

Iterative Program Analysis

Abstract Interpretation

Mooly Sagiv

<http://www.cs.tau.ac.il/~msagiv/courses/pa11.html>

Tel Aviv University

640-6706

Textbook: **Principles of Program Analysis**

Chapter 4

CC79, CC92

Outline

- ◆ The abstract interpretation technique
 - The main theorem
 - Applications
 - Precision
 - Complexity
 - Widening
- ◆ Later on
 - Combining Analysis
 - Interprocedural Analysis
 - Shape Analysis

Soundness Theorem(1)

1. Let (α, γ) form Galois connection from C to A
2. $f: C \rightarrow C$ be a monotone function
3. $f^\# : A \rightarrow A$ be a monotone function
4. $\forall a \in A: f(\gamma(a)) \sqsubseteq \gamma(f^\#(a))$

$$\text{lfp}(f) \sqsubseteq \gamma(\text{lfp}(f^\#))$$

$$\alpha(\text{lfp}(f)) \sqsubseteq \text{lfp}(f^\#)$$

Soundness Theorem(2)

1. Let (α, γ) form Galois connection from C to A
2. $f: C \rightarrow C$ be a monotone function
3. $f^\# : A \rightarrow A$ be a monotone function
4. $\forall c \in C: \alpha(f(c)) \sqsubseteq f^\#(\alpha(c))$

$$\alpha(\text{lfp}(f)) \sqsubseteq \text{lfp}(f^\#)$$

$$\text{lfp}(f) \sqsubseteq \gamma(\text{lfp}(f^\#))$$

Soundness Theorem(3)

1. Let (α, γ) form Galois connection from C to A
2. $f: C \rightarrow C$ be a monotone function
3. $f^\# : A \rightarrow A$ be a monotone function
4. $\forall a \in A: \alpha(f(\gamma(a))) \sqsubseteq f^\#(a)$

$$\alpha(\text{lfp}(f)) \sqsubseteq \text{lfp}(f^\#)$$

$$\text{lfp}(f) \sqsubseteq \gamma(\text{lfp}(f^\#))$$

Completeness

$$\alpha(\text{lfp}(f)) = \text{lfp}(f^\#)$$

$$\text{lfp}(f) = \gamma(\text{lfp}(f^\#))$$

Constant Propagation

- ◆ $\beta: [\text{Var} \rightarrow Z] \rightarrow [\text{Var} \rightarrow Z \cup \{\top, \perp\}]$
 - $\beta(\sigma) = (\sigma)$
- ◆ $\alpha: P([\text{Var} \rightarrow Z]) \rightarrow [\text{Var} \rightarrow Z \cup \{\top, \perp\}]$
 - $\alpha(X) = \sqcup \{ \beta(\sigma) \mid \sigma \in X \} = \sqcup \{ \sigma \mid \sigma \in X \}$
- ◆ $\gamma: [\text{Var} \rightarrow Z \cup \{\top, \perp\}] \rightarrow P([\text{Var} \rightarrow Z])$
 - $\gamma(\sigma^\#) = \{ \sigma \mid \beta(\sigma) \sqsubseteq \sigma^\# \} = \{ \sigma \mid \sigma \sqsubseteq \sigma^\# \}$
- ◆ Local Soundness
 - $\llbracket \text{st} \rrbracket^\#(\sigma^\#) \sqsupseteq \alpha(\{ \llbracket \text{st} \rrbracket \sigma \mid \sigma \in \gamma(\sigma^\#) \}) = \sqcup \{ \llbracket \text{st} \rrbracket \sigma \mid \sigma \sqsubseteq \sigma^\# \}$
- ◆ Optimality (Induced)
 - $\llbracket \text{st} \rrbracket^\#(\sigma^\#) = \alpha(\{ \llbracket \text{st} \rrbracket \sigma \mid \sigma \in \gamma(\sigma^\#) \}) = \sqcup \{ \llbracket \text{st} \rrbracket \sigma \mid \sigma \sqsubseteq \sigma^\# \}$
- ◆ Soundness
- ◆ Completeness

Formal available expression

- ◆ Find out which expressions are available at a given program point

- ◆ Example program

$x = y + t$ // $\{(y+t)\}$

$z = y + r$ // $\{(y+t), (y+r)\}$

while (...) { // $\{(y+t), (y+r)\}$

$t = t + (y + r)$ // $\{(y+t), (y+r), t + (y + r)\}$
 }

Available expression lattice

- ◆ $P(\text{Fexp})$
- ◆ $X \sqsubseteq Y \Leftrightarrow X \supseteq Y$
- ◆ $X \sqcup Y = X \cap Y$
- ◆ $\perp = \text{Fexp}$
- ◆ $\top = \emptyset$

