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Tentative Schedule

• March 3: Overview and Introduction and division into 2 person teams
• March 17: Project Selection by email/separate meetings
• TBD: Project Presentation
Course Goals

• Learn how to program dapps
• Understand one interesting application
• Improve programming skills
  • Critical thinking
• Learn about formal verification
The Times 03/Jan/2009 Chancellor on brink of second bailout for banks.

Bitcoin: A Peer-to-Peer Electronic Cash System

Satoshi Nakamoto
satoshin@gmx.com
www.bitcoin.org

Abstract. A purely peer-to-peer version of electronic cash would allow online payments to be sent directly from one party to another without going through a financial institution. Digital signatures provide part of the solution, but the main benefits are lost if a trusted third party is still required to prevent double-spending. We propose a solution to the double-spending problem using a peer-to-peer network. The network timestamps transactions by hashing them into an ongoing chain of
Virtual currencies are implemented on top of a *shared database*.

Desired security properties:
- Consistency
- Availability
- Application-defined access controls (for writes/updates)
- Privacy
A blockchain is a **Distributed Trusted Computer**

Ordinary databases:
- distributed within one company
- distributed for performance and availability

Blockchain databases:
- distributed across multiple entities
- distributed for privacy and security against attacks
Permissioned Blockchains

AKA “Consortium blockchain”
Nodes are run by well-known, mostly trusted entities

Public Blockchains

Open for participation by anyone
Replicated Transaction Log

• The information is stored at every node
• A consensus algorithm builds the log
• Distributed database builds replicated logs
More generally: “programmable money”
Digital currency is just one application on top of a blockchain

Decentralized Consensus
“Blockchain”

Account Balances

Alice: $10
Bob: $15
Carol: $120
Smart Contracts: user-defined programs running on top of a blockchain
Smart Contract Example (very high level)

If GOOG rises to $1,000 by 30 June 2015, assign 10 shares from Alice to Bob and pay Alice $10,000

Other examples abound:
Auctions, elections, lotteries, escrow, ...
“Smart contracts” conceptualized by Szabo in 1994

A smart contract is a computerized transaction protocol that executes the terms of a contract. The general objectives are to satisfy common contractual conditions (such as payment terms, liens, confidentiality, and even enforcement), minimize exceptions both malicious and accidental, and minimize the need for trusted intermediaries. Related economic goals include lowering fraud loss, arbitrations and enforcement costs, and other transaction costs.

-Nick Szabo “The Idea of Smart Contracts”
A “dumb contract” example

Alice will reveal to Bob a value $x$ such that $\text{SHA-256}(x) = 0x2a...$

In exchange, Bob will give Alice $10 in cash.

If Alice does not give Bob by July 1, 2018, then she will pay a penalty of US$1 per day that she is late, up to US$100.

Signed: Alice Bob
### Traditional contracts vs. smart contracts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Traditional</th>
<th>Smart</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>specification</td>
<td>Natural language + &quot;legalese&quot;</td>
<td>Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>identity &amp; consent</td>
<td>Signatures</td>
<td>Digital signatures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dispute resolution</td>
<td>Judges, arbitrators</td>
<td>Decentralized platform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nullification</td>
<td>By judges</td>
<td>???.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>payment</td>
<td>Carried out by parties separately</td>
<td>built-in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>escrow</td>
<td>Trusted third party, settled in $</td>
<td>built-in</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ordinary contracts between two parties

- Civil courts are available to mediate, but typically don’t get involved
- Parties responsible for their own accounting: “Double entry accounting”

Third-party services

E.g., Paypal, Kickstarter, ....Piazza?
- Transactions are automatically processed by the third party
- Formal intermediary to finance network (help with taxes)
- Settles disputes through mediation

Smart Contract dApps “decentralized apps”

- Transactions typically go through the blockchain
- App developers do not receive custody of funds
- Developers limited in ability to change the rules
- Settles automatically via cryptocurrency
- Auditing may be simplified by public transparent log
Ethereum

- A decentralized platform that runs smart contracts
- Proposed in late 2013 by Vitalik Buterin
- Released 2015
- Supports Turning complete smart contracts (Solidity)
- A virtual machine for cryptocurrency (Ethereum Virtual Machine)
  - Creating new currencies
  - Guaranteeing certain currency consistency
- But has all bad features of computer programs (DAO, Parity, ...)

