## Compilation Lecture 8a



Code generation for procedure calls
Noam Rinetzky

## A Short Reminder

## IR Generation



Verification (possible runtime) Errors/Warnings

## Intermediate Representation (IR)

$\longmapsto$ Executable Code $\square$

## TAC generation

- At this stage in compilation, we have
- an AST
- annotated with scope information
- and annotated with type information
- To generate TAC for the program, we do recursive tree traversal
- Generate TAC for any subexpressions or substatements
- Using the result, generate TAC for the overall expression


## cgen for binary operators

$\operatorname{cgen}\left(e_{1}+e_{2}\right)=\{$
Choose a new temporary $t$
Let $t_{1}=\operatorname{cgen}\left(e_{1}\right)$
Let $t_{2}=\boldsymbol{\operatorname { c g e n }}\left(e_{2}\right)$
$\operatorname{Emit}\left(t=t_{1}+t_{2}\right)$
Return $t$

## cgen for statements

- We can extend the cgen function to operate over statements as well
- Unlike cgen for expressions, cgen for statements does not return the name of a temporary holding a value.
- (Why?)


## cgen for if-then-else

cgen(if (e) $\mathrm{s}_{1}$ else $\mathrm{s}_{2}$ )
Let _t = cgen $(\mathrm{e})$
Let $L_{\text {true }}$ be a new label
Let $L_{\text {false }}$ be a new label
Let $\mathrm{L}_{\text {after }}$ be a new label
Emit( IfZ _t Goto Lfalse )
cgen $\left(\mathrm{s}_{1}\right)$
Emit( Goto $L_{\text {after }}$; )
Emit ( $\mathrm{L}_{\text {false }}$ : )
cgen( $\mathrm{s}_{2}$ )
Emit( Goto $\mathrm{L}_{\text {after }}$ )
Emit ( $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{after}}$ : )

## cgen for while loops

cgen(while (expr) stmt)
Let $\mathrm{L}_{\text {before }}$ be a new label.
Let $\mathrm{L}_{\text {after }}$ be a new label.
Emit( $\mathrm{L}_{\text {before }}$ : )
Let $\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{cgen}$ (expr)
Emit( ( IfZ t Goto Lafter; )
cgen(stmt)
Emit( Goto Leforere )
Emit( $L_{\text {after: }}$ )

## Temporaries <br> Weighted register allocation

- Suppose we have expression $\mathrm{e}_{1}$ op $\mathrm{e}_{2}$
$-e_{1}, e_{2}$ without side-effects
- That is, no function calls, memory accesses, ++x
$-\operatorname{cgen}\left(\mathrm{e}_{1} o p \mathrm{e}_{2}\right)=\operatorname{cgen}\left(\mathrm{e}_{2}\right.$ op $\left.\mathrm{e}_{1}\right)$
- Does order of translation matter?
- Sethi \& Ullman's algorithm translates heavier sub-tree first
- Optimal local (per-statement) allocation for side-effect-free statements


## Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text {-t0 }^{\mathrm{t} 0}=\operatorname{cgen}(\mathrm{a}+(\mathrm{b}+(\mathrm{c} * \mathrm{~d}))) \\
& + \text { and }
\end{aligned}
$$


right child first


4 temporaries
2 temporary

## Code generation for procedure calls

## (+ a few words on the runtime system)



## Code generation for procedure calls

- Compile time generation of code for procedure invocations
- Activation Records (aka Stack Frames)


## Supporting Procedures

- Stack: a new computing environment - e.g., temporary memory for local variables
- Passing information into the new environment
- Parameters
- Transfer of control to/from procedure
- Handling return values


## Calling Conventions

- In general, compiler can use any convention to handle procedures
- In practice, CPUs specify standards
- Aka calling conventios
- Allows for compiler interoperability
- Libraries!


## Abstract Register Machine

## CPU



## Design Decisions

- Scoping rules
- Static scoping vs. dynamic scoping
- Caller/callee conventions
- Parameters
- Who saves register values?
- Allocating space for local variables


## Static (lexical) Scoping

a name refers to its (closest) enclosing scope

## known at compile time

| Declaration | Scopes |
| :--- | :--- |
| $a=0$ | B0,B1,B3 |
| $b=0$ | B0 |
| $b=1$ | B1,B2 |
| $a=2$ | B2 |
| $b=3$ | B3 |

## Dynamic Scoping

- Each identifier is associated with a global stack of bindings
- When entering scope where identifier is declared
- push declaration on identifier stack
- When exiting scope where identifier is declared
- pop identifier stack
- Evaluating the identifier in any context binds to the current top of stack
- Determined at runtime


## Example

```
int x = 42;
int f() { return x; }
int g() { int x = 1; return f(); }
int main() { return g(); }
```

- What value is returned from main?
- Static scoping?
- Dynamic scoping?


