivelevich and B. Sudakov, List coloring of random and pseudo-random graphs, *Combinatorica* 19 (1999), 453-472.
- [4] N. Alon, M. Krivelevich and B. Sudakov, Coloring graphs with sparse neighborhoods, *J. Combinatorial Theory, Ser. B* 77 (1999), 73-82.
- [5] N. Alon and V. D. Milman,  $\lambda_1$ , isoperimetric inequalities for graphs and superconcentrators, *J. Combinatorial Theory, Ser. B* 38(1985), 73-88.
- [6] N. Alon and A. Orlitsky, Repeated communication and Ramsey graphs, *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* 41 (1995), 1276–1289.
- [7] N. Alon and Y. Peres, Uniform dilations, *Geometric and Functional Analysis* 2 (1992), 1–28.
- [8] N. Alon and Y. Roichman, Random Cayley graphs and expanders, *Random Structures and Algorithms* 5 (1994), 271–284.

- [9] J. Bourgain and A. Gamburd, Uniform expansion bounds for Cayley graphs of  $SL_2(F_p)$ , *Ann. of Math.* (2) 167 (2008), no. 2, 625–642.
- [10] S. Czerwiński, Random runners are very lonely, *J. Combinatorial Theory, Ser. A*, to appear.
- [11] Christofides, Demetres; Markström, Klas, Expansion properties of random Cayley graphs and vertex transitive graphs via matrix martingales. *Random Structures Algorithms* 32 (2008), no. 1, 88–100.
- [12] Christofides, Demetres; Markström, Klas, Random Latin square graphs, *Random Structures Algorithms* 41 (2012), 47–65.
- [13] J. Edmonds, Minimum partition of a matroid into independent subsets. *J. Res. Nat. Bur. Standards Sect. B* 69B (1965) 67–72.
- [14] B. J. Green, Essay submitted for the Smith’s Prize, Cambridge University, 2001.
- [15] B. J. Green, Counting sets with small sumset, and the clique number of random Cayley graphs, *Combinatorica* 25 (2005), 307–326.
- [16] A. J. Hoffman, On eigenvalues and colorings of graphs, B. Harris Ed., *Graph Theory and its Applications*, Academic, New York and London, 1970, 79-91
- [17] Z. Landau and A. Russell, Random Cayley graphs are expanders: a simple proof of the Alon-Roichman Theorem, *Electron. J. Combin.* 11 (2004), Research Paper 62, 6 pp.
- [18] P. S. Loh, and L. J. Schulman, Improved expansion of random Cayley graphs. *Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci.* 6 (2004), no. 2, 523–528.
- [19] A. Lubotzky, R. Phillips and P. Sarnak, Ramanujan graphs, *Combinatorica* 8(3) (1988), 261-277.
- [20] G. A. Margulis, Explicit group-theoretical constructions of combinatorial schemes and their application to the design of expanders and superconcentrators, *Problems of Information Transmission* 24(1988), 39-46.
- [21] C. Payan, On the Chromatic Number of Cube-like Graphs. *Discrete Math.*, 103 (1992), 271–277.
- [22] X. Zhu, Circular chromatic number: a survey, *Discrete Mathematics*, 229 (1-3) (2001), 371-410.