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High level Programs vs. Executable Programs

Abstractions for productive programming

First order order functions
  Higher order functions

Polymorphism

Abstract Data Types

Algebraic Data Types

Modules

Control abstractions

Executable Code
Topics

- Block-structured languages and stack storage
- In-line Blocks
  - activation records
  - storage for local, global variables
- First-order functions
  - parameter passing
  - tail recursion and iteration
- Higher-order functions
  - deviations from stack discipline
  - language expressiveness => implementation complexity
- Garbage Collection
Nested blocks, local variables

Example

```
{ int x = 2;
  { int y = 3;
    x = y + 2;
  }
}
```

- Storage management
  - Enter block: allocate space for variables
  - Exits block: some or all space may be deallocated
Examples

◆ Blocks in common languages
  • C, JavaScript * { ... }
  • Algol begin ... end
  • ML let ... in ...

◆ Two forms of blocks
  • In-line blocks
  • Blocks associated with functions or procedures

◆ Topic: block-based memory management, access to local variables, parameters, global variables

* JavaScript functions provide blocks
Stack Frames

- Allocate a separate space for every procedure incarnation
- Relative addresses
- Provide a simple mean to achieve modularity
- Supports separate code generation of procedures
- Naturally supports recursion
- Efficient memory allocation policy
  - Low overhead
  - Hardware support may be available
- LIFO policy
- Not a pure stack
  - Non local references
  - Updated using arithmetic
A Typical Stack Frame

- previous frame
- outgoing parameters
- frame pointer
- current frame
- outgoing parameters
- stack pointer
- stack
- frame
- pointer
- higher addresses
- administrative
- frame size
- lower addresses

- argument 2
- argument 1
- lexical pointer
- return address
- dynamic link
- registers
- locals
- temporaries
- argument 2
- argument 1
- next frame
Simplified Machine Model

- Registers
- Code
- Data
- Program Counter
- Environment Pointer
- Stack
- Heap
Interested in Memory Mgmt Only

- Registers, Code segment, Program counter
  - Ignore registers
  - Details of instruction set will not matter

- Data Segment
  - Stack contains data related to block entry/exit
  - Heap contains data of varying lifetime
  - Environment pointer points to current stack position
    - Block entry: add new activation record to stack
    - Block exit: remove most recent activation record
Some basic concepts

◆ Scope
  • Region of program text where declaration is visible

◆ Lifetime (Duration)
  • Period of time when location is allocated to program

```c
{ int x = ... ;
  { int y = ... ;
    { int x = ... ;
      ....
    }
  }
};
```

- Inner declaration of x hides outer one.
- Called “hole in scope”
- Lifetime of outer x includes time when inner block is executed
- Lifetime ≠ scope
- Lines indicate “contour model” of scope.
In-line Blocks

◆ Activation record
  • Data structure stored on run-time stack
  • Contains space for local variables

◆ Example

```c
{ int x=0;
  int y=x+1;
  { int z=(x+y)*(x-y);
  }
};
```

Push record with space for x, y
Set values of x, y
Push record for inner block
Set value of z
Pop record for inner block
Pop record for outer block

May need space for variables and intermediate results like (x+y), (x-y)
Activation record for in-line block

- **Control link**
  - pointer to previous record on stack

- **Push record on stack**:
  - Set new control link to point to old env ptr
  - Set env ptr to new record

- **Pop record off stack**
  - Follow control link of current record to reset environment pointer

Can be optimized away, but assume not for purpose of discussion.
Example

```c
{ int x=0;
    int y=x+1;
    {
        int z=(x+y)*(x-y);
    }
};
```

Push record with space for x, y
Set values of x, y
    Push record for inner block
    Set value of z
    Pop record for inner block
Pop record for outer block
Scoping rules

Global and local variables

- x, y are local to outer block
- z is local to inner block
- x, y are global to inner block

```
{ int x=0;
  int y=x+1;
  {
    int z=(x+y)*(x-y);
    }
};
```

