Bottom-Up Syntax Analysis

Mooly Sagiv

http://www.cs.tau.ac.il/~msagiv/courses/wcc10.html

Textbook:Modern Compiler Design

Chapter 2.2.5 (modified)

Efficient Parsers

- Pushdown automata
- Deterministic
- Report an error as soon as the input is not a prefix of a valid program
- Not usable for all context free grammars



Kinds of Parsers

- Top-Down (Predictive Parsing) LL
  - Construct parse tree in a top-down matter
  - Find the leftmost derivation
  - For every non-terminal and token **predict** the next production
- Bottom-Up LR
  - Construct parse tree in a bottom-up manner
  - Find the rightmost derivation in a reverse order
  - For every potential right hand side and token decide when a production is found

Bottom-Up Syntax Analysis

- Input
  - A context free grammar
  - A stream of tokens
- Output
  - A syntax tree or error
- Method
  - Construct parse tree in a bottom-up manner
  - Find the rightmost derivation in (reversed order)
  - For every potential right hand side and token decide when a production is found
  - Report an error as soon as the input is not a prefix of valid program

Plan

- Pushdown automata
- Bottom-up parsing (informal)
- Non-deterministic bottom-up parsing
- Deterministic bottom-up parsing
- Interesting non LR grammars

Pushdown Automaton



Informal Example(1)

$S \rightarrow E\$ \quad E \rightarrow T \mid E + T \quad T \rightarrow i \mid (E)$

stack	tree	input
$		i + i $

shift

stack	tree	input
i$	i	+ i $

Informal Example(2)

$S \rightarrow E\$ \quad E \rightarrow T \mid E + T \quad T \rightarrow i \mid (E)$



reduce $T \rightarrow i$



Informal Example(3)

$S \rightarrow E\$ \quad E \rightarrow T \mid E + T \quad T \rightarrow i \mid (E)$



Informal Example(4)

$S \rightarrow E\$ \quad E \rightarrow T \mid E + T \quad T \rightarrow i \mid (E)$





Informal Example(5)

$S \rightarrow E\$ \quad E \rightarrow T \mid E + T \quad T \rightarrow i \mid (E)$

stack **tree** **input**



shift

stack **tree** **input**



Informal Example(6)

$S \rightarrow E\$$ $E \rightarrow T \mid E + T$ $T \rightarrow i \mid (E)$

stack

tree



input

reduce $T \rightarrow i$

stack



tree



input

Informal Example(7)

$S \rightarrow E\$ \quad E \rightarrow T \mid E + T \quad T \rightarrow i \mid (E)$

stack



tree



input

reduce $E \rightarrow E + T$

stack

tree



input

Informal Example(8)



Informal Example(9)

$S \rightarrow E\$ \quad E \rightarrow T \mid E + T \quad T \rightarrow i \mid (E)$

stack

tree



input

reduce S → E $

Informal Example

reduce S → E $

reduce E → E + T

reduce T → i

reduce E → T

reduce T → i

The Problem

- Deciding between shift and reduce

Informal Example(7)

$S \rightarrow E\$ \quad E \rightarrow T \mid E + T \quad T \rightarrow i \mid (E)$

stack



tree



input

reduce $E \rightarrow E + T$

stack

tree



input

Informal Example(7')

$S \rightarrow E\$ \quad E \rightarrow T \mid E + T \quad T \rightarrow i \mid (E)$

stack

T
+
E
$

tree



input

$

reduce $E \rightarrow T$

stack

E
+
E
$

tree



input

$

Bottom-UP LR(0) Items



$S \rightarrow E\$$

$E \rightarrow T$

$E \rightarrow E + T$

$T \rightarrow i$

$T \rightarrow (E)$

LR(0) items	(	)	i	+	$	T	E	ε
1: S → •E$							2	4, 6
2: S → E • $					s3			
3: S → E $ •								r
4: E → • T						5		10, 12
5: E → T •								r
6: E → • E + T							7	4, 6
7: E → E • + T				s8				
8: E → E + • T						9		10, 12
9: E → E + T •								r
10: T → • i			s11					
11: T → i •								r
12: T → • (E)	s13							
13: T → (• E)							14	4, 6
14: T → (E •)		s15						
15: T → (E) •								r

