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## 1 Lecture Topic

A lower bound for $\Delta$-free testing (dense model).

### 1.1 Last Week

We saw that certain properties of dense graphs can be tested in time independent of the size of the adjacency matrix. The dependence on $\epsilon$ is a tower of two's of size $1 / \epsilon^{4}$.

Today, we will see the following in property testing in dense graphs: any tester for $\triangle$-freeness needs super-polynomial queries in $\epsilon$.

## 2 Main Theorem

In adjacency matrix model, $\exists c$, such that any 1 -sided error tester for $\triangle$-free needs at least $(c / \epsilon)^{c \log (c / \epsilon)}$ queries.

Notice that since the algorithm has 1 -sided error, it must find a triangle (or $\triangle$ ) in order to say that the graph is $\triangle$-free. ${ }^{1}$

### 2.1 Testing $H$-freeness

The concept is to check whether a graph does not contain subgraph $H$.
Given that $H$ bi-partite, and $|H|=h$ :

- there is a 2 -sided error test with $O\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)$ queries.
- there is a 1 -sided error test with $O\left(h^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2 \epsilon}\right)^{\frac{n^{2}}{4}}\right)$ queries.


### 2.2 Goldreich-Trevisan Theorem

Given an adjacency matrix with a tester $T$ for property $P$, which performs $q(n, \epsilon)$ queries.
There is a "canonical" tester $T^{\prime}$ that uses $O\left(q(n, \epsilon)^{2}\right)$ queries:

- pick $2 q(n, \epsilon)$ nodes
- query only the sub-matrix induced by these nodes
- make decision

Note that if $T$ has 1-sided error then $T^{\prime}$ also has 1-sided error.
Corollary: lower bound of $q^{\prime}$ queries for canonical algorithm with 1-sided error gives a lower bound of $\Omega\left(\sqrt{q^{\prime}}\right)$ with 1 -sided error.

[^0]
### 2.3 Additive Number Theory Lemma

Theorem 1. $\forall m, \exists X \subseteq M=\{1, . ., m\}$ of size at least $\frac{m}{e^{10 \sqrt{\log m}}}$ with no nontrivial ${ }^{2}$ solution to $x_{1}+x_{2}=$ $2 x_{3}$ where $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3} \in X$ (denoted as the "sum" property of $X$ ).
Proof Let $d$ be integer (equal to $\left.e^{10 \sqrt{\log m}}\right)$, and $k=\left\lfloor\frac{\log m}{\log d}\right\rfloor-1,\left(k \approx \frac{\log m}{10 \sqrt{\log m}} \approx \frac{\log m}{10}\right)$.
Define $X_{B}=\left\{\sum_{i=0}^{k} x_{i} d^{i} \left\lvert\, x_{i}<\frac{d}{2}\right.\right.$ for $\left.0 \leq i \leq k, \sum_{i=0}^{k} x_{i}^{2}=B\right\}$. View $x \in M$ as represented in base $d, X=\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{k}\right), x_{i}<d$.

Note: $x_{i}$ is small, therefore summing pairs of elements in $X_{B}$ does not generate a carry.
Bound on largest number in any $X_{B}$ :

$$
<\left(\frac{d}{2}\right) d^{k}+\left(\frac{d}{2}\right) d^{k-1}+\ldots<d^{k+1}<d^{\frac{\log m}{\log d}}=m \Rightarrow X_{B} \subseteq M
$$

Claim 2. $X_{B}$ has the "sum" property, i.e., $\forall x, y, z \in X_{B}$ such that $x+y=2 z$ it must be that $x=y=z$.
Proof of claim: For $\forall x, y, z \in X_{B}$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
x+y=2 z \Leftrightarrow \sum_{i=0}^{k} x_{i} d^{i}+\sum_{i=0}^{k} y_{i} d^{i}=2 \sum_{i=0}^{k} z_{i} d^{i} \\
\Leftrightarrow x_{0}+y_{0}=2 z_{0} \\
x_{1}+y_{1}=2 z_{1} \\
\cdots \\
x_{k}+y_{k}=2 z_{k}
\end{gathered}
$$

Subclaim If the above holds then $\forall i, x_{i}^{2}+y_{i}^{2} \geq 2 z_{i}^{2}$ with equality only if $x_{i}=y_{i}=z_{i}$.
Proof of Subclaim: $f(a)=a^{2}$ is strictly convex. Using Jensen's inequality:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(a_{i}\right)}{n} \geq f\left(\frac{\sum a_{i}}{n}\right), \text { with equality only if } a_{1}=a_{2}=\ldots=a_{n} \\
\Rightarrow \frac{x_{i}^{2}+y_{i}^{2}}{2} \geq\left(\frac{x_{i}+y_{i}}{2}\right)^{2}=z_{i}^{2} \Rightarrow x_{i}^{2}+y_{i}^{2} \geq 2 z_{i}^{2}, \text { with equality only if } x_{i}=y_{i}=z_{i}
\end{gathered}
$$