Static scope

- global refers to declaration in closest enclosing block

Dynamic scope

- global refers to most recent activation record

These are same until we consider function calls.
Functions and procedures

Syntax of procedures (Algol) and functions (C)

procedure P (<pars>) <type> function f(<pars>)
begin
<local vars>
<proc body>
end;

Activation record must include space for

- parameters
- return address
- local variables, intermediate results
- return value (an intermediate result)
- location to put return value on function exit
Activation record for function

- **Return address**
  - Location of code to execute on function return

- **Return-result address**
  - Address in activation record of calling block to receive return address

- **Parameters**
  - Locations to contain data from calling block
**Example**

- **Function**
  
  \[
  \text{fact}(n) = \begin{cases} 
  1 & \text{if } n \leq 1 \\
  n \times \text{fact}(n-1) & \text{else}
  \end{cases}
  \]

  - Return result address
  - Location to put fact(n)

- **Parameter**
  
  - Set to value of n by calling sequence

- **Intermediate result**
  
  - Locations to contain value of fact(n-1)
Function call

fact(n) = if n <= 1 then 1 else n * fact(n-1)

Return address omitted; would be ptr into code segment
Function return

\[
\text{fact}(n) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } n \leq 1 \\
 n \times \text{fact}(n-1) & \text{else}
\end{cases}
\]
Topics for first-order functions

◆ Parameter passing
  • pass-by-value: copy value to new activation record
  • pass-by-reference: copy ptr to new activation record

◆ Access to global variables
  • global variables are contained in an activation record higher “up” the stack

◆ Tail recursion
  • an optimization for certain recursive functions

See this yourself: write factorial and run under debugger
L-values vs. R-values

Assignment $x := \text{exp}$ is compiled into:

- Compute the **address** of $x$
- Compute the **value** of $\text{exp}$
- Store the value of $\text{exp}$ into the address of $x$

Generalization

- R-value
  - Maps program expressions into Context values
- L-value
  - Maps program expressions into locations
  - Not always defined
- Java has no small L-values
A Simple Example

```java
int x = 5;
x = x + 1;
```

Runtime memory

```
17
5
```
int x = 5;

lvalue(x)=17, rvalue(x) =5

lvalue(5)=⊥, rvalue(5)=5

x = x + 1;

lvalue(x)=17, rvalue(x) =5

lvalue(5)=⊥, rvalue(5)=5
Partial rules for Lvalue in C

- Type of e is pointer to T
- Type of e1 is integer
- lvalue(e2) ≠ undefined

```
{ int a[100];
  *(a + 5) = 8;
}
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>exp</th>
<th>lvalue</th>
<th>rvalue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>id</td>
<td>location(id)</td>
<td>content(location(id))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>const</td>
<td>undefined</td>
<td>value(const)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*e</td>
<td>rvalue(e)</td>
<td>content(rvalue(e))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&amp;e2</td>
<td>undefined</td>
<td>lvalue(e2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e + e1</td>
<td>undefined</td>
<td>rvalue(e) + sizeof(T) * rvalue(e1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Parameter passing

◆ Pass-by-reference
  - Place L-value (address) in activation record
  - Function can assign to variable that is passed

◆ Pass-by-value
  - Place R-value (contents) in activation record
  - Function cannot change value of caller’s variable
  - Reduces aliasing (alias: two names refer to same loc)
Example

pseudo-code

function f(x) =
    { x = x+1; return x; }  
var y = 0;
print (f(y)+y);
Access to global variables

◆ Two possible scoping conventions
  ▪ Static scope: refer to closest enclosing block
  ▪ Dynamic scope: most recent activation record on stack

◆ Example

```javascript
var x = 1;
function g(z) { return x + z; }
function f(y) {
    var x = y + 1;
    return g(y * x);
}
f(3);
g(4)
```

Which x is used for expression x + z?
Activation record for static scope

- **Control link**
  - Link to activation record of previous (calling) block

- **Access link**
  - Link to activation record of closest enclosing block in program text

- **Difference**
  - Control link depends on dynamic behavior of prog
  - Access link depends on static form of program text
Static scope with access links

Use access link to find global variable:
- Access link is always set to frame of closest enclosing lexical block
- For function body, this is block that contains function declaration

