#### Iterative Program Analysis Abstract Interpretation

Mooly Sagiv http://www.cs.tau.ac.il/~msagiv/courses/pal1.html Tel Aviv University 640-6706

Textbook: Principles of Program Analysis Chapter 4 CC79, CC92

# Outline

- The abstract interpretation technique
  - Precision
  - Complexity
  - Widening
  - Combining Analysis
  - Backward Analysis
- Later
  - Interprocedural Analysis
  - Applications
    - » String Analysis
    - » Shape Analysis
    - » Java Safety
    - » Device Drivers

# **Constant Propagation Example**



 $df[1] = [x \mapsto T, y \mapsto T, z \mapsto T]$ df[2] = df[1]df[3] = df[1] $df[4] = df[2] [x \mapsto 3]$  $df[5] = df[3] [x \mapsto 2]$  $df[6] = df[4] [y \mapsto 2] \sqcup df[5] [y \mapsto 3]$  $df[7] = df[6] [z \mapsto df[6]x + df[6]y]$ 

#### Precision

We cannot usually have

 - α(CS) =df
 on all programs

 But can we say something about precision in all programs?

#### The Join-Over-All-Paths (JOP)

- Let paths(v) denote the potentially infinite set paths from start to v (written as sequences of edges)
- ◆ For a sequence of edges [e<sub>1</sub>, e<sub>2</sub>, ..., e<sub>n</sub>] define
   f<sup>#</sup>[e<sub>1</sub>, e<sub>2</sub>, ..., e<sub>n</sub>]: L → L by composing the effects of basic blocks

 $f^{\#}[e_{1}, e_{2}, ..., e_{n}](1) = f^{\#}(e_{n}) (... (f^{\#}(e_{2}) (f^{\#}(e_{1}) (1)) ...)$  $\bullet \text{ JOP}[v] = \bigsqcup \{ f^{\#}[e_{1}, e_{2}, ..., e_{n}](1)$ 

 $[e_1, e_2, ..., e_n] \in \text{paths}(v)$ 

# JOP vs. Least Solution

- The df solution obtained by Chaotic iteration satisfies for every v:
  - JOP[v] $\sqsubseteq$  df[v]
- ◆ A function f<sup>#</sup> is additive (distributive) if

 $- f^{\#}(\bigsqcup\{z \mid z \in X\}) = \bigsqcup\{f^{\#}(z) \mid z \in X\}$ 

If every f<sup>#</sup><sub>(u,v)</sub> is additive (distributive) for all the edges
 (u,v)

- JOP[v] = df[v]
- Examples
  - Maybe garbage
  - Formal Available expressions
  - Constant Propagation
  - Points-to

# Notions of precision

- $CS = \gamma (df)$
- $\alpha(CS) = df$
- Meet(Join) over all paths
- Using best(induced) transformers
- Good enough for the client

# **Complexity of Chaotic Iterations**

- Usually depends on the height of the lattice
- In some cases better bound exist
- A function f is fast if  $f(f(1)) \sqsubseteq 1 \sqcup f(1)$
- For fast functions the Chaotic iterations can be implemented in O(nest \* |V|) iterations
  - nest is the number of nested loop
  - |V| is the number of control flow nodes
- Examples
  - Maybe garbage
  - Formal Available expressions
  - Constant Propagation
  - Points-to

# Widening

- Accelerate the termination of Chaotic iterations by computing a more conservative solution
   Can handle lattices of infinite heights
- Can handle lattices of infinite heights

#### Specialized Chaotic Iterations+ ▽

- Chaotic(G(V, E): Graph, s: Node, L: lattice,  $\iota$ : L, f: E  $\rightarrow$ (L  $\rightarrow$ L)){
  - for each v in V to n do  $df_{entry}[v] := \bot$
  - $df[v] = \iota$
  - $WL = \{s\}$
  - while  $(WL \neq \emptyset)$  do

```
select and remove an element u \in WL
for each v, such that. (u, v) \in E do
temp = f(e)(df_{entry}[u])
new := df_{entry}(v) \nabla temp
if (new \neq df_{entry}[v]) then
df_{entry}[v] := new;
WL := WL \cup \{v\}
```

# **Example Interval Analysis**

- Find a lower and an upper bound of the value of a variable
- Usages?
- ♦ Lattice
  - $L = (Z \cup \{-\infty, \infty\} \times Z \cup \{-\infty, \infty\}, \sqsubseteq, \sqcup, \sqcap, \bot, \mathsf{T})$ 
    - $[a, b] \sqsubseteq [c, d] \text{ if } c \le a \text{ and } d \ge b$
    - $[a, b] \sqcup [c, d] = [min(a, c), max(b, d)]$
    - [a, b]  $\sqcap$  [c, d] = [max(a, c), min(b, d)]
    - **T** =
  - $\perp =$

#### Example Program Interval Analysis

 $[x := 1]^1;$ while  $[x \le 1000]^2$  do  $[x := x + 1;]^3$  IntEntry(1) = [minint,maxint] IntExit(1) = [1,1] IntEntry(2) = IntExit(1)  $\sqcup$  IntExit(3) IntExit(2) = IntEntry(2)



IntEntry(3) = IntExit(2)  $\sqcap$  [minint,1000] IntExit(3) = IntEntry(3)+[1,1]

IntEntry(4) = IntExit(2)  $\sqcap$  [1001,maxint] IntExit(4) = IntEntry(4)

# Widening for Interval Analysis

```
\checkmark \perp \nabla [c, d] = [c, d]
\bullet [a, b] \bigtriangledown [c, d] = [
          if a \leq c
                      then a
                      else -\infty,
          if b \ge d
                      then b
                      else \infty
```

