

Structural Operational Semantics

Mooly Sagiv

Reference: Semantics with Applications

Chapter 2

H. Nielson and F. Nielson

http://www.daimi.au.dk/~bra8130/Wiley_book/wiley.html

Motivation

- The natural semantics may be too abstract
- Does not capture individual steps
- Hard to express certain properties

Structural Operational Semantics

- Emphasizes the individual steps
- Usually more suitable for analysis
- For every statement S , write meaning rules $\langle S, i \rangle \Rightarrow \gamma$
“If the **first** step of executing the statement S on an input state i leads to γ ”
- Two possibilities for γ
 - $\gamma = \langle S', s' \rangle$ The execution of S is not completed, S' is the remaining computation which need to be performed on s'
 - $\gamma = o$ The execution of S has terminated with a final state o
 - γ is a stuck configuration when there are no transitions
- The meaning of a program P on an input state s is the set of final states that can be executed in arbitrary finite steps

Structural Semantics for While

$$[\text{ass}_{\text{sos}}] \langle x := a, s \rangle \Rightarrow s[x \mapsto \mathbf{A}[[a]]s]$$

axioms

$$[\text{skip}_{\text{sos}}] \langle \mathbf{skip}, s \rangle \Rightarrow s$$

$$[\text{comp}^1_{\text{sos}}] \langle S_1, s \rangle \Rightarrow \langle S'_1, s' \rangle$$

rules

$$\langle S_1; S_2, s \rangle \Rightarrow \langle S'_1; S_2, s' \rangle$$

$$[\text{comp}^2_{\text{sos}}] \langle S_1, s \rangle \Rightarrow s'$$

$$\langle S_1; S_2, s \rangle \Rightarrow \langle S_2, s' \rangle$$

Structural Semantics for While if construct

$[if_{sos}^{tt}] \langle \text{if } b \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2, s \rangle \Rightarrow \langle S_1, s \rangle$ if $\mathbf{B}[[b]]s = tt$

$[if_{os}^{ff}] \langle \text{if } b \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2, s \rangle \Rightarrow \langle S_2, s \rangle$ if $\mathbf{B}[[b]]s = ff$

Structural Semantics for While while construct

$[\text{while}_{\text{sos}}]$ $\langle \text{while } b \text{ do } S, s \rangle \Rightarrow$
 $\langle \text{if } b \text{ then } (S; \text{while } b \text{ do } S) \text{ else skip}, s \rangle$

Derivation Sequences

- A **finite derivation sequence** starting at $\langle S, s \rangle$
 $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \dots, \gamma_k$ such that
 - $\gamma_0 = \langle S, s \rangle$
 - $\gamma_i \Rightarrow \gamma_{i+1}$
 - γ_k is either stuck configuration or a final state
- An **infinite derivation sequence** starting at $\langle S, s \rangle$
 $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \dots$ such that
 - $\gamma_0 = \langle S, s \rangle$
 - $\gamma_i \Rightarrow \gamma_{i+1}$
- $\gamma_0 \Rightarrow^i \gamma_i$ in i steps
- $\gamma_0 \Rightarrow^* \gamma_i$ in finite number of steps
- For each step there is a derivation tree

Example

- Let s_0 such that
 $s_0 x = 5$
and
 $s_0 y = 7$
- $S = (z := x; x := y); y := z$

Factorial Program

- Input state s such that $s.x = 3$

$y := 1; \text{ while } \neg(x=1) \text{ do } (y := y * x; x := x - 1)$

$\langle y := 1; W, s \rangle$

$\Rightarrow \langle W, s[y \mapsto 1] \rangle$

$\Rightarrow \langle \text{if } \neg \neg(x=1) \text{ then skip else } ((y := y * x; x := x - 1); W), s[y \mapsto 1] \rangle$

$\Rightarrow \langle ((y := y * x; x := x - 1); W), s[y \mapsto 1] \rangle$

$\Rightarrow \langle (x := x - 1; W), s[y \mapsto 3] \rangle$

$\Rightarrow \langle W, s[y \mapsto 3][x \mapsto 2] \rangle$

$\Rightarrow \langle \text{if } \neg \neg(x=1) \text{ then skip else } ((y := y * x; x := x - 1); W), s[y \mapsto 3][x \mapsto 2] \rangle$

$\Rightarrow \langle ((y := y * x; x := x - 1); W), s[y \mapsto 3][x \mapsto 2] \rangle$

$\Rightarrow \langle (x := x - 1; W), s[y \mapsto 6][x \mapsto 2] \rangle$

$\Rightarrow \langle W, s[y \mapsto 6][x \mapsto 1] \rangle$

$\Rightarrow \langle \text{if } \neg \neg(x=1) \text{ then skip else } ((y := y * x; x := x - 1); W), s[y \mapsto 6][x \mapsto 1] \rangle$

