

Blockchain Seminar



Proof of work

By Idan Gerichter

Junk email problem



Nigerian Prince




Restrain spammers

- Spammers can send thousands (or more) emails per second
- We want to limit the amount of emails a spammer can send


Possible solution #1




Prince



Timestamp




More than X secs
passed from "Prince"
last email




Bob


Problems with solution #1




More than X secs
passed from “Prince 2”
last email




Timestamp




Timestamp




Timestamp



Timestamp



Timestamp



Timestamp



Prince 1



Prince 2



Prince 3



Prince 4



Prince 5




Prince 6

Problems with solution #1

- A spammer can create many accounts
- The same apply for ip addresses
- We require a trusted third party


Possible solution #2

- Let's take snail mail as example
- Every email will cost a nominal fee - virtual stamp






Negligible for
regular user

Alice






Bob

Solution #2 - spammer



A lot of money
for a spammer



Problems with solution #2

- Usage fees can be deterrent for most users
- We don't want a system where sending notes between friends will cost similarly to a postage stamp.

Computational approach

- Usage fees by computational power
- The sender will be required to invest computing power - preventing him from spamming
- First proposed in *“Pricing via Processing or Combatting Junk mail”* [Dwork & Naor 92]

Dwork




Naor

The missing link - Proof of Work

- We need a way of efficiently verifying a computational effort has been made by the sender.

Challenge-Response PoW scheme

4. Compute



Alice

1. Ask for service



3. Send challenge: Factorize 9,487




5. Response: $9487 = 53 * 179$



7. Grant access



2. Choose challenge




6. Verify


Bob

Theoretical model definitions

- Resource – The object we want to limit access to (e.g. my mail box)
- User – Desires access to the resource



User



Resource

Theoretical model definitions


- Resource manager – Regulates access to the resource
- Pricing function – Moderately difficult to compute but not infeasible. Easy to verify.



User Pricing function proof



Resource manager



Resource

Pricing function definition

- Let f be a *pricing function* if:
 - f is moderately easy to compute
 - f is not amenable to amortizations:
 - The computational cost of computing $f(m_1), \dots, f(m_k)$ is comparable to the sum of cost of computing $f(m_i)$ where $1 \leq i \leq k$
 - f is not amenable to preprocessing
 - Given x, y it is easy to determine if $y = f(x)$

Introduction to Cryptographic hash functions

- Preimage resistance
 - Given y , hard to find x such that $H(x) = y$
- 2nd preimage resistance:
 - Given x hard to find $x' \neq x$ such that $H(x') = H(x)$
- Collision resistance:
 - Hard to find $x \neq x'$ such that $H(x) = H(x')$

Random oracle model



“Ideal cryptographic hash function”

Pricing function classes of difficulty

- Focus on the relative difficulty of computational tasks rather than asymptotic growth
- A good pricing function will have a difficulty parameter

Easy problems

Efficient solution exists
Ex: Verify preimage

Moderate Problems

Somewhere in the gap

Hard Problems

Infeasible in reasonable time
Ex: Hash preimage

Pricing function example - Hashcash

- $H: \{0,1\}^* \rightarrow \{0,1\}^n$ is a cryptographic hash function
- If random oracle, for a string s :
Every bit in $H(s)$ is 0 or 1 with probability $\frac{1}{2}$
- The probability the first k bits are 0 is $\frac{1}{2^k}$

Hashcash continue

- Find s such that $H(s)$ has k leading zeros.
 s is the proof
- In a brute force attack the complexity of such PoW is $O(2^k)$ in expectation
- We assume H is partial preimage resistance

Hashcash – Preprocessing

- Pricing function should be “not amenable to preprocessing”
- t is a timestamp
- Task: find s such that $H(t | s)$ has k leading zeros
- A better function might be $H(t | s) < target$
Used in bitcoin

Connection to blockchain

- What miners do in blockchain is solving PoW puzzles!
- But why?
- PoW secures the integrity and order of blocks

Blockchain pricing function

- The pricing function should be a function of the current block and the previous block.
- Blockchain block internals:

Block:
Header: <i>B_{prev_hash}</i> , <i>POW</i> , ...
Transactions: Tx 1 Tx 2 Tx 3 ...

Pricing function task

- Find $PoW \in \{0,1\}^*$ such that $H(block)$ has k leading zeros.
- Note: actual PoW uses only the merkle root.

Block:

Header:

B_{prev_hash} , PoW , ...

Transactions:


T_x 1

T_x 2

T_x 3

...

Proof of Work in blockchain



LCR and Proof of Work

- Tampering with a block c cannot be done without **redoing the work**
- Not only of the current block but **all the blocks chained after** it
- Not only the work must be redone for those block but also **faster** than the pace new blocks are generated!

Questions?

Thank you for listening!