

HAMPATH \leq_p UHAMPATH – sketch of proof

Input: $\langle \text{directed } G=(V,E),s,t \rangle$

Output $\langle \text{undirected } G',s_{in},t_{out} \rangle$

Construction:

1. For every vertex $v \in V$ generate 3 vertices and 2 edges: v_{in}, v_{mid}, v_{out} ,
 $(v_{in}, v_{mid}), (v_{mid}, v_{out})$
2. For every edge $(u,v) \in E$ generate an edge (u_{out}, v_{in})

The reduction is polynomial: $\langle \text{explanation} \rangle$

Correctness:

\rightarrow If $\langle G,s,t \rangle \in \text{HAMPATH}$ then the following directed hamiltonian path exists

$s \rightarrow v_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow v_{|V|-2} \rightarrow t$

From the construction we can see that the following undirected path exists in G'

$s_{in} - s_{mid} - s_{out} - v_{1in} - \dots - v_{|V|-2out} - t_{in} - t_{mid} - t_{out}$

Since the path is simple, contains all the vertices in G' , starts with s_{in} and ends with t_{out} it is a Hamiltonian path in G' . Therefore $\langle G',s_{in},t_{out} \rangle \in \text{UHAMPATH}$

\leftarrow

Lemma: A Hamiltonian path that starts at s_{in} and ends at t_{out} does not contain an edge (v_{in}, u_{out})

Proof: By contradiction. Assume such an edge (v_{in}, u_{out}) exists and it's the first of that form in the Hamiltonian path. We have two options:

1. we already visited v_{mid} but this means we reached v_{mid} from v_{out} (since the path is Hamiltonian and we reached v_{out} from some vertex z_{in} – contradicting the fact that (v_{in}, u_{out}) is the first of that form
2. We will visit v_{mid} – but then we will reach v_{mid} from v_{out} and will not be able to proceed to t_{out} , contradicting the fact that the path is Hamiltonian.

Corollary: Since we start from s_{in} , we must traverse any triplet u_{in}, u_{mid}, u_{out} in a Hamiltonian path in this exact order.

So if $\langle G',s_{in},t_{out} \rangle \in \text{UHAMPATH}$, the Hamiltonian path must be of the form:

$s_{in} - s_{mid} - s_{out} - v_{1in} - \dots - v_{|V|-2out} - t_{in} - t_{mid} - t_{out}$

From the construction it's easy to see that that this path can be easily mapped to a path in G :

$s \rightarrow v_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow v_{|V|-2} \rightarrow t$

This is a Hamiltonian path in G and $\langle G,s,t \rangle \in \text{HAMPATH}$

QED