

Typed Lambda Calculus Revisited

Chapter 9

Benjamin Pierce

Types and Programming Languages

Topics

- Reminder call by value interpreter
- What is typed lambda calculus and what does it provide
- Limitations
- Relations to OCaml

Call-by-value small step operational Semantics

$t ::=$	terms	$v ::=$	values
x	variable	$\lambda x. t$	abstraction values
$\lambda x. t$	abstraction		
$t t$	application		

$$(\lambda x. t_{12}) v_2 \Rightarrow [x \mapsto v_2] t_{12} \text{ (E-AppAbs)}$$

$$\frac{t_1 \Rightarrow t'_1}{t_1 t_2 \Rightarrow t'_1 t_2} \text{ (E-APPL1)}$$

$$\frac{t_2 \Rightarrow t'_2}{v_1 t_2 \Rightarrow v_1 t'_2} \text{ (E-APPL2)}$$

Call-by-value large-step Operational Semantics

$t ::=$

terms

x

variable

$\lambda x. t$

abstraction

$t t$

application

$v ::=$

values

$\lambda x. t$

abstraction values

other values

$$\lambda x. t \rightarrow \lambda x. t \quad \text{(V-Value)}$$

$$t_1 \rightarrow \lambda x. t_3 \quad t_2 \rightarrow v_1 \quad [x \mapsto v_1] t_3 \rightarrow v_2$$

$$t_1 t_2 \rightarrow v_2$$

(V-App)

A Pseudocode for call-by value interpreter

```
Lambda eval(Lambda t) {  
  switch(t) {  
    case t =  $\lambda x. t1$ : // A value  
      return t  
    case t = t1 t2:  
      Lambda temp = eval(t1);  
      assert temp =  $\lambda x. t3$ ;  
      Lambda v1 = eval(t2) ; // v1 must be a value  
      return eval([x  $\mapsto$  v1] t3) ;  
    default: assert false;  
  }  
}
```

Consistency of Function Application

- Prevent runtime errors during evaluation
- Reject inconsistent terms
- What does 'x x' mean?
- Cannot be always enforced
 - if <tricky computation> then true else $(\lambda x. x)$

Summary Lambda Calculus

Pros

- Expressive
- Compact
- Demonstrate programming concepts
 - Lazy vs eager
 - Divergence
 - Higher order programming
 - OO programming
 - Continuation
 - ...

Cons

- Counterintuitive
- Runtime errors

Simple Types

$T ::=$ types
 Bool type of Booleans
 $T \rightarrow T$ type of functions

$$T_1 \rightarrow T_2 \rightarrow T_3 = T_1 \rightarrow (T_2 \rightarrow T_3)$$

Explicit vs. Implicit Types

- How to define the type of λ abstractions?
 - **Explicit**: defined by the programmer

$t ::=$	Type λ terms
x	variable
$\lambda x: T. t$	abstraction
$t t$	application

- **Implicit**: Inferred by analyzing the body
- The **type checking problem**: Determine if typed term is well typed
- The **type inference problem**: Determine if there exists a type for (an untyped) term which makes it well typed

Simple Typed Lambda Calculus

$t ::=$ terms
x variable
 $\lambda x: T. t$ abstraction
t t application

$T ::=$ types
 $T \rightarrow T$ types of functions

Typing Function Declarations

$$\frac{x : T_1 \vdash t_2 : T_2}{\vdash (\lambda x : T_1. t_2) : T_1 \rightarrow T_2} \quad (\text{T-ABS})$$

A typing context Γ maps free variables into types

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : T_1 \vdash t_2 : T_2}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x : T_1. t_2) : T_1 \rightarrow T_2} \quad (\text{T-ABS})$$

Typing Free Variables

$$\frac{x:T \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x:T} \text{ (T-VAR)}$$

Typing Function Applications

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_{11} \rightarrow T_{12} \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : T_{11}}{\Gamma \vdash t_1 t_2 : T_{12}} \quad (\text{T-APP})$$

Typing Conditionals

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \text{Bool} \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : T \quad \Gamma \vdash t_3 : T}{\Gamma \vdash \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 : T} \text{(T-IF)}$$

if true then $(\lambda x: \text{Bool}. x)$ else $(\lambda y: \text{Bool}. \text{not } y)$