```javascript
var x = 1;

function g(z) {
    return x + z;
}

function f(y) {
    var x = y + 1;
    return g(y * x);
}

f(3);
```
Static scope with access links & recursion

var x=1;
function fac(z) = {
    if z = 1 then return x;
    else return z * fac(z-1);
}

fac(3)
Tail recursion (first-order case)

- Function g makes a *tail call* to function f if
  - Return value of function f is return value of g

- Example
  
  `fun g(x) = if x>0 then f(x) else f(x)*2`

- Optimization
  - Can pop activation record on a tail call
  - Especially useful for recursive tail call
    - next activation record has exactly same form
Example

Calculate least power of 2 greater than y

fun f(x, y) = if x > y
then x
else f(2*x, y);

f(1, 3) + 7;

Optimization

- Set return value address to that of caller

Question

- Can we do the same with control link?

Optimization

- Avoid return to caller
Tail recursion elimination

fun f(x,y) = if x>y
  then x
  else f(2*x, y);

f(1,3);

Optimization
- pop followed by push = reuse activation record in place

Conclusion
- Tail recursive function equiv to iterative loop
Tail recursion and iteration

fun f(x,y) = if x>y
then x
else f(2\times x, y);
f(1,y);

function g(y) {
var x = 1;
while (!x>y)
x = 2\times x;
return x;
}
Higher-Order Functions

Language features
- Functions passed as arguments
- Functions that return functions from nested blocks
- Need to maintain environment of function

Simpler case
- Function passed as argument
- Need pointer to activation record “higher up” in stack

More complicated second case
- Function returned as result of function call
- Need to keep activation record of returning function
Pass function as argument

There are two declarations of `x` Which one is used for each occurrence of `x`?
let x = 4 in
let f = fun -> x*y in
let g = fun h ->
let int x=7
in
h(3) + x
in
g(f)

How is access link for h(3) set?
Static Scope for Function Argument

```javascript
{ var x = 4;
  { function f(y) { return x*y; }
    { function g(h) {
        int x = 7;
        return h(3) + x;
      }
    }
  }
  g(f);
}
```

How is access link for $h(3)$ set?
Result of function call

```
js> { var x = 4;
    { function f(y) { return x*y; }
        { function g(h) {
            var x = 7;
            return h(3) + x;
        }
        g(f);
    }
}
19
js>
```
Closures

◆ Function value is pair $\text{closure} = \langle \text{env}, \text{code} \rangle$

◆ When a function represented by a closure is called,
  - Allocate activation record for call (as always)
  - Set the access link in the activation record using the environment pointer from the closure
Function Argument and Closures

let x = 4 in
let f = fun y -> x*y in
let g = fun h ->
  let x = 7 in
  h(3) + x
in g(f)

Run-time stack with access links

access link set from closure

Code for f

Code for g

access link set from closure
Function Argument and Closures

```javascript
{ var x = 4;

    { function f(y){return x*y};

    { function g(h) {
        int x=7;
        return h(3)+x;
    };
    }

    g(f);
}
```

Run-time stack with access links:

- `x` accessed from `f` with value 4
- `access` link set from closure
- Code for `f`
- Code for `g`
- `access link set from closure`
Use closure to maintain a pointer to the static environment of a function body

When called, set access link from closure

All access links point “up” in stack
  • May jump past activ records to find global vars
  • Still deallocate activ records using stack (lifo) order
Return Function as Result

Language feature
- Functions that return “new” functions
- Need to maintain environment of function

Example

```javascript
function compose(f, g)
    {return function(x) { return g(f(x)) }};
```

Function “created” dynamically
- expression with free variables
  values are determined at run time
- function value is closure = \langle env, code \rangle
- code not compiled dynamically (in most languages)
Example: Return fctn with private state

```ocaml
let mk_counter = fun init ->
  let count = ref init in
  let counter = fun inc ->
    (count := !count + inc; !count)
  in
  counter
in
let c = mk_counter 1
in
let x = c(2) + c(2)
```

- Function to “make counter” returns a closure
- How is correct value of count determined in `c(2)`?
Example: Return fctn with private state