Formal Example(1)

S → E$ E → T | E + T T → i | (E)

stack **input**

1: S → •E$	**i + i $**

ε-move 6

stack **input**

6: E → •E+T **1: S → •E$**	**i + i $**

Formal Example(2)

S → E$ E → T | E + T T → i | (E)

stack	input
6: E → •E+T 1: S → •E$	i + i $

ε-move 4

stack	input
4: E → •T 6: E → •E+T 1: S → •E$	i + i $

Formal Example(3)

S → E$ E → T | E + T T → i | (E)

stack **input**

4: E → •T **6: E → •E+T** **1: S → •E$**	**i + i $**

ε-move 10

stack **input**

10: T → • i **4: E → •T** **6: E → •E+T** **1: S → •E$**	**i + i $**

Formal Example(4)

S → E$ E → T | E + T T → i | (E)

stack

10: T → • i

4: E → •T

6: E → •E+T

1: S → •E$

input

i + i $

stack

11: T → i •

10: T → • i

4: E → •T

6: E → •E+T

1: S → •E$

input

+ i $

shift 11

Formal Example(5)

S → E$ E → T | E + T T → i | (E)

stack **input**

stack	**input**
11: T → i • **10: T → • i** **4: E → •T** **6: E → •E+T** **1: S → •E$**	**+ i $**

reduce T → i

stack **input**

stack	**input**
5: E → T • **4: E → •T** **6: E → •E+T** **1: S → •E$**	**+ i $**

Formal Example(6)

S → E$ E → T | E + T T → i | (E)

stack **input**

stack	**input**
5: E → T • **4: E → •T** **6: E → •E+T** **1: S → •E$**	**+ i $**

reduce E → T

stack **input**

stack	**input**
7: E → E • +T **6: E → •E+T** **1: S → •E$**	**+ i $**

Formal Example(7)

S → E$ E → T | E + T T → i | (E)

stack **input**

stack	input
7: E → E • +T **6: E → •E+T** **1: S → •E$**	**+ i $**

shift 8

stack **input**

stack	input
8: E → E + • T **7: E → E • +T** **6: E → •E+T** **1: S → •E$**	**i $**

Formal Example(8)

S → E$ E → T | E + T T → i | (E)

stack **input**

8: E → E + • T **7: E → E • +T** **6: E → •E+T** **1: S → •E$**	**i $**

stack **input** **ε-move 10**

10: T → • i **8: E → E + • T** **7: E → E • +T** **6: E → •E+T** **1: S → •E$**	**i $**

Formal Example(9)

S → E$ E → T | E + T T → i | (E)

stack **input**

10: T → • i **8: E → E + • T** **7: E → E • +T** **6: E → •E+T** **1: S → •E$**	**i $**

shift 11

stack **input**

11: T → i • **10: T → • i** **8: E → E + • T** **7: E → E • +T** **6: E → •E+T** **1: S → •E$**	**$**

Formal Example(10)

$S \rightarrow E\$ \quad E \rightarrow T \mid E + T \quad T \rightarrow i \mid (E)$

stack

11: T → i •
10: T → • i
8: E → E + • T
7: E → E • +T
6: E → •E+T
1: S → •E$

input

$

reduce T → i

stack

9: E → E + T •
8: E → E + • T
7: E → E • +T
6: E → •E+T
1: S → •E$

input

$

Formal Example(11)

$S \rightarrow E\$ \quad E \rightarrow T \mid E + T \quad T \rightarrow i \mid (E)$

stack



input

reduce $E \rightarrow E + T$

stack

input

Formal Example(12)

$S \rightarrow E\$ \quad E \rightarrow T \mid E + T \quad T \rightarrow i \mid (E)$

stack **input**

shift 3

stack **input**

Formal Example(13)

S → E$ E → T | E + T T → i | (E)

stack **input**



reduce S → E$

But how can this be done efficiently?