SOS for Simple Typed Lambda Calculus

$t ::=$	terms	$t_1 \rightarrow t_2$	
x	variable		
$\lambda x: T. t$	abstraction	$t_1 \rightarrow t'_1$	
$t t$	application	$t_1 t_2 \rightarrow t'_1 t_2$	(E-APP1)
$v ::=$	values	$t_2 \rightarrow t'_2$	
$\lambda x: T. t$	abstraction values	$v_1 t_2 \rightarrow v_1 t'_2$	(E-APP2)

$$(\lambda x: T_{11}. t_{12}) v_2 \rightarrow [x \mapsto v_2] t_{12} \text{ (E-APPABS)}$$

$T ::=$ types

$T \rightarrow T$ types of functions

Type Rules

$t ::=$	terms	$\Gamma \vdash t : T$
x	variable	$\frac{x : T \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x : T} \text{ (T-VAR)}$
$\lambda x : T. t$	abstraction	$\frac{\Gamma, x : T_1 \vdash t_2 : T_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : T_1. t_2 : T_1 \rightarrow T_2} \text{ (T-ABS)}$
$T ::=$	types	$\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_{11} \rightarrow T_{12} \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : T_{11}$
$T \rightarrow T$	types of functions	$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_{11} \rightarrow T_{12} \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : T_{11}}{\Gamma \vdash t_1 t_2 : T_{12}} \text{ (T-APP)}$
$\Gamma ::=$	context	
\emptyset	empty context	
$\Gamma, x : T$	term variable binding	

$t ::=$	terms	$\Gamma \vdash t : T$
x	variable	$\frac{x : T \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x : T} \text{ (T-VAR)}$
$\lambda x : T. t$	abstraction	$\frac{\Gamma, x : T_1 \vdash t_2 : T_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : T_1. t_2 : T_1 \rightarrow T_2} \text{ (T-ABS)}$
$t t$	application	$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_{11} \rightarrow T_{12} \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : T_{11}}{\Gamma \vdash t_1 t_2 : T_{12}} \text{ (T-APP)}$
true	constant true	$\Gamma \vdash \text{true} : \text{Bool} \text{ (T-TRUE)}$
false	constant false	$\Gamma \vdash \text{false} : \text{Bool} \text{ (T-FALSE)}$
if t then t else t	conditional	$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \text{Bool} \quad t_2 : T \quad t_3 : T}{\Gamma \vdash \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 : T} \text{ (T-IF)}$
$T ::=$	types	
Bool	Boolean type	
$T \rightarrow T$	types of functions	
$\Gamma ::=$	context	
\emptyset	empty context	
$\Gamma, x : T$	term variable binding	

Simple Example

$(\lambda x:\text{Bool}. x) \text{ true}$

$$\frac{\frac{x:\text{Bool} \in \{x:\text{Bool}\}}{x:\text{Bool} \vdash x:\text{Bool}} \text{(T-VAR)}}{\vdash \lambda x:\text{Bool}. x:\text{Bool} : \text{Bool} \rightarrow \text{Bool}} \text{(T-ABS)}$$

$\Gamma \vdash t : T$

$$\frac{x:T \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x:T} \text{(T-VAR)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x:T_1 \vdash t_2:T_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x:T_1. t_2 : T_1 \rightarrow T_2} \text{(T-ABS)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_{11} \rightarrow T_{12} \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : T_{11}}{\Gamma \vdash t_1 t_2 : T_{12}} \text{(T-APP)}$$

$\vdash \text{true} : \text{Bool}$ (T-TRUE)

$\Gamma \vdash \text{true} : \text{Bool}$ (T-TRUE)

$$\frac{\vdash (\lambda x:\text{Bool}. x) \text{ true} : \text{Bool}}{\vdash (\lambda x:\text{Bool}. x) \text{ true} : \text{Bool}} \text{(T-APP)}$$

$\Gamma \vdash \text{false} : \text{Bool}$ (T-FALSE)

Another Example

$$\Gamma \vdash t : T$$

if true then $(\lambda x:\text{Bool}. x)$ else $(\lambda x:\text{Bool}. x)$

$$\frac{x : T \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x : T} \text{ (T-VAR)}$$
$$\frac{\Gamma, x : T_1 \vdash t_2 : T_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : T_1. t_2 : T_1 \rightarrow T_2} \text{ (T-ABS)}$$
$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_{11} \rightarrow T_{12} \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : T_{11}}{\Gamma \vdash t_1 t_2 : T_{12}} \text{ (T-APP)}$$
$$\Gamma \vdash \text{true} : \text{Bool} \text{ (T-TRUE)}$$
$$\Gamma \vdash \text{false} : \text{Bool} \text{ (T-FALSE)}$$
$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \text{Bool} \quad t_2 : T \quad t_3 : T}{\Gamma \vdash \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 : T} \text{ (T-IF)}$$

