

Featherweight Java

Chapter 19

Benjamin Pierce

Types and Programming Languages

Eiffel, 1989

Cook, W.R. (1989) - *A Proposal for Making Eiffel Type-Safe*, in Proceedings of ECOOP'89. S. Cook (ed.), pp. 57-70. Cambridge University Press.

Bertrand Meyer, on unsoundness of Eiffel:

“Eiffel users universally report that they almost never run into such problems in real software development.”

Ten years later: Java

Java is not type-safe

[Vijay Saraswat](#)

AT&T Research, 180 Park Avenue, Florham Park NJ 07932

Java^{light} is Type-Safe — Definitely

Tobias Nipkow and David von Oheimb*

Fakultät für Informatik, Technische Universität München

<http://www4.informatik.tu-muenchen.de/~{nipkow|oheimb}>

Proving Java Type Soundness

Don Syme*

email: drs1004@c1.cam.ac.uk

June 17, 1997

Java is Type Safe — Probably

Sophia Drossopoulou and Susan Eisenbach

Department of Computing
Imperial College of Science, Technology and Medicine
email: [sd](mailto:sd@doc.ic.ac.uk) and [se](mailto:se@doc.ic.ac.uk) @doc.ic.ac.uk

Interesting Aspects of Java

- Object Oriented
 - (Almost) everything is an object
 - Single inheritance
 - Adding fields
 - Method override
 - Open recursion
 - Interfaces
 - Encapsulation
- Reflection
- Concurrency
- Libraries
- Type safety
 - Well typed programs have no undefined semantics

Featherweight Java

- (Minimal) Purely functional object oriented **strict** subset of Java
- Supports
 - Everything is an object
 - Single inheritance
 - Adding fields
 - Method override
 - Open recursion
- Simple
 - Operational Semantics
 - Type Checking
 - **Proof of safety**
- Extensions
 - Interface
 - Inner classes
 - Polymorphism

Featherweight Java

CL ::= class declarations
class c extends C { C f ; K M }

K ::= constructor declarations
C (C f) { super(f) ; this.f=f ; }

v ::= values
new C(v)

M ::= method declarations
C m(C x) { return t ; }

t ::= terms
x variable
t.f field access
t.m(t) method invocation
new C(t) object creation
(C) t cast

A Simple Example

```
class Bicycle extends object {
```

```
    int currentSpeed ; // field
```

```
    int currentGear ; // field
```

```
    Bicycle(int s, int g) { // constructor
```

```
        super() ;
```

```
        this.currentSpeed= s ;
```

```
        this.currentGear= g ;
```

```
    }
```

```
    Bicycle UpShift ()    {
```

```
        return new Bicycle(this.currentSpeed,  
this.currentGear+1) ; }
```

```
    }
```

```
class MountainBike extends Bicycle {
```

```
    int LowerGear;
```

```
    MountainBike(int s, int g, int l) {
```

```
        super(s, g) ;
```

```
        this.LowerGear= l ; }
```

```
    Bicycle UpShift ()
```

```
        { ... }
```

```
    }
```

```
class Main extends object {
```

```
    Bicycle b;
```

```
    Main() {
```

```
        super() ; this.b = new MountainBike(3, 3, 5); }
```

```
    Bicycle UpShift() {
```

```
        return this.b.UpShift() ; }
```

```
    }
```

Running example

```
class A extends Object { A() { supper(); } }
```

```
class B extends Object { B() { supper(); } }
```

```
class Pair extends Object {  
    Object first;  
    Object second;  
    Pair(Object fst, Object snd) {  
        supper();  
        this first=fst;  
        this second = snd;  
    }  
    Pair SetFst(Object newfst) {  
        return new Pair(newfst, this.snd);  
    }  
}
```

Nominal vs. Structural Type Systems

- When are two types equal:
- Structural equivalence
 - Two isomorphic types are identical
 - $\text{NatPair} = \{\text{fst: Nat}, \text{snd: Nat}\}$
- Nominal (name equivalence) type systems
 - Compound types have name
 - The name carries significant information
 - Type name must match

Nominal vs. Structural Type Systems

Nominal

- Type name is useful at runtime
 - “Generic” programming
 - Efficient runtime checks
- Naturally supports recursive types
- Efficient subtyping checks
- Prevent “spurious” subsumption

Structural

- Type expressions are closed entities
- Supports type abstractions
 - Parametric polymorphism
 - Abstract data types
 - User defined type operators
 - ...

