

Concepts of Programming Languages – Recitation 2: Natural Operational Semantics

Oded Padon
odedp@mail.tau.ac.il

Reference:

Semantics with Applications by H. Nielson and F. Nielson – Ch. 2
http://www.daimi.au.dk/~bra8130/Wiley_book/wiley.html

Formal Semantics

- Operational Semantics
 - The meaning of the program is described “operationally”
 - **Natural Operational Semantics ← today!**
 - Structural Operational Semantics
- Denotational Semantics
 - The meaning of the program is an input/output relation
 - Mathematically challenging but complicated
- Axiomatic Semantics
 - The meaning of the program are observed properties

The **While** Programming Language

- Abstract syntax

$S ::= x := a \mid \mathbf{skip} \mid S_1; S_2 \mid \mathbf{if} \ b \ \mathbf{then} \ S_1 \ \mathbf{else} \ S_2 \mid$
 $\mathbf{while} \ b \ \mathbf{do} \ S$

- Use parentheses for precedence
- Informal Semantics
 - **skip** behaves like no-operation
 - Import meaning of arithmetic and Boolean operations

Example While Program

```
y := 1;  
while  $\neg(x=1)$  do (  
    y := y * x;  
    x := x - 1;  
)
```

General Notations

- Syntactic categories
 - Var the set of program variables
 - Aexp the set of arithmetic expressions
 - Bexp the set of Boolean expressions
 - Stm set of program statements
- Semantic categories
 - Natural values $N = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$
 - Truth values $T = \{ff, tt\}$
 - States $\text{State} = \text{Var} \rightarrow N$
 - Lookup in a state $s: s \ x$
 - Update of a state $s: s \ [\ x \mapsto 5 \]$

Example State Manipulations

- $[x \mapsto 1, y \mapsto 7, z \mapsto 16] y =$
- $[x \mapsto 1, y \mapsto 7, z \mapsto 16] t =$
- $[x \mapsto 1, y \mapsto 7, z \mapsto 16][x \mapsto 5] =$
- $[x \mapsto 1, y \mapsto 7, z \mapsto 16][x \mapsto 5] x =$
- $[x \mapsto 1, y \mapsto 7, z \mapsto 16][x \mapsto 5] y =$

Semantics of arithmetic expressions

- Assume that arithmetic expressions are side-effect free
- $\mathcal{A}[\text{Aexp}] : \text{State} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$
- Defined by **structural** induction on the syntax tree

$$\mathcal{A}[n]s = \mathcal{N}[n]$$

$$\mathcal{A}[x]s = s\ x$$

$$\mathcal{A}[a_1 + a_2]s = \mathcal{A}[a_1]s + \mathcal{A}[a_2]s$$

$$\mathcal{A}[a_1 \star a_2]s = \mathcal{A}[a_1]s \star \mathcal{A}[a_2]s$$

$$\mathcal{A}[a_1 - a_2]s = \mathcal{A}[a_1]s - \mathcal{A}[a_2]s$$

Semantic Equivalence

- We say that e_1 is **semantically equivalent** to e_2 ($e_1 \approx e_2$) when $A[[e_1]] = A[[e_2]]$
- Which of the following expressions are equivalent?
 - $2 \approx 1 + 1$?
 - $x + y \approx y + x$?
 - $x + x \approx 2 * x$?
 - $(x + y) * (x - y) \approx x*x - y*y$?

 - $x := 10; y := 20; z := x+y; z := 30;$
 $x+y \approx 30$?

Semantics of Boolean expressions

- Assume that Boolean expressions are side-effect free
- $\mathcal{B}[\text{Bexp}] : \text{State} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$
- Defined by induction on the syntax tree:

$$\mathcal{B}[\text{true}]_s = \mathbf{tt}$$

$$\mathcal{B}[\text{false}]_s = \mathbf{ff}$$

$$\mathcal{B}[a_1 = a_2]_s = \begin{cases} \mathbf{tt} & \text{if } \mathcal{A}[a_1]_s = \mathcal{A}[a_2]_s \\ \mathbf{ff} & \text{if } \mathcal{A}[a_1]_s \neq \mathcal{A}[a_2]_s \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{B}[a_1 \leq a_2]_s = \begin{cases} \mathbf{tt} & \text{if } \mathcal{A}[a_1]_s \leq \mathcal{A}[a_2]_s \\ \mathbf{ff} & \text{if } \mathcal{A}[a_1]_s > \mathcal{A}[a_2]_s \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{B}[\neg b]_s = \begin{cases} \mathbf{tt} & \text{if } \mathcal{B}[b]_s = \mathbf{ff} \\ \mathbf{ff} & \text{if } \mathcal{B}[b]_s = \mathbf{tt} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{B}[b_1 \wedge b_2]_s = \begin{cases} \mathbf{tt} & \text{if } \mathcal{B}[b_1]_s = \mathbf{tt} \text{ and } \mathcal{B}[b_2]_s = \mathbf{tt} \\ \mathbf{ff} & \text{if } \mathcal{B}[b_1]_s = \mathbf{ff} \text{ or } \mathcal{B}[b_2]_s = \mathbf{ff} \end{cases}$$

Natural Operational Semantics

- Notations:
 - S – program construct (word in the While language)
 - s, s' – states (functions $\text{Var} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$)
- $\langle S, s \rangle \rightarrow s'$ means:
If S is executed on state s , it terminates and the state after execution is s'
- Describe the “overall” effect of program constructs
- Ignores non terminating computations

Examples for \rightarrow

- $\langle y := 2, s_0[x \mapsto 1] \rangle \rightarrow s_0[x \mapsto 1] [y \mapsto 2]$
- $\langle x := x+1, s_0 \rangle \rightarrow s_0[x \mapsto 1]$
- $\langle x := x+1, s_0[x \mapsto 1] \rangle \rightarrow s_0[x \mapsto 2]$
- $\langle x := x+1 ; x := x+1, s_0 \rangle \rightarrow s_0[x \mapsto 2]$
- $\langle \text{if } x > 0 \text{ then } y := 2 \text{ else } y := 3, s_0 \rangle \rightarrow s_0[y \mapsto 3]$
- $\langle \text{if } x > 0 \text{ then } y := 2 \text{ else } y := 3, s_0[x \mapsto 1] \rangle \rightarrow s_0[x \mapsto 1] [y \mapsto 2]$
- $\langle x := x+1 ; \text{if } x > 0 \text{ then } y := 2 \text{ else } y := 3, s_0 \rangle \rightarrow s_0[x \mapsto 1] [y \mapsto 2]$
- $\langle \text{while } x < 5 \text{ do } (x := x+2 ; y := y+10), s_0 \rangle \rightarrow s_0[x \mapsto 6] [y \mapsto 30]$
- $\langle (\text{while } x < 5 \text{ do } (x := x+2 ; y := y+10)) ;$
 $(\text{while } x > 0 \text{ do } x := x-5), s_0 \rangle \rightarrow s_0[x \mapsto -4] [y \mapsto 30]$
- $\langle \text{while } x \geq 0 \text{ do } x := x+1, s_0 \rangle \rightarrow ?$
- **NOT** $\langle \text{while } x \geq 0 \text{ do } x := x+1, s_0 \rangle \rightarrow s'$ for any s'

s_0 : state which assigns zero to all variables

Formally defining \rightarrow

- \rightarrow is defined **inductively** using **inference rules**, with both **syntactic** conditions on S and **semantic** conditions on s

$$[\text{ass}_{\text{ns}}] \quad \langle x := a, s \rangle \rightarrow s[x \mapsto \mathcal{A}[[a]]s]$$

$$[\text{skip}_{\text{ns}}] \quad \langle \text{skip}, s \rangle \rightarrow s$$

$$[\text{comp}_{\text{ns}}] \quad \frac{\langle S_1, s \rangle \rightarrow s', \langle S_2, s' \rangle \rightarrow s''}{\langle S_1; S_2, s \rangle \rightarrow s''}$$

$$[\text{if}_{\text{ns}}^{\text{tt}}] \quad \frac{\langle S_1, s \rangle \rightarrow s'}{\langle \text{if } b \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2, s \rangle \rightarrow s'} \quad \text{if } \mathcal{B}[[b]]s = \text{tt}$$

$$[\text{if}_{\text{ns}}^{\text{ff}}] \quad \frac{\langle S_2, s \rangle \rightarrow s'}{\langle \text{if } b \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2, s \rangle \rightarrow s'} \quad \text{if } \mathcal{B}[[b]]s = \text{ff}$$