Deterministic Pushdown Automaton

Handles

- Identify the leftmost node (nonterminal) that has not been constructed but all whose children have been constructed



Identifying Handles

- Create a detetеministic finite state automaton over grammar symbols
  - Sets of LR(0) items
  - Accepting states identify handles
- Use automaton to build parser tables
  - reduce For items $A \rightarrow \alpha \bullet$ on every token
  - shift For items $A \rightarrow \alpha \bullet t\ \beta$ on token t
- When conflicts occur the grammar is not LR(0)
- When no conflicts occur use a DPDA which pushes states on the stack



A Trivial Example

- $S \rightarrow A\ B\ \$$
- $A \rightarrow a$
- $B \rightarrow a$

$S \rightarrow E\$$

$E \rightarrow T$

$E \rightarrow E + T$

$T \rightarrow i$

$T \rightarrow (E)$

	(	)	i	+	$	T	E	ε
1: S → •E$							2	4, 6
2: S → E • $					s3			
3: S → E $ •								r
4: E → • T						5		10, 12
5: E → T •								r
6: E → • E + T							7	4, 6
7: E → E • + T				s8				
8: E → E + • T						9		10, 12
9: E → E + T •								r
10: T → • i			s11					
11: T → i •								r
12: T → • (E)	s13							
13: T → (• E)							14	4, 6
14: T → (E •)		s15						
15: T → (E) •								r


0
1: S → •E$
4: E → • T
6: E → • E + T
10: T → • i
12: T → • (E)
T
6
5: E → T •
i
5
11: T → i •
E
1
2: S → E • $
7: E → E • + T
$
2
3: S → E $ •
+
(
i
7
13: T → (• E)
4: E → • T
6: E → • E + T
10: T → • i
12: T → • (E)
3
8: E → E + • T
10: T → • i
12: T → • (E)
(
i
(
E
8
14: T → (E •)
7: E → E • + T
+
T
)
15: T → (E) •
9
9: E → E + T •
4

Example Control Table

	i	+	(	)	$	E	T
0	s5	err	s7	err	err	1	6
1	err	s3	err	err	s2		
2	acc						
3	s5	err	s7	err	err		4
4	reduce E→E+T						
5	reduce T → i						
6	reduce E → T						
7	s5	err	s7	err	err	8	6
8	err	s3	err	s9	err		
9	reduce T→(E)						

	i	+	(	)	$	E	T
0	s5	err	s7	err	err	1	6
1	err	s3	err	err	s2		
2	acc						
3	s5	err	s7	err	err		4
4	reduce E→E+T						
5	reduce T → i						
6	reduce E → T						
7	s5	err	s7	err	err	8	6
8	err	s3	err	s9	err		
9	reduce T→(E)						

stack

0($)

input

i + i $

shift 5

	i	+	(	)	$	E	T
0	s5	err	s7	err	err	1	6
1	err	s3	err	err	s2		
2	acc						
3	s5	err	s7	err	err		4
4	reduce E→E+T						
5	reduce T → i						
6	reduce E → T						
7	s5	err	s7	err	err	8	6
8	err	s3	err	s9	err		
9	reduce T→(E)						

stack

5 (i)

0 ($)

input

+ i $

reduce T → i

	i	+	(	)	$	E	T
0	s5	err	s7	err	err	1	6
1	err	s3	err	err	s2		
2	acc						
3	s5	err	s7	err	err		4
4	reduce E→E+T						
5	reduce T → i						
6	reduce E → T						
7	s5	err	s7	err	err	8	6
8	err	s3	err	s9	err		
9	reduce T→(E)						

stack

6 (T)

0 ($)

input

+ i $

reduce E → T

	i	+	(	)	$	E	T
0	s5	err	s7	err	err	1	6
1	err	s3	err	err	s2		
2	acc						
3	s5	err	s7	err	err		4
4	reduce E→E+T						
5	reduce T → i						
6	reduce E → T						
7	s5	err	s7	err	err	8	6
8	err	s3	err	s9	err		
9	reduce T→(E)						

stack

1(E)