■(subclaim)
Assume that $(x=y=z)$ does not hold, i.e. $\exists i$ such that not $\left(x_{i}=y_{i}=z_{i}\right)$.
From the subclaim we get that:

- For this $i, x_{i}^{2}+y_{i}^{2}>2 z_{i}^{2}$
- For all other $i$ 's, $x_{i}^{2}+y_{i}^{2} \geq 2 z_{i}^{2}$
$\therefore \sum_{i=0}^{k} x_{i}+\sum_{i=0}^{k} y_{i}>2 \sum_{i=0}^{k} z_{i}$
Recall from the definition of $X_{B}$ that $B=\sum_{i=0}^{k} x_{i}=\sum_{i=0}^{k} y_{i}=\sum_{i=0}^{k} z_{i}=$, so we got that $B+B>$ $2 B$ which is a contradiction!
■(claim 2)

[^1]Claim 3. $X_{B}$ can be selected such that $\left|X_{B}\right| \geq \frac{m}{e^{10 \sqrt{\log m}}}$
Proof of claim: Pick $B$ to maximize $\left|X_{B}\right|$.
How big is $X_{B}$ ?

$$
\begin{gathered}
B \leq(k+1)\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)^{2}<k \cdot d^{2} \\
\sum\left|X_{B}\right|=\left|\bigcup X_{B}\right|=\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)^{k+1}>\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)^{k} \\
\left.\Rightarrow \exists B, \text { such that }\left|X_{B}\right| \geq \frac{\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)^{k}}{k \cdot d^{2}} \geq \frac{m}{e^{10 \sqrt{\log m}}} \text { (by choice of } d, k\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

## ■(claim 3)

The additive number theory theorem immediately follows from Claims 2 and 3

### 2.4 Proof of Main Theorem

### 2.4.1 Proof Outline

We will show a graph, with the help of the additive number theory, for which any canonical triangle-free tester must use $q>\left(\frac{c^{*}}{\epsilon}\right)^{c^{*} \log \frac{c^{*}}{\epsilon}}$ queries. From the Goldreich-Trevisan theorem we will conclude a lower bound of $(c / \epsilon)^{c \log (c / \epsilon)}$ queries for any triangle-free tester.

### 2.4.2 Initial Graph



Figure 1: Graph G build using three node groups. Each node in the set $V_{1}$ is connected to $|X|$ nodes in $V_{2}$ and $|X|$ nodes in $V_{3}$. Each node in the set $V_{2}$ is also connected to additional $|X|$ nodes in $V_{3}$

Given a sum-free $X \subseteq\{1 . . m\}$ we create a tri-partite graph $G$ :

- Nodes of the graph are divided into 3 sets $-V_{1}=\{1 . . m\}, V_{2}=\{1 . .2 m\}, V_{3}=\{1 . .3 m\}$
- Nodes from $V_{1}$ are connected to nodes from $V_{2}$ using edges $(x, x+\ell), \forall x, \ell \in X$
- Nodes from $V_{1}$ are connected to nodes from $V_{3}$ using edges $(x, x+2 \ell), \forall x, \ell \in X$
- Nodes from $V_{2}$ are connected to nodes from $V_{3}$ using edges $(x, x+\ell), \forall x, \ell \in X$
- There are no edges between two nodes of the same set

Definition 1. Intended Triangle: Triangles created by $x, x+l, x+2 l\left(x \in V_{1}, l \in \ell\right)$ are defined as "intended triangles".


Figure 2: Example of an intended triangle.

Claim 4. The total number of triangles in G is equal to $m|X|$, moreover all triangles in G are "intended triangles".
Proof of claim: Let $\triangle(u, v, w)$ be a triangle in $G$, connected by the edges $l_{1}, l_{2}, l_{3}$. There are no internal edges $\Rightarrow$ without loss of generality. $u \in V_{1}, v \in V_{2}, w \in V_{3}$
By definition of $G: u+l_{1}=v, v+l_{2}=w, u+2 l_{3}=w=v+l_{2}=u+l_{1}+l_{2} \Rightarrow 2 l_{3}=l_{1}+l_{2} \Rightarrow l_{1}=l_{2}=l_{3}$ and we get that $\triangle(u, v, w)$ is an intended triangle.

