#### 0368.4163 Randomness and Computation

Lecture 1

04 March 2009

Spring 2009

Ronitt Rubinfeld

Scribes: D. Sotnikov

# **The Erdos Probabilistic Method**

In order to prove the existence of a mathematical objec with desirable properties, is enough to define appropriate probability space and to show that a random point in the space is a mathematical object with the desirable properties with positive probability thus one can conclude that such a mathematical object exists. The important point is that this method of proof is nonconstructive so it does not create an example of object. (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Probabilistic\_method)

#### Example 1:

Let S be a set of objects and  $s_1, ..., s_m \subseteq S$  each  $s_i$  is of size  $l \ge 2$ . Can we 2-color S so that each  $s_i$  has one of each color?

Claim: In the global case the answer is No but for special case  $m < 2^{l-1}$  the answer is Yes.

**Theorem 1:** If  $m < 2^{l-1}$  exist proper 2-coloring such that each  $s_i$  has one of each color.

**Remark.** Recall the union bound:  $Pr[A \cup B] \leq Pr[A] + Pr[B]$ 

#### **Proof of the Theorem 1:**

- Randomly color elements of S to red/blue colors
- $\forall i \quad Pr[s_i \; monochromatic] = \frac{1}{2^l} + \frac{1}{2^l} = \frac{2}{2^l} = \frac{1}{2^{l-1}}$  (the probability to color all l elements to same color is  $\frac{1}{2^l}$  and we have 2 colors)
- $Pr[\exists i \ s.t. \ s_i \ monochromatic] \leq \sum_{i=1}^m Pr[s_i \ monochromatic] \leq \frac{m}{2^{l-1}} < 1$  (union bound)
- $Pr[all \ s'_i s \ properly \ colored] = 1 Pr[\exists i \ s.t. \ s_i \ monochromatic] > 0$

 $\implies$  exists setting of colors which gives proper coloring

**Definition:** For A a subset of positive integers, A is sum-free if  $\nexists a_1, a_2, a_3 \in A$  s.t.  $a_1 + a_2 = a_3$ .

#### **Example 2:**

Let B be a set of positive integers. Is it always exists a subset A of B such that A is sum-free and the size of A is  $> \frac{|B|}{3}$ ?

For example for the set  $B = \{1, ..., n\}$  two different subsets that satisfy the claim: A = odd integers and  $A' = \{\frac{n}{2} + 1, ..., n\}$ , such that the size of each of them is  $\approx \frac{n}{2}$ .

**Theorem:** [Erdos]  $\forall B = \{b_1, ..., b_n\}$  exists sum-free  $A \subseteq B$  s.t.  $|A| > \frac{n}{3}$ .

#### Remark.

- Z<sub>p</sub> = #'s mod p = {0..p − 1}
  Z<sub>p</sub><sup>\*</sup> = #'s mod p relative prime to p = {1..p − 1}

## **Proof of the Erdos Theorem:**

- w.l.o.g.  $b_n$  is the maximal value of B.
- Pick prime  $p > 2 \cdot b_n$  s.t.  $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$  (such number always exists, see the Dirichlet's theorem on arithmetic progressions
- http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dirichlet%27s\_theorem\_on\_arithmetic\_progressions)
- p = 2k + 3 for some integer k.

Now for finish the proof we need to show:

- $\forall x \ A_x$  is sum-free
- $\exists x \ s.t. \ |A_x| > \frac{n}{2}$

**Claim 1:**  $\forall x A_x$  is sum-free

**Proof of the Claim 1:** In the way of contradiction, suppose that  $\exists b_i, b_j, b_k \in A_x$  s.t.  $b_i + b_j = b_k$ this implies that also  $b_i + b_j \equiv b_k(modp)$ , multiply both sides by x and get  $x \cdot b_i + x \cdot b_j =$  $x \cdot b_k \pmod{p}$  but  $x \cdot b_i, x \cdot b_j, x \cdot b_k \in C$  contradiction to the fact that C is sum-free.

**Fact:**  $\forall y \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$  and  $\forall i$  there exists exactly one  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$  s.t.  $y \equiv x \cdot b_i \pmod{p}$ , i.e.,  $\forall y \ Pr[b_i \ maps \ to \ y] = \frac{1}{p-1}$ 

**Proof of the Fact:**  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$  is group  $b_i \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$  so exists  $b_i^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$  so exists  $x = y \cdot b_i^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ . If  $x_1 \cdot b_i \equiv$  $x_2 \cdot b_i \pmod{p} \Rightarrow$  multiply both sides at from  $b_i^{-1}$  at the right and get  $x_1 \equiv x_2 \pmod{p}$ .

Claim 2: $\exists x \ s.t. \ |A_x| > \frac{n}{3}$ 

### **Proof of the Claim 2:**

- From the fact that we just proved, we get that orall i |C| choices of x make  $x \cdot b_i \in C$
- let define the indicator function  $\sigma_i = \begin{cases} 1 & if \ x \cdot b_i \in C \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases}$
- $E[\sigma_i] = Pr[\sigma_i = 1] = \frac{|C|}{p-1} > \frac{1}{3}$
- $E[|A_x|] = E[\Sigma \sigma_i] = \Sigma(E[\sigma_i]) > \frac{n}{3}$  (by the linearity of expectation)

 $\Rightarrow \exists x \ s.t. \ |A_x| > \frac{n}{3}$  because if for all the x's  $|A_x| \le \frac{n}{3}$  then  $\max_x |A_x| \le \frac{n}{3}$  and then expectation is less equal then  $\frac{n}{3}$  in contradiction to  $E[|A_x|] > \frac{n}{3}$ .