0 ($)

input

+ i $

shift 3

	i	+	(	)	$	E	T
0	s5	err	s7	err	err	1	6
1	err	s3	err	err	s2		
2	acc						
3	s5	err	s7	err	err		4
4	reduce E→E+T						
5	reduce T → i						
6	reduce E → T						
7	s5	err	s7	err	err	8	6
8	err	s3	err	s9	err		
9	reduce T→(E)						

stack

3 (+)

1(E)

0 ($)

input

i $

shift 5

	i	+	(	)	$	E	T
0	s5	err	s7	err	err	1	6
1	err	s3	err	err	s2		
2	acc						
3	s5	err	s7	err	err		4
4	reduce E→E+T						
5	reduce T → i						
6	reduce E → T						
7	s5	err	s7	err	err	8	6
8	err	s3	err	s9	err		
9	reduce T→(E)						

stack

5 (i)

3 (+)

1(E)

0($)

input

$

reduce T → i

	i	+	(	)	$	E	T
0	s5	err	s7	err	err	1	6
1	err	s3	err	err	s2		
2	acc						
3	s5	err	s7	err	err		4
4	reduce E→E+T						
5	reduce T → i						
6	reduce E → T						
7	s5	err	s7	err	err	8	6
8	err	s3	err	s9	err		
9	reduce T→(E)						

stack

4 (T)
3 (+)
1(E)
0($)

input

$

reduce E → E + T

	i	+	(	)	$	E	T
0	s5	err	s7	err	err	1	6
1	err	s3	err	err	s2		
2	acc						
3	s5	err	s7	err	err		4
4	reduce E→E+T						
5	reduce T → i						
6	reduce E → T						
7	s5	err	s7	err	err	8	6
8	err	s3	err	s9	err		
9	reduce T→(E)						

stack

1 (E)

0 ($)

input

$

shift 2

	i	+	(	)	$	E	T
0	s5	err	s7	err	err	1	6
1	err	s3	err	err	s2		
2	acc						
3	s5	err	s7	err	err		4
4	reduce E→E+T						
5	reduce T → i						
6	reduce E → T						
7	s5	err	s7	err	err	8	6
8	err	s3	err	s9	err		
9	reduce T→(E)						

stack

2 ($)

1 (E)

0 ($)

input

accept

	i	+	(	)	$	E	T
0	s5	err	s7	err	err	1	6
1	err	s3	err	err	s2		
2	acc						
3	s5	err	s7	err	err		4
4	reduce E→E+T						
5	reduce T → i						
6	reduce E → T						
7	s5	err	s7	err	err	8	6
8	err	s3	err	s9	err		
9	reduce T→(E)						

stack

0($)

input

((i) $

shift 7

	i	+	(	)	$	E	T
0	s5	err	s7	err	err	1	6
1	err	s3	err	err	s2		
2	acc						
3	s5	err	s7	err	err		4
4	reduce E→E+T						
5	reduce T → i						
6	reduce E → T						
7	s5	err	s7	err	err	8	6
8	err	s3	err	s9	err		
9	reduce T→(E)						

stack

7(()

0($)

input

(i) $

shift 7

	i	+	(	)	$	E	T
0	s5	err	s7	err	err	1	6
1	err	s3	err	err	s2		
2	acc						
3	s5	err	s7	err	err		4
4	reduce E→E+T						
5	reduce T → i						
6	reduce E → T						
7	s5	err	s7	err	err	8	6
8	err	s3	err	s9	err		
9	reduce T→(E)						

stack

7 (()

7(()

0($)

input

i) $

shift 5

	i	+	(	)	$	E	T
0	s5	err	s7	err	err	1	6
1	err	s3	err	err	s2		
2	acc						
3	s5	err	s7	err	err		4
4	reduce E→E+T						
5	reduce T → i						
6	reduce E → T						
7	s5	err	s7	err	err	8	6
8	err	s3	err	s9	err		
9	reduce T→(E)						

stack

5 (i)

7 (()

7(()

0($)

input

) $

reduce T → i

	i	+	(	)	$	E	T
0	s5	err	s7	err	err	1	6
1	err	s3	err	err	s2		
2	acc						
3	s5	err	s7	err	err		4
4	reduce E→E+T						
5	reduce T → i						
6	reduce E → T						
7	s5	err	s7	err	err	8	6
8	err	s3	err	s9	err		
9	reduce T→(E)						

stack

6 (T)

