

Introduction to Modern Cryptography

Lecture 10

Digital Signatures

Handwritten Signatures

- Relate an individual, through a handwritten signature, to a document.
- Signature can be **verified** against a prior authenticated one, signed in person.
- Should be **hard to forge**.
- Are **legally binding** (convince a third party, e.g. a judge).

Digital Signatures: Desired Properties

- Relate an individual, through a **digital string**, to a document.
- Signature should be easy to **verify**.
- Should be **hard to forge**.
- Are **legally binding** (convince a third party, e.g. a judge).

Diffie and Hellman (76)

“New Directions in Cryptography”

Let E_A be Alice's public encryption key,
and let D_A be Alice's private decryption key.

- To sign the message M , Alice computes the string $y = D_A(M)$ and sends M, y to Bob.
- To verify this is indeed Alice's signature, Bob computes the string $x = E_A(y)$ and checks $x = M$.

Intuition: Only Alice can compute $y = D_A(M)$, thus forgery should be computationally infeasible.

Problems with “Pure” DH Paradigm

- Easy to **forge** signatures of random messages even without holding D_A :

Bob picks R arbitrarily, computes $S = E_A(R)$.

Then the pair (S, R) is a valid signature of **Alice** on the “message” S .

- Therefore the scheme is subject to **existential forgery**.
- “So what” ?

Problems with “Pure” DH Paradigm

- Consider specifically RSA. Being multiplicative, we have (products mod N)

$$D_A(M_1M_2) = D_A(M_1) D_A(M_2).$$

- If M_2 = “I OWE BOB \$20” and M_1 = “100” then under certain encoding of letters we could get M_1M_2 = “I OWE BOB \$2000” ...

Standard Solution: Hash First

Let E_A be Alice's public encryption key,
and let D_A be Alice's private decryption key.

- To sign the message M , Alice first computes the strings $y=H(M)$ and $z=D_A(y)$. Sends M, z to Bob.
- To verify this is indeed Alice's signature, Bob computes the string $y=E_A(z)$ and checks $y=H(M)$.
- The function H should be collision resistant, so that cannot find another M' with $H(M)=H(M')$.

General Structure: Signature Schemes

- **Generation** of private and public keys (randomized).
- **Signing** (either deterministic or randomized)
- **Verification** (accept/reject) - usually deterministic.

Schemes Used in Practice

- RSA
- El-Gamal **Signature** Scheme (85)
- The **DSS** (digital signature standard, adopted by NIST in 94 is based on a modification of El-Gamal signature.

El-Gamal Signature Scheme

Generation

- Pick a prime p of length 1024 bits such that DL in Z_p^* is hard.
- Let g be a generator of Z_p^* .
- Pick x in $[2, p-2]$ at random.
- Compute $y = g^x \bmod p$.
- Public key: p, g, y .
- Private key: x .

El-Gamal Signature Scheme

Signing M

- Hash: Let $m=H(M)$.
- Pick k in $[1,p-2]$ relatively prime to $p-1$ at random.
- Compute $r=g^k \bmod p$.
- Compute $s=(m-rx)k^{-1} \bmod (p-1)$ (***)
- Output r and s .

El-Gamal Signature Scheme

Verify M, r, s, PK

- Compute $m = H(M)$.
- Accept if $0 < r < p$ and $y^r r^s = g^m \pmod{p}$.
else reject.
- What's going on?

By (***) $s = (m - rx)k^{-1} \pmod{p-1}$, so
 $sk + rx = m$. Now $r = g^k$ so $r^s = g^{ks}$, and $y = g^x$
so $y^r = g^{rx}$, implying $y^r r^s = g^m$.

Signatures vs. MACs

Suppose parties A and B share the secret key K . Then $M, MAC_K(M)$ convinces A that indeed M originated with B . But in case of dispute A cannot convince a judge that $M, MAC_K(M)$ was sent by B , since A could generate it herself.