- The total number of nodes in $\mathrm{G}=6 \mathrm{~m}$
- The total number number of edges in $\mathrm{G}=\Theta(m|x|)=\Theta\left(\frac{n^{2}}{e^{10 \sqrt{1 \log n}}}\right)$

So $m|X|$ such intended triangles exits. $\square$ (claim 4)

Claim 5. Intended triangles are edge disjoint (i.e. there are no two intended triangles with the same edge)


## Figure 3: Intended triangles disjoint proof.

Proof Idea Assume $u, v \in V_{1}$ are on both nodes in an intended triangle with a shared edge $\ell$, as in figure 3. The triangle share two nodes so we get that $u+\ell=v+\ell$ and $u+2 \ell=v+2 \ell$ therefore $u=v$. Similarly we can show that any other edge in the triangle can't be shared

Corollary 6. Since triangles are edge disjoint and must remove $\geq 1$ edges from each triangle to make graph G $\triangle$-free, then the absolute distance to $\triangle$-free is $m|X|\left(=\epsilon \cdot n^{2}\right)$. In other words, the distance to $\triangle$-free $=\frac{m|X|}{(6 m)^{2}}=\Theta\left(\frac{|X|}{m}\right)=\Theta\left(\frac{1}{e^{10 \sqrt{\log m}}}\right)$.

$V_{3}=\{1.3 m\}$

Figure 4: Graph Blow Up $G^{(s)}$.

### 2.4.3 Graph Blow Up

We now show a graph that is $\epsilon-f a r$ from being $\triangle$-free, yet any canonical triangle-free tester must use $q>\left(\frac{c^{*}}{\epsilon}\right)^{c^{*} \log \frac{c^{*}}{\epsilon}}$ queries in order to find a triangle with high probability for some chosen $\epsilon$.

Let $G^{(s)}$ be a blown up version of the initial graph $G$ shown above:

- Each vertex in $G$ is blow up to be an independent set of size $s$ in $G^{(s)}$.
- Each edge in $G$ is a complete bipartite group in $G^{(s)}$.
- We get that for any triangle in $G$ we get $s^{3}$ triangles in $G^{(s)}$, and there are no new $\triangle$ 's in $G^{(s)}$.

The parameters of $G^{(s)}$ :

- number of nodes: $m \cdot s$
- number of edges: $m|x| \cdot s^{2}$
- number of $\triangle: m|x| \cdot s^{3}$

Claim 7. Number of edges that need to be removed from $G^{(s)}$ to make it $\triangle$-free is $\geq$ numberofedge disjoint $\triangle$ 's $\geq m|X| \cdot s^{2}$.
(Left to prove in the next problem set).
Claim 8. Given $\epsilon$ there exists a graph $G^{(s)}$ such that for any canonical tester $\mathrm{T}, \operatorname{Pr}[\mathrm{T}$ sees any triangle] $\ll 1$ unless the \# of queries in $\mathrm{T}>\left(\frac{c^{*}}{\epsilon}\right)^{c^{*} \log \frac{c^{*}}{\epsilon}}$ for some constant $c^{*}$.

## Proof

Given $\epsilon$, pick $m$ to be the largest integer satisfying $\epsilon \leq \frac{1}{e^{10 \sqrt{\log m}}}$.
This $m$ satisfies $m \geq\left(\frac{c}{\epsilon}\right)^{c \log \frac{c}{\epsilon}}$ for some $c$.
Pick $s=\frac{n}{6 m} \approx n \cdot\left(\frac{\epsilon}{c^{\prime}}\right)^{c \log \frac{c^{\prime}}{\epsilon}}$, so

$$
\# e d g e s \approx \operatorname{distance}(\text { absolute }) \approx m|X| \cdot s^{2} \approx \frac{m \cdot m}{e^{10 \sqrt{\log m}}} \cdot \frac{n^{2}}{(6 m)^{2}}=\epsilon n^{2}
$$

$$
\# \text { triangles } \approx\left(\frac{\epsilon}{c^{\prime \prime}}\right)^{c^{\prime \prime} \log \frac{c^{\prime \prime}}{\epsilon}}
$$

Finally, if we take a sample of size $q$ :

$$
E[\text { Number of } \triangle \text { 's in sample }]<\frac{\binom{q}{3}\left(\frac{\epsilon}{c^{\prime \prime}}\right)^{c^{\prime \prime} \log \frac{c^{\prime \prime}}{\epsilon}} \cdot n^{3}}{\binom{n}{3}} \ll 1
$$

unless $q>\left(\frac{c^{*}}{\epsilon}\right)^{c^{*} \log \frac{c^{*}}{\epsilon}}$.
By Markov's inequality, $\operatorname{Pr}[$ see any triangle $] \ll 1$.

A 1-sided error sampling algorithm must see a triangle to fail, and by the last claim and the GoldreichTrevisan theorem we get that a any tester must use at least $(c / \epsilon)^{c \log (c / \epsilon)}$ queries to see a triangle in $G^{(s)}$ with high probability.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ This is because if it does not always find a triangle, then there is an input which does not have a triangle (namely the input which has 0's everywhere that the algorithm didn't query) which has positive probability of causing the testing algorithm to output triangle-free. If this is the case, then the algorithm does not have 1-sided error.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~A}$ trivial solution is defined as $x_{1}=x_{2}=x_{3}$