7 (()

7(()

0($)

input

) $

reduce E →T

	i	+	(	)	$	E	T
0	s5	err	s7	err	err	1	6
1	err	s3	err	err	s2		
2	acc						
3	s5	err	s7	err	err		4
4	reduce E→E+T						
5	reduce T → i						
6	reduce E → T						
7	s5	err	s7	err	err	8	6
8	err	s3	err	s9	err		
9	reduce T→(E)						

stack

8 (E)

7 (()

7(()

0($)

input

) $

shift 9

	i	+	(	)	$	E	T
0	s5	err	s7	err	err	1	6
1	err	s3	err	err	s2		
2	acc						
3	s5	err	s7	err	err		4
4	reduce E→E+T						
5	reduce T → i						
6	reduce E → T						
7	s5	err	s7	err	err	8	6
8	err	s3	err	s9	err		
9	reduce T→(E)						

stack

9 ())

8 (E)

7 (()

7(()

0($)

input

$

reduce T → (E)

	i	+	(	)	$	E	T
0	s5	err	s7	err	err	1	6
1	err	s3	err	err	s2		
2	acc						
3	s5	err	s7	err	err		4
4	reduce E→E+T						
5	reduce T → i						
6	reduce E → T						
7	s5	err	s7	err	err	8	6
8	err	s3	err	s9	err		
9	reduce T→(E)						

stack

6 (T)

7(()

0($)

input

$

reduce E → T

	i	+	(	)	$	E	T
0	s5	err	s7	err	err	1	6
1	err	s3	err	err	s2		
2	acc						
3	s5	err	s7	err	err		4
4	reduce E→E+T						
5	reduce T → i						
6	reduce E → T						
7	s5	err	s7	err	err	8	6
8	err	s3	err	s9	err		
9	reduce T→(E)						

stack

8 (E)

7(()

0($)

input

$

err


0
1: S → •E$
4: E → • T
6: E → • E + T
10: T → • i
12: T → • (E)
T
6
5: E → T •
i
5
11: T → i •
E
1
2: S → E • $
7: E → E • + T
$
2
2: S → E $ •
+
(
i
7
13: T → (• E)
4: E → • T
6: E → • E + T
10: T → • i
12: T → • (E)
3
7: E → E + • T
10: T → • i
12: T → • (E)
i
(
E
8
14: T → (E •)
7: E → E • + T
)
+
T
15: T → (E) •
9
8: E → E + T •
4

Constructing LR(0) parsing table

- Add a production S' $\rightarrow$ S$
- Construct a deterministic finite automaton accepting "valid stack symbols"
- States are set of items A$\rightarrow \alpha \bullet \beta$
  - The states of the automaton becomes the states of parsing-table
  - Determine **shift** operations
  - Determine **goto** operations
  - Determine **reduce** operations

Filling Parsing Table

- A state s_i
- reduce $A \rightarrow \alpha$
  - $A \rightarrow \alpha \bullet \in s_i$
- Shift on t
  - $A \rightarrow \alpha \bullet t\ \beta \in s_i$
- $Goto(s_i, X) = s_j$
  - $A \rightarrow \alpha \bullet X\ \beta \in s_i$
  - $\delta(s_i, X) = s_j$
- When conflicts occurs the grammar is not LR(0)

Example Control Table

	i	+	(	)	$	E	T
0	s5	err	s7	err	err	1	6
1	err	s3	err	err	s2		
2	acc						
3	s5	err	s7	err	err		4
4	reduce E→E+T						
5	reduce T → i						
6	reduce E → T						
7	s5	err	s7	err	err	8	6
8	err	s3	err	s9	err		
9	reduce T→(E)						

Example Non LR(0) Grammar

$S \rightarrow E\$$

$E \rightarrow E+E$

$E \rightarrow i$

LR(0) items	i	+	$	E	ε
1: S → •E$				2	4, 8
2: S → E • $			s3		
3: S → E $ •					r **S → E$**
4: E → • E + E				5	4, 8
5: E → E • + E		s6			
6: E → E + • E				7	
7: E → E + E •					r **E → E+E**
8:E → • i	s9				
9:E → i •					r **E → i**