Another Example

if true then $(\lambda x:\text{Bool}. x)$ else
 $(\lambda x:\text{Bool}. \lambda y:\text{Bool}. x)$

$$\Gamma \vdash t : T$$
$$\frac{x : T \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x : T} \text{ (T-VAR)}$$
$$\frac{\Gamma, x : T_1 \vdash t_2 : T_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : T_1. t_2 : T_1 \rightarrow T_2} \text{ (T-ABS)}$$
$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_{11} \rightarrow T_{12} \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : T_{11}}{\Gamma \vdash t_1 t_2 : T_{12}} \text{ (T-APP)}$$
$$\Gamma \vdash \text{true} : \text{Bool} \text{ (T-TRUE)}$$
$$\Gamma \vdash \text{false} : \text{Bool} \text{ (T-FALSE)}$$
$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \text{Bool} \quad t_2 : T \quad t_3 : T}{\Gamma \vdash \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 : T} \text{ (T-IF)}$$

The Typing Relation

- The smallest ternary relation on contexts, terms and types
 - in terms of inclusion
- A term t is **typable** in a given context Γ (**well typed**) if there exists some type T such that $\Gamma \vdash t : T$
- Interesting on closed terms (empty contexts)

Uniqueness of Types

- Each term t has at most one type in any given context
 - If t is typable then
 - its type is unique
 - There is a unique type derivation tree for t

Top-Down Type Checking

```
Type type_check(Tenv:  $\Gamma$ , Lambda t) {  
  switch (t) {  
    case x : return  $\Gamma x$ ;  
    case  $\lambda x : T. t$  :  
      return  $T \rightarrow$  type_check( $\Gamma[x \rightarrow T]$ , t);  
    case t1 t2:  
      T = type_check( $\Gamma$ , t1) ;  
      assert T == T11  $\rightarrow$  T12;  
      T'=type_check(t2);  
      assert T' == T11;  
      return T12
```

Ocaml Types

```
type Basic_type = Primitive of String |  
                  FunctionType of Basic_Type * Basic_Type
```

```
type Typed_lambda = Abstraction of String * Basic_Type * Typed_Lambda |  
                    Application of Typed_lambda * Typed_lambda |  
                    Variable of String
```


Type Checking

```
let rec type_check env = function
```

```
  Variable(v) -> var_find env v
```

```
  |
```

```
  Abstraction(v, ty, t) -> FunctionType(ty, type_check (v, ty) :: env t)
```

```
  |
```

```
  Application(t1, t2) -> let ty1 = type_check env t1 in
```

```
    let ty2 = type_check env t2 in
```

```
    match ty1 with
```

```
      Function(ty11, ty12) ->
```

```
        if ty11 = ty2 then ty12
```

```
        else failwith "unmatched types"
```

```
      |
```

```
        Primitive(ty) -> failwith "must be a function"
```

```
let rec var_find env v = match e with
```

```
  [] -> failwith "undefined type for a variable"
```

```
  |
```

```
  (v1, t) :: e' -> if v = v1 then t else var_find e' v
```

Type Safety

Well typed programs cannot go wrong

For every well typed term t :

1. $t \rightarrow^* t$
2. t loops

Type Safety

- If t is well typed then either t is a value or there exists an evaluation step $t \Rightarrow t'$
[Progress]
- If t is well typed and there exists an evaluation step $t \Rightarrow t'$ then t' is also well typed
[Preservation]

Expressive Power

- How expressive is typed lambda calculus
 - $\text{tru} = \lambda t. \lambda f. t$
 - $\text{fls} = \lambda t. \lambda f. f$
 - $\text{test} = \lambda l. \lambda m. \lambda n. l m n$
- Can we code divergence?
 $(\lambda x.y) ((\lambda x.(x x)) (\lambda x.(x x)))$
- How about loops?

Summary

- Constructive rules for preventing runtime errors in a Turing complete programming language
- Efficient type checking
- Unique types
- Type safety
- But limits programming

Summary: Lambda Calculus

- Powerful
- The ultimate assembly language
- Useful to illustrate ideas
- But can be counterintuitive
- Usually extended with useful syntactic sugars
- Other calculi exist
 - pi-calculus
 - object calculus
 - mobile ambients
 - ...