$\Rightarrow \langle \text{skip}, s[y \mapsto 6][x \mapsto 1] \rangle \Rightarrow s[y \mapsto 6][x \mapsto 1]$

Prolog Implementation

`sos(skip, Env, Env).`

`sos(ass(LHS, RHS), Env, Env) :-
 eval_exp(RHS, Env, Val),
 upd(Env, LHS, Val, Env).`

`sos(seq(S1, S2), Env, S2, Env) :-
 sos(S1, Env, Env).`

`sos(seq(S1, S2), Env, seq(S1p, S2), Env) :-
 sos(S1, Env, S1p, Env).`

`sos(if(B, S1, _), Env, S1, Env) :-
 eval_boolean_exp(B, Env).`

`sos(if(B, _, S2), Env, S2, Env) :- \+ eval_boolean_exp(B, Env).`

`sos(while(B,S), Env, if(B, seq(S, while(B,S)), skip), Env).`

Reflexive Transitive Closure

- Let $R \subseteq V \times V$ be a binary relation
- Then R^* is the reflexive transitive closure of R
 - $R \subseteq R^*$
 - R^* is reflexive, i.e., for all $v \in V$: $\langle v, v \rangle \in R^*$
 - R^* is transitive, i.e., for all $u, v, w \in V$ such that $\langle u, v \rangle, \langle v, w \rangle \in R$, it must be that $\langle u, w \rangle \in R^*$
 - R^* is minimal
 - $\text{rstar}(U, V) :- r(U, V).$
 - $\text{rstar}(U, U).$
 - $\text{rstar}(U, W) :- r(U, V), \text{rstar}(V, W).$
 - $r(a, b).$
 - $r(b, c).$
 - $r(c, d).$

Prolog Implementation

`rtc_sos(S, Env, S, Env).`

`rtc_sos(S, Env, Sp, Envpp) :- sos(S, Env, Spp, Envpp),
rtc_sos(Spp, Envpp, Sp, Envpp).`

`rtc_sos(S, Env, Envpp) :- rtc_sos(S, Env, Sp, Envpp),
sos(Sp, Envpp, Envpp).`

`rts_sos(y:=1, [], E).`

`rts_sos(y:=5; while y != 0 do y := y-1, [], E).`

`rts_sos(y:=5; while y != 0 do y := y+1, [], E).`

Program Termination

- Given a statement S and input s
 - S **terminates** on s if there exists a finite derivation sequence starting at $\langle S, s \rangle$
 - S **terminates successfully** on s if there exists a finite derivation sequence starting at $\langle S, s \rangle$ leading to a final state
 - S **loops** on s if there exists an infinite derivation sequence starting at $\langle S, s \rangle$

Properties of the Semantics

- S_1 and S_2 are **semantically equivalent** if:
 - for all s and γ which is either final or stuck $\langle S_1, s \rangle \Rightarrow^* \gamma$ if and only if $\langle S_2, s \rangle \Rightarrow^* \gamma$
 - there is an infinite derivation sequence starting at $\langle S_1, s \rangle$ if and only if there is an infinite derivation sequence starting at $\langle S_2, s \rangle$
- **Deterministic**
 - If $\langle S, s \rangle \Rightarrow^* s_1$ and $\langle S, s \rangle \Rightarrow^* s_2$ then $s_1 = s_2$
- The execution of $S_1; S_2$ on an input can be split into two parts:
 - execute S_1 on s yielding a state s'
 - execute S_2 on s'

Sequential Composition

- If $\langle S_1; S_2, s \rangle \Rightarrow^k s''$ then there exists a state s' and numbers k_1 and k_2 such that
 - $\langle S_1, s \rangle \Rightarrow^{k_1} s'$
 - $\langle S_2, s' \rangle \Rightarrow^{k_2} s''$
 - and $k = k_1 + k_2$
- The proof uses induction on the length of derivation sequences
 - Prove that the property holds for all derivation sequences of length 0
 - Prove that the property holds for all other derivation sequences:
 - Show that the property holds for sequences of length $k+1$ using the fact it holds on all sequences of length k (induction hypothesis)

The Semantic Function S_{sos}

- The meaning of a statement S is defined as a partial function from **State** to **State**
- $S_{\text{sos}}: \mathbf{Stm} \rightarrow (\mathbf{State} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{State})$
- $S_{\text{sos}}[[S]]s = s'$ if $\langle S, s \rangle \Rightarrow^* s'$ and otherwise $S_{\text{sos}}[[S]]s$ is undefined

An Equivalence Result

- For every statement S of the While language
 - $S_{\text{nat}}[[S]] = S_{\text{sos}}[[S]]$

Extensions to While

- Abort statement (like C exit w/o return value)
- Non determinism
- Parallelism
- Local Variables
- Procedures
 - Static Scope
 - Dynamic scope