Available expressions

- ◆ Computing F_{exp} for while

$s ::= \text{skip} \{ s.F_{exp} := \emptyset \}$

$s ::= \text{id} = \text{exp} \{ s.F_{exp} = \{ \text{exp.rep} \}$

$s ::= s ; s \{ s.F_{exp} := s[1].F_{exp} \cup s[2].F_{exp} \}$

$s ::= \text{while exp do } s \{ s.F_{exp} := \{ \text{exp.rep} \} \cup s[1].F_{exp} \}$

$s ::= \text{if exp then } s \text{ else } s$

$\{ s.F_{exp} := \{ \text{exp.rep} \} \cup s[1].F_{exp} \cup s[2].F_{exp} \}$

Instrumented Semantics

Available expressions

- ◆ $S \llbracket \text{Stm} \rrbracket : \text{State} \times \mathcal{P}(\text{Fexp}) \rightarrow \text{State} \times \mathcal{P}(\text{Fexp})$

- ◆ $S \llbracket \text{id} := a \rrbracket (\sigma, \text{ae}) = (\sigma[a \mapsto a \llbracket a \rrbracket \sigma], \text{notArg}(\text{id}, \text{ae} \cup \{a\}))$

- ◆ $S \llbracket \text{skip} \rrbracket (\sigma, \text{ae}) = (\sigma, \text{ae})$

- ◆ $\text{CS} \llbracket \text{Stm} \rrbracket : \mathcal{P}(\text{State} \times \mathcal{P}(\text{Fexp})) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\text{State} \times \mathcal{P}(\text{Fexp}))$

- ◆ $\text{CS} \llbracket s \rrbracket (X) = \{S \llbracket s \rrbracket (\sigma, \text{ae}) \mid (\sigma, \text{ae}) \in X\}$

Collecting Semantics Example

$x = y * z;$

if (x == 6)

$y = z + t;$

...

if (x == 6)

$r = z + t;$

Formal Available Expressions Abstraction

- ◆ $\beta: [\text{Var} \rightarrow Z] \times P(\text{Fexp}) \rightarrow P(\text{Fexp})$
 - $\beta(\sigma, ae) = (ae)$
- ◆ $\alpha: P(P([\text{Var} \rightarrow Z] \times P(\text{Fexp}))) \rightarrow P(\text{Fexp})$
 - $\alpha(X) = \sqcup \{ \beta(\sigma) \mid \sigma \in X \} = \cap \{ ae \mid (\sigma, ae) \in X \}$
- ◆ $\gamma: P(\text{Fexp}) \rightarrow P(P([\text{Var} \rightarrow Z] \times P(\text{Fexp})))$
 - $\gamma(ae^\#) = \{ (\sigma, ae) \mid \beta(\sigma, ae) \sqsubseteq ae^\# \} = \{ (\sigma, ae) \mid ae \supseteq ae^\# \}$

Formal Available Expressions AI

- ◆ $S \llbracket \text{Stm} \rrbracket^\# : P(\text{Fexp}) \rightarrow P(\text{Fexp})$
- ◆ $S \llbracket \text{id} := a \rrbracket^\# (ae) = \text{notArg}(\text{id}, ae \cup \{a\})$
- ◆ $S \llbracket \text{skip} \rrbracket^\# (ae) = (ae)$
- ◆ Local Soundness
 - $\llbracket \text{st} \rrbracket^\#(ae^\#) \supseteq \sqcup \{ (\llbracket \text{st} \rrbracket (\sigma, ae)[1] \mid ae \sqsubseteq ae^\#) \}$
- ◆ Optimality
 - $\llbracket \text{st} \rrbracket^\#(ae^\#) = \alpha(\{ \llbracket \text{st} \rrbracket (\sigma, ae) \mid (\sigma, ae) \in \gamma(\sigma^\#) \}) = \sqcup \{ (\llbracket \text{st} \rrbracket (\sigma, ae)[1] \mid ae \sqsubseteq ae^\#) \}$
- ◆ The initial value at the entry is \emptyset
- ◆ Example program

```
x = y + t //{(y+t)}
z = y + r //{(y+t), (y+r)}
while (...) { // {(y+t), (y+r)}
    t = t + (y + r) // { (y+r)}
}
```
- ◆ Soundness and Completeness