[Image of Vitalik Buterin]
USD Raised by ICOs in 2017 - Monthly Totals

Source: Business insider

https://www.sec.gov/ICO
Contract programming model

- Contract class
  Create an object of this class by making a transaction

- Define functions you can call

```solidity
pragma solidity ^0.4.19;

contract TestContract {
    // declare storage
    int[][] myStorage1;

    // define functions
    function testFunction() {
        // Code goes here
    }

    // ...
}
```
Ethereum’s timeline has been pocked by failures caused by buggy and insecure smart contracts.

'$300m in cryptocurrency' accidentally lost forever due to bug

User mistakenly takes control of hundreds of wallets containing cryptocurrency Ether, destroying them in a panic while trying to give them back.

A $50 million hack just showed that the DAO was all too human.

A coding error led to $30 million in Ethereum being stolen.
THE PROBLEM

Massive Losses due to Bugs
How to steal $50M – the DAO bug

```cpp
DAO::withdraw(to) {
    if shares[to] > 0 {
        transferTo(to, shares[to]);
        shares[to] = 0;
    }
}
```

coins[Thief] = 7  
shares[Thief] = 100
How to steal $50M – the DAO bug

```cpp
DAO::withdraw(to) {
    if shares[to] > 0 {
        transferTo(to, shares[to]);
        shares[to] = 0;
    }
}
```

coins[Thief]=7 shares[Thief]=100
How to steal $50M – the DAO bug

```cpp
DAO::withdraw(to) {
  if shares[to] > 0 {
    transferTo(to, shares[to]);
    shares[to] = 0;
  }
}

Thief::uponTransfer(a) {
  DAO::withdraw(Thief)
}
```

coins[Thief]=107  shares[Thief]=100
How to steal $50M – the DAO bug

```cpp
DAO::withdraw(to) {
    if shares[to] > 0 {
        transferTo(to, shares[to]);
        shares[to] = 0;
    }
}

Thief::uponTransfer(a) {
    DAO::withdraw(Thief)
}
```

coins[Thief] = 107
shares[Thief] = 100
How to steal $50M – the DAO bug

```cpp
DAO::withdraw(to) {
    if shares[to] > 0 {
        transferTo(to, shares[to]);
        shares[to] = 0;
    }
}

Thief::uponTransfer(a) {
    DAO::withdraw(Thief)
}
```

coins[Thief] = 207

shares[Thief] = 100
Automatic software verification

Program P

Desired Property \( \varphi \)

Solver

Is there a behavior of \( P \) that violates \( \varphi \)?

Y

Counterexample

N

Proof
Disillusionment in program verification 80’s

“Program verification is the holy grail of computer science; always was; always will be”

Program P

Safety Property $\varphi$

Verification

Is there a behavior of $P$ that violates $\varphi$?

Counterexample

Proof

Rice’s Theorem

I can’t decide!

[POPL’78, CACM’79] R.A. DeMillo, R.J. Lipton, A. J. Perlis:
Social Processes and Proofs of Theorems and Programs
Challenges in program verification

• Specifying program behavior
• Complexity of program verification
  • The halting problem
  • Rice theorem
  • The ability of simple programs to represent complex behaviors
• Complexity of realistic systems
  • Huge code
  • Heterogeneous code
  • Missing code
The SAT Problem

• Given a propositional formula (Boolean function)
  • \( \varphi = (a \lor b) \land (\neg a \lor \neg b \lor c) \)

• Determine if \( \varphi \) is satisfiable
  • Find a satisfying assignment or report that such does not exist

• For \( n \) variables, there are \( 2^n \) possible truth assignments to be checked