The Class Table

- Maps class names to their class definitions (excluding objects)

Running example

class A extends Object { A() { supper(); } } A ↦ class A extends Object {...}

class B extends Object { B() { supper(); } } B ↦ class B extends Object {...}

class Pair extends Object { Pair ↦ class Pair extends Object {...}

 Object first;

 Object second;

 Pair(Object fst, Object snd) {

 supper();

 this first=fst;

 this second = snd;

 }

 Pair SetFst(Object newfst) {

 return new Pair(newfst, this.snd);

 }

}

Featherweight Java with subtyping

CL ::=	class declarations	Subtyping	$C <: D$
	class c extends C { $\underline{C} \underline{f}$; K \underline{M} }		
K ::=	constructor declarations		$C <: C$
	C ($\underline{C} \underline{f}$) { super(\underline{f}) ; this. \underline{f} = \underline{f} ; }		$\frac{C <: D \quad D <: E}{C <: E}$
M ::=	method declarations		
	C m($\underline{C} \underline{x}$) { return t; }		$\frac{CT(C) = \text{class } C \text{ extends } D \{ \dots \}}{C <: D}$
t ::=	terms		
	x variable		
	t.f field access		
	t.m(\underline{t}) method invocation		
	new C(\underline{t}) object creation		
	(C) t cast		
v ::=	values		
	new C(\underline{v})		

The Class Table

- Maps class names to their class definitions (excluding objects)
- A program is a class table and a term
- Consistency requirements
 - $<:$ is acyclic ($<:$ is a partial order)
 - $CT(C) = \text{class } C \dots$ for every C in $\text{dom}(CT)$
 - $\text{Object} \notin \text{dom}(CT)$
 - For every class C appearing in CT except Object , $c \in \text{dom}(CT)$
- $\text{fields}(C) = \underline{C} \underline{f}$ are the fields declared in C
- $\text{mbody}(m, C) = (\underline{x}, t)$ where \underline{x} are the formal arguments and t is m 's body

Plan

- A small step operational semantics
- Potential runtime errors
- Basic type system
- Corrections

New Evaluation

$$\frac{t_i \rightarrow t'_i}{\text{new } C(\underline{y}, t_i, \underline{t}) \rightarrow \text{new } C(\underline{y}, t'_i, \underline{t})}$$

(E-New-Arg)

Field Projection

$$\frac{\text{fields}(C) = \underline{C} \underline{f}}{\quad} \quad (\text{E-ProjNew})$$

$$\text{new } C(\underline{v}).f_i \rightarrow v_i$$

$$\text{new Pair}(\text{new } A(), \text{new Pair}(\text{new } A(), \text{new } B())).\text{snd} \rightarrow \text{new Pair}(\text{new } A(), \text{new } B())$$

$$\frac{t_0 \rightarrow t'_0}{\quad} \quad (\text{E-Field})$$

$$t_0.f \rightarrow t'_0.f$$

$$\text{new Pair}(\text{new } A(), \text{new Pair}(\text{new } A(), \text{new } B())).\text{snd.fst} \rightarrow \text{new } A()$$

Method Invocation

- Use the (actual) class to determine the exact method
- Bind actual parameters to formals
- Benefit from absence of side effects

Method Invocation

$mbody(m, C) = (\underline{x}, t_0)$ (E-InvNew)

$new\ C(\underline{v}).m(\underline{u}) \rightarrow [\underline{x} \mapsto \underline{u},\ this \mapsto new\ C(\underline{v})] t_0$

$new\ Pair(new\ A(),\ new\ B()).setfst(new\ B()) \rightarrow$

$[newfst \mapsto new\ B(),\ this \mapsto new\ Pair(new\ A(),\ new\ B())] new\ Pair(newfst,\ this.snd)$

$= new\ Pair(new\ B(),\ new\ Pair(new\ A(),\ new\ B()).snd) \rightarrow$ (E-ProjNew)

$new\ Pair(new\ B(),\ new\ B())$

Method Invocation

$mbody(m, C) = (\underline{x}, t_0)$ (E-InvNew)

$new C(\underline{v}).m(\underline{u}) \rightarrow [\underline{x} \mapsto \underline{u}, this \mapsto new C(\underline{v})] t_0$

$t_0 \rightarrow t'_0$ (E-InvkRecv)

$t_0.m(\underline{t}) \rightarrow t'_0.m(\underline{t})$

$t_i \rightarrow t'_i$ (E-InvArg)

$v_0.m(\underline{v}, t_i, \underline{t}) \rightarrow v_0.m(\underline{v}, t'_i, \underline{t})$

Cast Invocation

- Assure that the casting is valid
- Convert the type

Cast Invocation

$\frac{C <: D}{(D) \text{ new } C(\underline{v}) \rightarrow \text{ new } C(\underline{v})}$ (E-CastNew)

$\frac{t_0 \rightarrow t'_0}{(C) t_0 \rightarrow (C) t'_0}$ (E-Cast)

$((\text{Pair}) \text{ new Pair}(\text{new Pair}(\text{new A}(), \text{new B}()), \text{new A()}).\text{fst}).\text{snd} \rightarrow$
(E-ProjNew)