The **While** Programming Language with Abort

- Abstract syntax
$$S ::= x := a \mid \mathbf{skip} \mid S_1 ; S_2 \mid \mathbf{if} \ b \ \mathbf{then} \ S_1 \ \mathbf{else} \ S_2 \mid$$
$$\mathbf{while} \ b \ \mathbf{do} \ S \mid \mathbf{abort}$$
- Abort terminates the execution
- No new rules are needed in natural and structural operational semantics
- Statements
 - if $x = 0$ then abort else $y := y / x$
 - skip
 - abort
 - while true do skip

Conclusion

- The natural semantics cannot distinguish between looping and abnormal termination (unless the states are modified)
- In the structural operational semantics looping is reflected by infinite derivations and abnormal termination is reflected by stuck configuration

The **While** Programming Language with Non-Determinism

- Abstract syntax

$S ::= x := a \mid \mathbf{skip} \mid S_1 ; S_2 \mid \mathbf{if} \ b \ \mathbf{then} \ S_1 \ \mathbf{else} \ S_2 \mid$
 $\mathbf{while} \ b \ \mathbf{do} \ S \mid S_1 \ \mathbf{or} \ S_2$

- Either S_1 or S_2 is executed
- Example
 - $x := 1 \ \mathbf{or} \ (x := 2 ; x := x+2)$

The While Programming Language with Non-Determinism Natural Semantics

$$\frac{[\text{or}_\text{ns}^1] \langle S_1, s \rangle \rightarrow s'}{\langle S_1 \text{ or } S_2, s \rangle \rightarrow s'}$$

$$\frac{[\text{or}_\text{ns}^2] \langle S_2, s \rangle \rightarrow s'}{\langle S_1 \text{ or } S_2, s \rangle \rightarrow s'}$$

The While Programming Language with Non-Determinism Structural Semantics

The While Programming Language with Non-Determinism

Examples

- $x := 1$ or $(x := 2 ; x := x+2)$
- $(\text{while true do skip})$ or $(x := 2 ; x := x+2)$

Conclusion

- In the natural semantics non-determinism will suppress looping if possible (mnemonic)
- In the structural operational semantics non-determinism does suppress not termination configuration

The **While** Programming Language with Parallel Constructs

- Abstract syntax

$S ::= x := a \mid \mathbf{skip} \mid S_1 ; S_2 \mid \mathbf{if} \ b \ \mathbf{then} \ S_1 \ \mathbf{else} \ S_2 \mid$
 $\mathbf{while} \ b \ \mathbf{do} \ S \mid S_1 \ \mathbf{par} \ S_2$

- All the interleaving of S_1 or S_2 are executed
- Example
 - $x := 1 \ \mathbf{par} \ (x := 2 ; x := x+2)$

The **While** Programming Language with Parallel Constructs Structural Semantics

$$\frac{[\text{par}^1_{\text{sos}}] \langle S_1, s \rangle \Rightarrow \langle S'_1, s' \rangle}{\langle S_1 \text{ par } S_2, s \rangle \Rightarrow \langle S'_1 \text{ par } S_2, s' \rangle}$$

$$\frac{[\text{par}^2_{\text{sos}}] \langle S_1, s \rangle \Rightarrow s'}{\langle S_1 \text{ par } S_2, s \rangle \Rightarrow \langle S_2, s' \rangle}$$

$$\frac{[\text{par}^3_{\text{sos}}] \langle S_2, s \rangle \Rightarrow \langle S'_2, s' \rangle}{\langle S_1 \text{ par } S_2, s \rangle \Rightarrow \langle S_1 \text{ par } S'_2, s' \rangle}$$

$$\frac{[\text{par}^4_{\text{sos}}] \langle S_2, s \rangle \Rightarrow s'}{\langle S_1 \text{ par } S_2, s \rangle \Rightarrow \langle S_1, s' \rangle}$$

The **While** Programming Language with Parallel Constructs Natural Semantics

Conclusion

- In the natural semantics immediate constituent is an atomic entity so we cannot express interleaving of computations
- In the structural operational semantics we concentrate on small steps so interleaving of computations can be easily expressed

The **While** Programming Language with local variables and procedures

- Abstract syntax

$S ::= x := a \mid \text{skip} \mid S_1 ; S_2 \mid \text{if } b \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2 \mid$
 $\text{while } b \text{ do } S \mid$

$\text{begin } D_v D_p S \text{ end} \mid \text{call } p$

$D_v ::= \text{var } x := a ; D_v \mid \varepsilon$

$D_p ::= \text{proc } p \text{ is } S ; D_p \mid \varepsilon$

Conclusions Local Variables

- The natural semantics can “remember” local states
- Need to introduce stack or heap into state of the structural semantics

Some Applications

- An Operational Semantics for JavaScript:
Ankur Taly: Stanford & Google 2008
 - Used for security
- Operational semantics for Java
 - Used for proving type safety
 - Used to understand concurrency

Summary

- SOS is powerful enough to describe imperative programs
- Natural operational semantics is an abstraction
- Different semantics may be used to justify different behaviors