• Tools exist: Z3, Yices, CVC4, ...
Verification by reductions to SAT

- Program P
- Desired Property $\varphi$

Front-End

Formula $[P] \land \neg \varphi$

SAT Solver

Counterexample

Proof
Verification by reduction to SAT

SAT Query:

\[((a \land x) \lor (\neg a \land \neg x)) \land ((b \land y) \lor (\neg b \land \neg y)) \land ((x \land \neg y) \lor (\neg x \land y)) \land assert x==y\]

SAT Answer:
Satisfiable by a=0, b = 1
Verification by reduction to SAT

SAT Query:
\[((a \land x \land b) \lor (\neg a \land \neg x \land \neg b)) \land ((b \land y) \lor (\neg b \land \neg y)) \land ((x \land \neg y) \lor (\neg x \land y))\]

SAT Answer: Unsatisfiable
The SMT(Sat Modulo Theory) Problem

• Given a ground first order formula over theories (Boolean function)
  • \( \varphi = \exists x, y: 2x + y \geq 5 \land y < 3 \)

• Determine if \( \varphi \) is satisfiable
  • Find a satisfying assignment or report that such does not exist

• Satisfiability becomes harder

• But tools exist: Yices, Z3, CVC, ...
Verification by reductions to SMT

Program P

Desired Property $\varphi$

Front-End

Formula

$[P] \land \neg \varphi$

SMT Solver

Counterexample

Proof
Simple Example Token (buggy)

balance[to]=balance[to]-fee

balance[to]>=amount?

balance[to]=balance[to]-amount

balance[from]=balance[from]+amount

\[T \mapsto x \not= to \land x \not= from \land b'[x]=b[x] \land b[to] \geq amount+fee \Rightarrow (b'[to]=b[to]-amount-fee \land b'[from]=b[from]+amount) \land b[to]<amount+fee \Rightarrow (b'[to]=b[to] \land b'[from]=b[from])\]

SMT Answer:
Satisfiable by
balance[to]=10, fee=5, amount=6
balance[from]=100
Simple Example Token (corrected)

assert

\( \forall x. (x \neq to \land x \neq from) \Rightarrow b'[x] = b[x] \land \)
\( b[to] \geq amount + fee \Rightarrow (b'[to] = b[to] - amount - fee \land b'[from] = b[from] + amount) \land \)
\( b[to] < amount + fee \Rightarrow (b'[to] = b[to] \land b'[from] = b[from]) \)

SAT Answer: Unsatisfiable
Homework

• [https://solidity.readthedocs.io/en/v0.5.4/solidity-by-example.html](https://solidity.readthedocs.io/en/v0.5.4/solidity-by-example.html)

• Select an open source project
  • [https://github.com/bkrem/awesome-solidity](https://github.com/bkrem/awesome-solidity)
  • [https://github.com/Neufund/ico-contracts](https://github.com/Neufund/ico-contracts)
  • [https://github.com/OpenZeppelin/openzeppelin-solidity](https://github.com/OpenZeppelin/openzeppelin-solidity)
  • [https://github.com/gnosis/pm-contracts](https://github.com/gnosis/pm-contracts)
  • [https://github.com/ColuLocalNetwork/CLN-solidity](https://github.com/ColuLocalNetwork/CLN-solidity)
  • [https://github.com/trending/solidity](https://github.com/trending/solidity)
  • [https://github.com/aragon/aragonOS](https://github.com/aragon/aragonOS)
  • [https://github.com/trusttoken/trueUSD](https://github.com/trusttoken/trueUSD)
  • [https://github.com/decentraland/mana](https://github.com/decentraland/mana)
  • [https://github.com/DRI-network/RICO](https://github.com/DRI-network/RICO)
Selecting a project
Potential Ethereum Applications

https://github.com/ethereum/wiki/wiki/White-Paper#applications

- Tokens
- Lotteries
- Cryptocurrency exchanges
- Marketplaces
- Insurance / hedging
- Supply-chain management
- “Self-sovereign” identity management
- Sharing economy

And many more!