$$[\text{while}_{\text{ns}}^{\text{tt}}] \quad \frac{\langle S, s \rangle \rightarrow s', \langle \text{while } b \text{ do } S, s' \rangle \rightarrow s''}{\langle \text{while } b \text{ do } S, s \rangle \rightarrow s''} \quad \text{if } \mathcal{B}[[b]]s = \text{tt}$$

$$[\text{while}_{\text{ns}}^{\text{ff}}] \quad \langle \text{while } b \text{ do } S, s \rangle \rightarrow s \quad \text{if } \mathcal{B}[[b]]s = \text{ff}$$

Example of Inference

$\langle x := x + 1 ; \text{if } x > 0 \text{ then } y := 2 \text{ else } y := 3, s_0 \rangle \rightarrow s_0[x \mapsto 1] [y \mapsto 2]$

$$[\text{ass}_{\text{ns}}] \quad \langle x := a, s \rangle \rightarrow s[x \mapsto \mathcal{A}[[a]]s]$$

$$[\text{comp}_{\text{ns}}] \quad \frac{\langle S_1, s \rangle \rightarrow s', \langle S_2, s' \rangle \rightarrow s''}{\langle S_1; S_2, s \rangle \rightarrow s''}$$

$$[\text{if}_{\text{ns}}^{\text{tt}}] \quad \frac{\langle S_1, s \rangle \rightarrow s'}{\langle \text{if } b \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2, s \rangle \rightarrow s'} \quad \text{if } \mathcal{B}[[b]]s = \text{tt}$$

$$[\text{if}_{\text{ns}}^{\text{ff}}] \quad \frac{\langle S_2, s \rangle \rightarrow s'}{\langle \text{if } b \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2, s \rangle \rightarrow s'} \quad \text{if } \mathcal{B}[[b]]s = \text{ff}$$

1. $\langle y := 2, s_0[x \mapsto 1] \rangle \rightarrow s_0[x \mapsto 1] [y \mapsto 2]$ # by ass_{ns}
2. $\langle \text{if } x > 0 \text{ then } y := 2 \text{ else } y := 3, s_0[x \mapsto 1] \rangle \rightarrow s_0[x \mapsto 1] [y \mapsto 2]$ # by $\text{if}_{\text{ns}}^{\text{tt}}$ (1)
3. $\langle x := x + 1, s_0 \rangle \rightarrow s_0[x \mapsto 1]$ # by ass_{ns}
4. $\langle x := x + 1 ; \text{if } x > 0 \text{ then } y := 2 \text{ else } y := 3, s_0 \rangle \rightarrow s_0[x \mapsto 1] [y \mapsto 2]$ # by comp_{ns} (2,3)

Derivation Trees

- A derivation tree is a way to write applications of inference rules
- A derivation tree is a “proof” that $\langle S, s \rangle \rightarrow s'$
- The root of tree is $\langle S, s \rangle \rightarrow s'$
- Each node is a conclusion from its children using an inference rule
- Leaves are instances of axioms (rules with no premises)
- Non-leaves are instances of inference rules with premises
 - Immediate children match rule premises
 - The semantic condition is satisfied

Example Derivation Tree

$\langle x := x + 1 ; \text{if } x > 0 \text{ then } y := 2 \text{ else } y := 3, s_0 \rangle \rightarrow s_0[x \mapsto 1] [y \mapsto 2]$

comp_{ns}

$\langle x := x + 1, s_0 \rangle \rightarrow s_0[x \mapsto 1]$

ass_{ns}

$\langle \text{if } x > 0 \text{ then } y := 2 \text{ else } y := 3, s_0[x \mapsto 1] \rangle \rightarrow s_0[x \mapsto 1] [y \mapsto 2]$

$\text{if}_{\text{ns}}^{\text{tt}}$

$\langle y := 2, s_0[x \mapsto 1] \rangle \rightarrow s_0[x \mapsto 1] [y \mapsto 2]$

ass_{ns}

$[\text{ass}_{\text{ns}}] \quad \langle x := a, s \rangle \rightarrow s[x \mapsto \mathcal{A}[[a]]s]$

$[\text{comp}_{\text{ns}}] \quad \frac{\langle S_1, s \rangle \rightarrow s', \langle S_2, s' \rangle \rightarrow s''}{\langle S_1; S_2, s \rangle \rightarrow s''}$