$((\text{Pair}) \text{ new Pair}(\text{new A}(), \text{new B()})).\text{snd} \rightarrow$
(E-CastNew)

$\text{new Pair}(\text{new A}(), \text{new B()}).\text{snd} \rightarrow$
(E-ProjNew)

$\text{new B}()$

FJ Semantics Summary

fields(C) = \underline{C} \underline{f} (E-ProjNew)

new C(\underline{v}). f_i \rightarrow v_i

mbody(m, C) = (\underline{x} , t_0) (E-InvNew)

new C(\underline{v}).m(\underline{u}) \rightarrow [$\underline{x} \mapsto \underline{u}$, this \mapsto new C(\underline{v})] t_0

C <: D (E-CastNew)

(D) (new C(\underline{v})) \rightarrow new C(\underline{v})

$t_0 \rightarrow t'_0$ (E-Field)

$t_0.f \rightarrow t'_0.f$

$t_0 \rightarrow t'_0$ (E-InvkRecv)

$t_0.m(\underline{t}) \rightarrow t'_0.m(\underline{t})$

$t_i \rightarrow t'_i$ (E-InvArg)

$v_0.m(\underline{v}, t_i, \underline{t}) \rightarrow v_0.m(\underline{v}, t'_i, \underline{t})$

$t_i \rightarrow t'_i$ (E-New-Arg)

new C($\underline{v}, t_i, \underline{t}$) \rightarrow new C($\underline{v}, t'_i, \underline{t}$)

$t_0 \rightarrow t'_0$ (E-Cast)

(C) $t_0 \rightarrow t'_0$

Potential Runtime Errors

- Incompatible constructor invocation
 - `new Pair(new A())`
- Incompatible field selection
 - `new Pair(new A(), new B()).thrd`
- Incompatible method invocation “message not understood”
 - `new A().setfst(new B())`
- Incompatible arguments of methods
- Incompatible return value of methods
- **Incompatible downcasts**

The Class Table

- Maps class names to their class definitions (excluding objects)
- A program is a class table and a term
- $\text{fields}(C) = \underline{C} \underline{f}$ are the fields declared in C
- $\text{mbody}(m, C) = (\underline{x}, t)$ where \underline{x} are the formal arguments and t is the method body
- $\text{mtype}(m, C) = \underline{B} \rightarrow B$ where \underline{B} is the type of arguments and B is the type of the results
- $\text{override}(m, D, \underline{C} \rightarrow C_0)$ holds if the method m with arguments \underline{C} is redefined in a subclass of D

Featherweight Java Type Rules

$\Gamma \vdash t : C$

$$\frac{x : C \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x : C} \text{ (T-VAR)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_0 : D \quad C <: D \quad C \neq D}{\Gamma \vdash (C) t_0 : C} \text{ (T-DCAST)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_0 : C_0 \quad \text{fields}(C_0) = \underline{C} \underline{f}}{\Gamma \vdash t_0 . f_i : C_i} \text{ (T-FIELD)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_0 : C_0 \quad \text{mtype}(m, C_0) = \underline{D} \rightarrow C \quad \Gamma \vdash \underline{t} : \underline{C} \quad \underline{C} <: \underline{D}}{\Gamma \vdash t_0 . m(\underline{t}) : C} \text{ (T-INVK)}$$

Method Typing M OK in C

$\underline{x} : \underline{C}, \text{this} : C \vdash t_0 : E_0 \quad E_0 <: C_0$

$\text{CT}(C) = \text{class } C \text{ extends } D \{ \dots \}$

$\text{override}(m, D, \underline{C} \rightarrow C_0)$

$C_0 \text{ m}(\underline{C} \underline{x}) \{ \text{return } t_0 ; \}$ OK in C

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \underline{t} : \underline{C} \quad \underline{C} <: \underline{D} \quad \text{fields}(C) = \underline{D} \underline{f}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{new } C(\underline{t}) : C} \text{ (T-NEW)}$$

Class Typing C OK

$K = C(\underline{D} \underline{g}, \underline{C} \underline{f}) \{ \text{super}(\underline{g}); \text{this} . \underline{f} = \underline{f}; \}$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_0 : D \quad D <: C}{\Gamma \vdash (C) t_0 : C} \text{ (T-UCAST)}$$

$\text{fields}(D) = \underline{D} \underline{g} \quad \underline{M} \text{ ok in } C$
 $\text{class } C \text{ extends } D \{ \underline{C} \underline{f} \underline{K} \underline{M} \}$ OK

Type Safety(19.5)

- Well typed programs cannot go wrong
 - No undefined semantics
 - No runtime checks
- If t is well typed then either t is a value or there exists an evaluation step $t \rightarrow t'$ [Progress]
- If t is well typed and there exists an evaluation step $t \rightarrow t'$ then t' is also well typed [Preservation]