```javascript
function mk_counter (init) {
    var count = init;
    function counter(inc) {count=count+inc; return count};
    return counter;
}  
var c  = mk_counter(1);
c(2) + c(2);
```

Function to “make counter” returns a closure

How is correct value of count determined in call c(2) ?
let mk_counter = fun init ->
  let count = ref init in
  let counter = fun inc -> (count := !count + inc; !count) in
  counter in

let c = mk_counter(1) in

(\text{Call changes cell value from 1 to 3})
c(2) + c(2)
function mk_counter (init) {
    var count = init;
    function counter(inc) {count=count+inc; return count};
    return counter;
}
var c = mk_counter(1);
c(2) + c(2);
Closures in Web programming

еч Useful for event handlers in Web programming:

```javascript
function AppendButton(container, name, message) {
    var btn = document.createElement('button');
    btn.innerHTML = name;
    btn.innerHTML = name;
    btn.innerHTML = name;
    btn.innerHTML = name;
    btn.onclick = function (evt) { alert(message); }
    container.appendChild(btn);
}
```

еч Environment pointer lets the button’s click handler find the message to display
Simple C Program

foo (int y) {
    int x = y ;
    if (x > 8) {
        int x = y + 1 ;
        x = x + 1 ;
    }
}

foo(9)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>control</th>
<th>return val</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The C Programming Language

- Designed to allow stack allocation
- Local variables are flattened
- No need for control link

 Permit
  - Nested blocks
  - Passing functions as parameters and return values

 Forbid
  - Nested functions
Summary: Return Function Results

◆ Use closure to maintain static environment
◆ May need to keep activation records after return
  • Stack (lifo) order fails!
◆ Possible “stack” implementation
  • Forget about explicit deallocation
  • Put activation records on heap
  • Invoke garbage collector as needed
  • Not as totally crazy as it sounds
    May only need to search reachable data
Summary of scope issues

- **Block-structured lang uses stack of activ records**
  - Activation records contain parameters, local vars, ...
  - Also pointers to enclosing scope
- **Several different parameter passing mechanisms**
- **Tail calls may be optimized**
- **Function parameters/results require closures**
  - Closure environment pointer used on function call
  - Stack deallocation may fail if function returned from call
  - Closures *not* needed if functions not in nested blocks
Garbage Collection

ROOT SET

Stack

Heap
Garbage Collection

ROOT SET

a
b
c
d
e
f

Stack

HEAP

Stack
What is garbage collection

◆ The runtime environment reuse chunks that were allocated but are not subsequently used garbage chunks
  • not live
◆ It is undecidable to find the garbage chunks:
  • Decidability of liveness
  • Decidability of type information
◆ Conservative collection
  • every live chunk is identified
  • some garbage runtime chunk are not identified
◆ Find the reachable chunks via pointer chains
◆ Often done in the allocation function
typedef struct list { struct list *link; int key } *List;

typedef struct tree { int key;  
  struct tree *left;  
  struct tree *right } *Tree;

foo() {  
  List x = cons(NULL, 7);  
  List y = cons(x, 9);  
  x->link = y;  
}

void main() {  
  Tree p, r; int q;  
  foo();  
  p = maketree();  
  r = p->right;  
  q = r->key;  
  showtree(r);  
}
typedef struct list  {struct list *link; int key} *List;
typedef struct tree {int key;
  struct tree *left:
  struct tree *right} *Tree;

foo() {    List x = cons(NULL, 7);
   List y = cons(x, 9);
   x->link = y;
}
void main() {
  Tree p, r; int q;
  foo();
  p = maketree();    r = p->right;
  q= r->key;
  showtree(r);}
typedef struct list  {struct list *link; int key} *List;
typedef struct tree {int key;
    struct tree *left:
    struct tree *right} *Tree;

foo() {    List x = create_list(NULL, 7);
    List y = create_list(x, 9);
    x->link = y;
}

void main() {
    Tree p, r; int q;
    foo();
p = maketree(); r = p->right;
q= r->key;
showtree(r);}
Garbage Collection Techniques

◆ Tracing
  - Scan the reachable heaps from the root
