

# Final Exam - Program Verification

Alexander Rabinovich

April 17, 2008

Please either print or write VERY CLEARLY. Submit a pdf file by e-mail on April 20. (A handwritten version can be scanned). You can use any literature. However I would like to emphasize that the exam should be made **INDIVIDUALLY and NOT in groups!!!**

**Grading:** Exercises 1-5 are 20 point each.

**Exercise 1**  $G = (V_1, V_2, E)$  is a simple graph game of size  $n$  if

1.  $V_i$  are positions of player  $i$ . They have satisfy  $V_1 \cap V_2 = \emptyset$ ,  $V_1 \cup V_2 \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .  $V := V_1 \cup V_2$  is the set of all positions.
2.  $E \subseteq V \times V$  is the set of all possible moves.
3. In graph-theoretic terms,  $V$  is the set of nodes, and  $E$  the set of edges of graph  $G$ . They have to satisfy in addition that at least one edge is leaving each node.

**Winning conditions:** The winner of a play  $v_1 \rightarrow v_2 \rightarrow \dots v_i \rightarrow v_{i+1} \rightarrow \dots$  is the player owning the least node which is visited infinitely often in the play.

Show

1. In a simple graph game one of the player has a memoryless winning strategy.
2.  $\sigma : V_1 \rightarrow V$  is a memoryless strategy of the first player iff in the following graph  $G_\sigma$  the least node in every cycle is owned by the first player.

The nodes of  $G_\sigma := V_1 \cup V_2$ . The edges of  $G_\sigma$ :

- for  $v \in V_2$ , there is an edge from  $v$  to  $u$  in  $G_\sigma$  iff there is an edge from  $v$  to  $u$  in  $G$ .
- for  $v \in V_1$ , there is an edge from  $v$  to  $u$  iff  $u = \sigma(v)$ .

**Exercise 2** Show that there is a weak Muller game such that for each node  $v$  in the first player's winning region, Player I has a memoryless strategy  $\sigma_v$  which is winning for the first player for the plays which starts from  $v$ , however, Player I has no uniform memoryless winning strategy. (A strategy is uniform winning strategy for Player I if it is a winning strategy for every node of his winning region.)

**Exercise 3** For a string (or  $\omega$ -string)  $s$  and an alphabet  $\Sigma$  the string  $s \upharpoonright \Sigma$  is obtained from  $s$  by deleting all letters not in  $\Sigma$ . Let  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  be  $\omega$  languages over alphabets  $\Sigma_1$  and  $\Sigma_2$ . The  $\omega$ -language  $L_1 \upharpoonright L_2$  is defined as follows:  $s \in L_1 \upharpoonright L_2$  iff  $s \upharpoonright \Sigma_1 \in L_1$  and  $s \upharpoonright \Sigma_2 \in L_2$ . Show that if  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are  $\omega$ -regular languages then  $L_1 \upharpoonright L_2$  is  $\omega$ -regular.

**Exercise 4** For a natural number  $k$ , let  $\omega \times k$  be a linear order defined as follows:

1. Domain: The set of pairs  $(i, n)$  where  $i < k$  and  $n$  is a natural number.
2. The interpretation of  $<$ :  $(i, n) < (j, m)$  iff either  $i < j$  or ( $i = j$  and  $n < m$ ).

Show that for every  $k$  there is an algorithm that for every MLO sentence  $\varphi$  decides whether  $\varphi$  is satisfiable in  $\omega \times k$ .

**Hint:** You can use that the monadic theory of the full binary tree is decidable.

**Exercise 5** Let  $K$  be a Kripke structure,  $b$  a node of  $K$  and  $\varphi$  be a formula in  $TL(Until)$ . Show that if  $K$  has an  $\omega$ -path from  $b$  which satisfies  $\varphi$  then  $K$  has a quasi-periodic  $\omega$ -path from  $b$  with the period bounded by  $l \times u \times 2^{|\varphi|}$ , which satisfies  $\varphi$ , where  $l$  is the length of the longest simple path from  $b$ ,  $u$  is the number of occurrences of *Until* in  $\varphi$ , and  $|\varphi|$  is twice the number of subformula of  $\varphi$ .

**Note;**  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_i \dots$  is quasi-periodic with a period  $l$  if there is  $N$  such that  $a_n = a_{n+l}$  for all  $n > N$ .

GOOD LUCK