Example: May-Be-Garbage

- ◆ A variable x may-be-garbage at a program point v if there exists a execution path leading to v in which x 's value is unpredictable:
 - Was not assigned
 - Was assigned using an unpredictable expression
- ◆ Lattice
- ◆ Galois connection
- ◆ Basic statements
- ◆ Soundness

The **PWhile** Programming Language

Abstract Syntax

$a := x \mid *x \mid \&x \mid n \mid a_1 \text{ op}_a a_2$

$b := \text{true} \mid \text{false} \mid \text{not } b \mid b_1 \text{ op}_b b_2 \mid a_1 \text{ op}_r a_2$

$S := x := a \mid *x := a \mid \text{skip} \mid S_1 ; S_2 \mid$
if b **then** S_1 **else** $S_2 \mid$ **while** b **do** S

Concrete Semantics for PWhile

$$\text{State1} = [\text{Loc} \rightarrow \text{Loc} \cup \mathbb{Z}]$$

For every atomic statement S

$$\llbracket S \rrbracket : \text{States1} \rightarrow \text{States1}$$

$$\llbracket x := a \rrbracket(\sigma) = \sigma[\text{loc}(x) \mapsto \mathbf{A}\llbracket a \rrbracket \sigma]$$

$$\llbracket x := \&y \rrbracket(\sigma)$$

$$\llbracket x := *y \rrbracket(\sigma)$$

$$\llbracket x := y \rrbracket(\sigma)$$

$$\llbracket *x := y \rrbracket(\sigma)$$

Points-To Analysis

- ◆ Lattice $L_{pt} =$
- ◆ Galois connection

t := &a;

y := &b;

z := &c;

if x > 0

 then p := &y;

 else p := &z;

*p := t;

```
/*  $\emptyset$  */ t := &a; /* {(t, a)} */  
/* {(t, a)} */ y := &b; /* {(t, a), (y, b)} */  
/* {(t, a), (y, b)} */ z := &c; /* {(t, a), (y, b), (z, c)} */  
if x > 0;  
    then p := &y; /* {(t, a), (y, b), (z, c), (p, y)} */  
  
    else p := &z; /* {(t, a), (y, b), (z, c), (p, z)} */  
/* {(t, a), (y, b), (z, c), (p, y), (p, z)} */  
  
*p := t;  
/* {(t, a), (y, b), (y, c), (p, y), (p, z), (y, a), (z, a)} */
```

Abstract Semantics for PWhile

State# = $P(\text{Var}^* \times \text{Var}^*)$

For every atomic statement S

$\llbracket x := a \rrbracket(\sigma)$

$\llbracket x := \&y \rrbracket(\sigma)$

$\llbracket x := *y \rrbracket(\sigma)$

$\llbracket x := y \rrbracket(\sigma)$

$\llbracket *x := y \rrbracket(\sigma)$

```
/*  $\emptyset$  */ t := &a; /* {(t, a)} */  
/* {(t, a)} */ y := &b; /* {(t, a), (y, b)} */  
/* {(t, a), (y, b)} */ z := &c; /* {(t, a), (y, b), (z, c)} */  
if x > 0;  
    then p := &y; /* {(t, a), (y, b), (z, c), (p, y)} */  
  
    else p := &z; /* {(t, a), (y, b), (z, c), (p, z)} */  
/* {(t, a), (y, b), (z, c), (p, y), (p, z)} */  
  
*p := t;  
/* {(t, a), (y, b), (y, c), (p, y), (p, z), (y, a), (z, a)} */
```

Flow insensitive points-to-analysis

Steengard 1996

- ◆ Ignore control flow
- ◆ One set of points-to per program
- ◆ Can be represented as a directed graph
- ◆ Conservative approximation
 - Accumulate pointers
- ◆ Can be computed in almost linear time
 - Union find

t := &a;

y := &b;

z := &c;

if x > 0;

 then p := &y;

 else p := &z;

*p := t;

Conclusion

- ◆ Chaotic iterations is a powerful technique
- ◆ Easy to implement
- ◆ Rather precise
- ◆ But expensive
 - More efficient methods exist for structured programs
- ◆ Abstract interpretation relates runtime semantics and static information
- ◆ The concrete semantics serves as a tool in designing abstractions
 - More intuition will be given in the sequel