Example Non LR(0) DFA

$S \rightarrow E\ \$ \quad E \rightarrow E + E \mid i$





	i	+	$	E
0	s1	err	err	2
1	red E → i			
2	err	s4	s3	
3	accept			
4	s1			5
5	red E → E +E	s4 red E → E +E	red E → E +E	

Non-Ambiguous Non LR(0) Grammar

$S \rightarrow E\ \$$
$E \rightarrow E + T \mid T$
$T \rightarrow T * F \mid F$
$F \rightarrow i$



	i	+	*	
0				
1		?	?	
2				

Non-Ambiguous SLR(1) Grammar

$S \rightarrow E\ \$$
$E \rightarrow E + T \mid T$
$T \rightarrow T * F \mid F$
$F \rightarrow i$



	i	+	*	
0				
1		r E → T	s2	
2				

LR(1) Parser

- LR(1) Items A $\rightarrow \alpha \bullet \beta$, t
  - α is at the top of the stack and we are expecting
    βt
- LR(1) State
  - Sets of items
- LALR(1) State
  - Merge items with the same look-ahead

Grammar Hierarchy



Interesting Non LR(1) Grammars

- Ambiguous
  - Arithmetic expressions
  - Dangling-else
- Common derived prefix
  - $A \rightarrow B_1$ a b | B_2 a c
  - $B_1 \rightarrow \varepsilon$
  - $B_2 \rightarrow \varepsilon$
- Optional non-terminals
  - St $\rightarrow$ OptLab Ass
  - OptLab $\rightarrow$ id : | ε
  - Ass $\rightarrow$ id := Exp

A motivating example

- Create a desk calculator
- Challenges
  - Non trivial syntax
  - Recursive expressions (semantics)
    - Operator precedence

Solution (lexical analysis)

```
import java_cup.runtime.*;
%%
%cup
%eofval{
   return sym.EOF;
%eofval}
NUMBER=[0-9]+
%%
”+” { return new Symbol(sym.PLUS); }
”-” { return new Symbol(sym.MINUS); }
”*” { return new Symbol(sym.MULT); }
”/” { return new Symbol(sym.DIV); }
”(” { return new Symbol(sym.LPAREN); }
”)” { return new Symbol(sym.RPAREN); }
{NUMBER} {
        return new Symbol(sym.NUMBER, new Integer(yytext()));
}
\n { }
. { }
```

- Parser gets terminals from the Lexer

```
terminal Integer NUMBER;
terminal PLUS,MINUS,MULT,DIV;
terminal LPAREN, RPAREN;
terminal UMINUS;
nonterminal Integer expr;
precedence left PLUS, MINUS;
precedence left DIV, MULT;
Precedence left UMINUS;
%%
expr ::= expr:e1 PLUS expr:e2
            {: RESULT = new Integer(e1.intValue() + e2.intValue()); :}
            | expr:e1 MINUS expr:e2
            {: RESULT = new Integer(e1.intValue() - e2.intValue()); :}
            | expr:e1 MULT expr:e2
            {: RESULT = new Integer(e1.intValue() * e2.intValue()); :}
            | expr:e1 DIV expr:e2
            {: RESULT = new Integer(e1.intValue() / e2.intValue()); :}
            | MINUS expr:e1 %prec UMINUS
            {: RESULT = new Integer(0 - e1.intValue(); :}
            | LPAREN expr:e1 RPAREN
            {: RESULT = e1; :}
            | NUMBER:n
            {: RESULT = n; :}
```

Summary

- LR is a powerful technique
- Generates efficient parsers
- Generation tools exit LALR(1)
  - Bison, yacc, CUP
- But some grammars need to be tuned
  - Shift/Reduce conflicts
  - Reduce/Reduce conflicts
  - Efficiency of the generated parser
- There exist methods that handle arbitrary context free grammars
  - Early parsers
  - CYK algorithms