#### Example Program Interval Analysis

IntEntry(1) =  $[-\infty, \infty]$  $[x := 1]^1;$ IntExit(1) = [1,1]while  $[x \le 1000]^2$  do  $IntEntry(2) = InExit(2) \bigtriangledown (IntExit(1) \sqcup IntExit(3))$  $[x := x + 1;]^3$ IntExit(2) = IntEntry(2)IntEntry(3) = IntExit(2)  $\Box$  [- $\infty$ ,1000]  $[x:=1]^1$ IntExit(3) = IntEntry(3) + [1,1] $[x \le 1000]^2$ +[exit]<sup>4</sup> IntEntry(4) = IntExit(2)  $\sqcap$  [1001,  $\infty$ ]  $x := x + 11^3$ IntExit(4) = IntEntry(4)

# **Requirements on Widening**

For all elements l<sub>1</sub> ⊔ l<sub>2</sub> ⊑ l<sub>1</sub> ⊽ l<sub>2</sub>
For all ascending chains l<sub>0</sub> ⊑ l<sub>1</sub> ⊑ l<sub>2</sub> ⊑ ... the following sequence is finite

y<sub>0</sub> = l<sub>0</sub>
y<sub>i+1</sub> = y<sub>i</sub> ⊽ l<sub>i+1</sub>

For a monotonic function

f: L → L
define
x<sub>0</sub> = ⊥

 $- x_{i+1} = x_i \bigtriangledown f(x_i)$ 

#### • Theorem:

- There exits k such that  $x_{k+1} = x_k$
- $x_k \in \operatorname{Red}(f) = \{l: l \in L, f(l) \sqsubseteq l\}$

# Narrowing

- Improve the result of widening
- $\bullet \ y \sqsubseteq x \Rightarrow y \sqsubseteq (x \bigtriangleup y) \sqsubseteq x$
- For all decreasing chains  $x_0 \supseteq x_1 \supseteq ...$ the following sequence is finite

$$- y_0 = x_0$$

$$- y_{i+1} = y_i \bigtriangleup x_{i+1}$$

- For a monotonic function
   f: L → L and x ∈Red(f) = {1: 1 ∈ L, f(1) ⊑ 1}
   define
  - $y_0 = x$ -  $y_{i+1} = y_i \triangle f(y_i)$

#### • Theorem:

- There exits k such that  $y_{k+1} = y_k$
- $y_k \in \operatorname{Red}(f) = \{l: l \in L, f(l) \sqsubseteq l\}$

#### Narrowing for Interval Analysis

```
\bullet [a, b] \triangle \perp = [a, b]
\bullet [a, b] \triangle [c, d] = [
         if a = -\infty
                    then c
                    else a,
         if b = \infty
                    then d
                    else b
```

#### Example Program Interval Analysis

IntEntry(1) =  $[-\infty, \infty]$  $[x := 1]^1;$ IntExit(1) = [1,1]while  $[x \le 1000]^2$  do  $IntEntry(2) = InExit(2) \bigtriangleup (IntExit(1) \sqcup IntExit(3))$  $[x := x + 1;]^3$ IntExit(2) = IntEntry(2)IntEntry(3) = IntExit(2)  $\Box$  [- $\infty$ ,1000]  $[x:=1]^1$ IntExit(3) = IntEntry(3) + [1,1] $[x \le 1000]^2$ +[exit]<sup>4</sup> IntEntry(4) = IntExit(2)  $\sqcap$  [1001,  $\infty$ ]

IntExit(4) = IntEntry(4)

 $x := x + 11^3$ 

#### Non Montonicity of Widening

• [0,1] ⊽ [0,2] = [0,∞]
• [0,2] ⊽ [0,2] = [0,2]

#### Domains with Infinite Heights for Integers



# Widening and Narrowing Summary

◆ Very simple but produces impressive precision
◆ Sometimes non-monotonic
◆ The McCarthy 91 function int f(x) [-∞, ∞] { if x > 100 [101, ∞] return x -10 [91, ∞-10]; else [-∞, 100] return f(f(x+11)) [91, 91];

Also useful in the finite case
Can be used as a methodological tool

## **Backward Analysis**

- Sometimes interesting information involves the future of the computation
- Apply Chaotic Iterations by following edges backward
- Examples
  - Liveness information
    - » A variable x is live at a program point if there exists a path from this point to a use of x and that this path does not include an assignment to x
  - Busy expressions
    - » A formal expression is **busy** at the program point if all paths from this point use this expression

# Specialized Chaotic Iterations (Backward)

Chaotic(G(V, E): Graph, e: Node, L: Lattice,  $\iota$ : L, f: E  $\rightarrow$ (L  $\rightarrow$ L)){ for each v in V to n do  $df_{exit}[v] := \bot$  $df[e] = \iota$  $WL = \{e\}$ while (WL  $\neq \emptyset$ ) do select and remove an element  $u \in WL$ for each v, such that.  $(u, v) \in E$  do  $temp = f(e)(df_{evit}[v])$ new :=  $df_{exit}(u) \sqcup temp$ if (new  $\neq$  df<sub>exit</sub>[u]) then

```
df_{exit}[u] := new;
WL := WL \cup \{u\}
```

# Conclusions(1)

- Good static analysis =
  - Precise enough (for the client)
  - Efficient enough
- Good static analysis
  - Good domain
    - » Abstract non-important details
    - » Represent relevant concrete information
    - » Only maintains important correlations
    - » Precise and efficient abstract meaning of abstract interpreters
    - » Efficient join implementation
    - » Small height or widening

#### Conclusion

- Chaotic iterations is a powerful technique
- Easy to implement
- Rather precise
- But expensive
  - More efficient methods exist for structured programs
- Abstract interpretation relates runtime semantics and static information
- The concrete semantics serves as a tool in designing abstractions
  - More intuition will be given in the sequel