Type Preservation: Take 1

- If $\Gamma \vdash t : C$ and $t \rightarrow t'$ then $\Gamma \vdash t' : C$
- Counterexample

(Object) new B() \rightarrow new B() (E-CastNew)

Type Preservation: Take 2

- If $\Gamma \vdash t : C$ and $t \rightarrow t'$ then there exists C' such that $C' <: C$ and $\Gamma \vdash t' : C'$
- Counterexample
 - (Object) new B() \rightarrow new B() (E-CastNew)
 - (A) (Object) new B() \rightarrow (A) new B() (E-Cast)

$\Gamma \vdash t : C$

Featherweight Java Type Rules

$$\frac{x : C \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x : C} \text{ (T-VAR)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_0 : D \quad C \not\prec: D \quad D \not\prec: C \quad \text{stupid warning}}{\Gamma \vdash (C) t_0 : C} \text{ (T-SCAST)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_0 : C_0 \quad \text{fields}(C_0) = \underline{C} \underline{f}}{\Gamma \vdash t_0 . f_i : C_i} \text{ (T-FIELD)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_0 : C_0 \quad \text{mtype}(m, C_0) = \underline{D} \rightarrow C \quad \Gamma \vdash \underline{t} : \underline{C} \quad \underline{C} \prec: \underline{D}}{\Gamma \vdash t_0 . m(\underline{t}) : C} \text{ (T-INVK)}$$

Method Typing M OK in C
 $\underline{x} : \underline{C}, \text{this} : C \vdash t_0 : E_0 \quad E_0 \prec: C_0$
 CT(C) = class C extends D {...}
 override(m, D, $\underline{C} \rightarrow C_0$)

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \underline{t} : \underline{C} \quad \text{fields}(C) = \underline{D} \underline{f} \quad \underline{C} \prec: \underline{D}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{new } C(\underline{t}) : C} \text{ (T-NEW)}$$

$C_0 \text{ m}(\underline{C} \underline{x}) \{ \text{return } t_0 ; \}$ OK in C

Class Typing C OK

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_0 : D \quad D \prec: C}{\Gamma \vdash (C) t_0 : C} \text{ (T-UCAST)}$$

$K = C(\underline{D} \underline{g}, \underline{C} \underline{f}) \{ \text{super}(\underline{g}); \text{this} . \underline{f} = \underline{f}; \}$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_0 : D \quad C \prec: D \quad C \neq D}{\Gamma \vdash (C) t_0 : C} \text{ (T-DCAST)}$$

$\text{fields}(D) = \underline{D} \underline{g} \quad \underline{M} \text{ ok in } C$
 class C extends D { $\underline{C} \underline{f} \underline{K} \underline{M}$ } OK

Progress Theorem

- If a program is well typed then the only way to get stuck is if it reaches a point in which it cannot perform a downcast
- If t is a well typed term
 - If $t = \text{new } C_0(\underline{t}).f$ then $\text{fields}(C_0) = \underline{C} \underline{f}$ and $f \in \underline{f}$
 - If $t = \text{new } C_0(\underline{t}).m(\underline{s})$ then $\text{mbody}(m, C_0) = (\underline{x}, t_0)$ and $|\underline{x}| = |\underline{s}|$
- if t is a closed well typed in a normal form then either t is a value or “ t is a cast”

Evaluation Contexts

- Terms with a hole

$E ::=$ evaluation contexts
[] hole
 $E.f$ field access
 $E.m(\underline{t})$ method invocation (receiver)
 $v.m(\underline{v}, E, \underline{t})$ method invocation (arg)
 $\text{new } C(\underline{v}, E, \underline{t})$ object creation(arg)
 $(C) E$ cast

- $E[t]$ denotes the term obtained by replacing the hole with t
- If $t \rightarrow t'$ then $t = E(r)$ and $t' = E(r')$ where E , r , and r' are unique and $r \rightarrow r'$ is one of the rules E-ProjNew, E-InvNew and E-CastNew
- If t is closed well defined normalized term then either t is a value or for some context E we can express t as $t = E[(C)(\text{new } D(\underline{v}))]$ where $D \not\prec : C$
(Progress theorem)

Encoding vs. Primitive Objects

- Two approaches for semantics and typing OO programs
 - Typed lambda calculus with records, references and subtypes (Chapter 18)
 - Object and classes are primitive mechanisms

Summary

- Establishing type safety of real programming language can be useful
- Mechanized proof systems (Isabelle, Coq) can help
 - Especially useful in the design phase
- But indentifying a core subset is also useful

Quotes

- “Inside every large language there is a small language struggling to come out”
 - Igarashi, Pierce, and Wadler (1999)
- “Inside every large program there is a small program struggling to come out”
 - Sir Tony Hoare, Efficient Production of large programs (1970)
- “I’m fat but I’m thin inside”
 - George Orwell, Coming Up from Air (1939)