$[\text{if}_{\text{ns}}^{\text{tt}}] \quad \frac{\langle S_1, s \rangle \rightarrow s'}{\langle \text{if } b \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2, s \rangle \rightarrow s'} \quad \text{if } \mathcal{B}[[b]]s = \text{tt}$

$[\text{if}_{\text{ns}}^{\text{ff}}] \quad \frac{\langle S_2, s \rangle \rightarrow s'}{\langle \text{if } b \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2, s \rangle \rightarrow s'} \quad \text{if } \mathcal{B}[[b]]s = \text{ff}$

Bad Derivation Tree

$\langle x := x + 1 ; \text{if } x > 0 \text{ then } y := 2 \text{ else } y := 3, s_0 \rangle \rightarrow s_0[x \mapsto 1] [y \mapsto 3]$

comp_{ns}

$\langle x := x + 1, s_0 \rangle \rightarrow s_0[x \mapsto 1]$

ass_{ns}

$\langle \text{if } x > 0 \text{ then } y := 2 \text{ else } y := 3, s_0[x \mapsto 1] \rangle \rightarrow s_0[x \mapsto 1] [y \mapsto 3]$

$\text{if}_{\text{ns}}^{\text{ff}}$

semantic condition not satisfied:

$B[x > 0](s_0[x \mapsto 1]) = \text{tt} \neq \text{ff}$

$\langle y := 3, s_0[x \mapsto 1] \rangle \rightarrow s_0[x \mapsto 1] [y \mapsto 3]$

ass_{ns}

$\text{if}_{\text{ns}}^{\text{tt}}$ $\frac{\langle S_1, s \rangle \rightarrow s'}{\langle \text{if } b \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2, s \rangle \rightarrow s'}$ if $B[b]s = \text{tt}$

$\text{if}_{\text{ns}}^{\text{ff}}$ $\frac{\langle S_2, s \rangle \rightarrow s'}{\langle \text{if } b \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2, s \rangle \rightarrow s'}$ if $B[b]s = \text{ff}$

Top Down Evaluation of Derivation Trees

- Given a program S and an input state s
- Find an output state s' such that
 $\langle S, s \rangle \rightarrow s'$
- Start with the root and repeatedly apply rules until the axioms are reached
- Inspect different alternatives in order
- In While s' and the derivation tree is unique

Example of Top Down Tree Construction

- Input state s such that $s.x = 2$
- Factorial program

$$\langle y := 1; \text{while } \neg(x=1) \text{ do } (y := y * x; x := x - 1), s \rangle \rightarrow s[y \mapsto 2][x \mapsto 1] \quad \triangleright$$

comp_{ns}

$$\langle W, s[y \mapsto 1] \rangle \rightarrow s[y \mapsto 2][x \mapsto 1] \quad \triangleright$$

$$\langle y := 1, s \rangle \rightarrow s[y \mapsto 1]$$

ass_{ns}

$\text{while}_{\text{ns}}^{\text{tt}}$

$$\langle W, s[y \mapsto 2][x \mapsto 1] \rangle \rightarrow s[y \mapsto 2][x \mapsto 1] \quad \triangleright$$

$\text{while}_{\text{ns}}^{\text{ff}}$

$$\langle (y := y * x; x := x - 1, s[y \mapsto 1]) \rangle \rightarrow s[y \mapsto 2][x \mapsto 1] \quad \triangleright$$

comp_{ns}

$$\langle y := y * x; s[y \mapsto 1] \rangle \rightarrow s[y \mapsto 2]$$

ass_{ns}

$$\langle x := x - 1, s[y \mapsto 2] \rangle \rightarrow s[y \mapsto 2][x \mapsto 1] \quad \triangleright$$

ass_{ns}

From NOS to an interpreter

- Implement semantics of arithmetic and Boolean expressions
- Implement NOS as a function:
 - input: S, s
 - output: s' such that $\langle S, s \rangle \rightarrow s'$
- The function is recursive
 - Call tree matches derivation tree
 - Axioms are the recursion base cases
- While is deterministic: s' and the derivation tree is unique

LIVE CODE DEMO

1. `while_ast.py`
2. `expr.py`
3. `nos.py`
4. `nos_tree.py`