  - Release unreachable elements
  - Cost proportional to reachable heap

◆ Reference Counting
  - Maintain a counter of references to each chunk of memory
  - The compiler generates the update code for references when pointers are manipulated
  - Release objects with zero reference counter
  - Constant cost
Mark-and-Sweep(Scan) Collection

- Mark the chunks reachable from the roots (stack, static variables and machine registers)
- Sweep the heap space by moving unreachable chunks to the freelist (Scan)
The Mark Phase

for each root v
    DFS(v)

function DFS(x)
    if x is a pointer and chunk x is not marked
        mark x
        for each reference field \( f_i \) of chunk x
            DFS(x.f_i)
The Sweep Phase

\[ p := \text{first address in heap} \]
while \( p < \text{last address in the heap} \)
  if chunk \( p \) is marked
    unmark \( p \)
  else let \( f_1 \) be the first pointer reference field in \( p \)

\[ p.f_1 := \text{freelist} \]
\[ \text{freelist} := p \]
\[ p := p + \text{size of chunk } p \]
Sweep
Cost of GC

◆ The cost of a single garbage collection can be linear in the size of the store
  • may cause quadratic program slowdown
◆ Amortized cost
  • collection-time/storage reclaimed
  • Cost of one garbage collection
    – $c_1 R + c_2 H$
  • $H - R$ Reclaimed chunks
  • Cost per reclaimed chunk
    – $(c_1 R + c_2 H) / (H - R)$
  • If $R/H > 0.5$
    – increase $H$
  • if $R/H < 0.5$
    – cost per reclaimed word is $c_1 + 2c_2 \approx 16$
  • There is no lower bound
Reference Counting

- Maintain a counter per object
- The compiler generates updates for counters
- Release object with zero counters
- Cannot reclaim cyclic objects
Copying Collection

• Maintains two separate heaps
  – from-space
  – to-space
• pointer next to the next free chunk in from-space
• A pointer limit to the last chunk in from-space
• If next = limit copy the reachable chunks from from-space into to-space
  – set next and limit
  – Switch from-space and to-space
• Requires type information
Generational Garbage Collection

• Newly created objects contain higher percentage of garbage
• Partition the heap into generations $G_1$ and $G_2$
• First garbage collect the $G_1$ heap
  – chunks which are reachable
• After two or three collections chunks are promoted to $G_2$
• Once a while garbage collect $G_2$
• Can be generalized to more than two heaps
• But how can we garbage collect in $G_1$?
Scanning roots from older generations

• remembered list
  – The compiler generates code after each destructive update: $b.f_i := a$
  to put $b$ into a vector of updated objects scanned by the garbage collector

• remembered set
  – remembered-list + “set-bit”

• Card marking
  – Divide the memory into $2^k$ cards

• Page marking
  – $k = \text{page size}$
  – virtual memory system catches updates to old-generations using the dirty-bit
Incremental Collection

• Even the most efficient garbage collection can interrupt the program for quite a while
• Under certain conditions the collector can run concurrently with the program (mutator)
• Need to guarantee that mutator leaves the chunks in consistent state, e.g., may need to restart collection
• Two solutions
  – compile-time
    • Generate extra instructions at store/load
  – virtual-memory
    • Mark certain pages as read(write)-only
    • a write into (read from) this page by the program restart mutator
Garbage Collection vs. Explicit Memory Deallocation

- Faster program development
- Less error prone
- Can lead to faster programs
  - Can improve locality of references
- Support very general programming styles, e.g. higher order and OO programming
- Standard in ML, Java, C#, Javascript
- Supported in C and C++ via separate libraries

- May require more space
- Needs a large memory
- Can lead to long pauses
- Can change locality of references
- Effectiveness depends on programming language and style
- Hides documentation
- More trusted code
Summary

◆ Runtime memory management is crucial for functionality and correctness
◆ Lexical scope is natural
  • Becomes tricky with higher order functions
  • Closures
◆ Garbage Collection permits general programming style