## Why do we care?

- We need to generate code to access variables
- Static scoping
- Identifier binding is known at compile time
- "Address" of the variable is known at compile time
- Assigning addresses to variables is part of code generation
- No runtime errors of "access to undefined variable"
- Can check types of variables


## Variable addresses for static scoping: first attempt

```
int x = 42;
int f() { return x; }
int g() { int x = 1; return f(); }
int main() { return g(); }
```

| identifier | address |
| :--- | :--- |
| $x$ (global) | $0 \times 42$ |
| $x$ (inside $g$ ) | $0 \times 73$ |

## Variable addresses for static scoping: first attempt


what is the address of the variable " $i$ " in the procedure quicksort?

## Compile-Time Information on Variables

- Name
- Type
- Scope
- when is it recognized
- Duration
- Until when does its value exist
- Size
- How many bytes are required at runtime
- Address
- Fixed
- Relative
- Dynamic


## Activation Record (Stack Frames)

- separate space for each procedure invocation
- managed at runtime
- code for managing it generated by the compiler
- desired properties
- efficient allocation and deallocation
- procedures are called frequently
- variable size
- different procedures may require different memory sizes


## Semi-Abstract Register Machine

## CPU

## Main Memory

High addresses


## A Logical Stack Frame (Simplified)



Stack frame for function f(a1,...,aN)

## Runtime Stack

- Stack of activation records
- Call = push new activation record
- Return = pop activation record
- Only one "active" activation record - top of stack
- How do we handle recursion?


## Activation Record (frame)



## Runtime Stack

- SP - stack pointer
- top of current frame
- FP - frame pointer
- base of current frame
- Sometimes called BP (base pointer)
- Usually points to a "fixed" offset from the "start" of the frame



## Code Blocks

- Programming language provide code blocks void foo() \{

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { int } x=8 ; y=9 ; / / 1 \\
& \left\{\text { int } x=y^{*} y ; / / 2\right\} \\
& \left\{\text { int } x=y^{*} 7 ; / / 3\right\} \\
& \quad x=y+1 ;
\end{aligned}
$$

\}

| adminstrative |
| :--- |
| $x 1$ |
| $y 1$ |
| $x 2$ |
| $x 3$ |
| $\ldots$ |
|  |
|  |
|  |

## L-Values of Local Variables

- The offset in the stack is known at compile time
- L-val(x) $=$ FP+offset $(x)$
- $x=5 \Rightarrow$ Load_Constant 5, R3 Store R3, offset(x)(FP)


## Pentium Runtime Stack

| Register | Usage |
| :--- | :--- |
| ESP | Stack pointer |
| EBP | Base pointer |
| Pentium stack registers |  |


| Instruction | Usage |
| :--- | :--- |
| push, pusha,... | push on runtime stack |
| pop,popa,... | Base pointer |
| call | transfer control to called routine |
| return | transfer control back to caller |

Pentium stack and call/ret instructions

## Accessing Stack Variables

- Use offset from FP (\%ebp)
- Remember: stack grows downwards
- Above FP = parameters
- Below FP = locals
- Examples
- \%ebp + 4 = return address
$-\% e b p+8$ = first parameter
- \%ebp-4 = first local



## Factorial-fact(int n)

## fact:

pushl \%ebp
movl \%esp, \%ebp
pushl \%ebx
movl 8 (\%ebp), \%ebx
cmpl \$1,\%ebx
jle .lresult
leal -1 (\%ebx), \%eax
pushl \%eax
call fact
imull \%ebx, \%eax
jmp . lreturn
.lresult:
movl \$1, \%eax
.lreturn:
movl-4 (\%ebp), \%ebx
movl \%ebp, \%esp
popl \%ebp
\# save ebp
\# ebp=esp
\# save ebx
\# ebx $=\mathrm{n}$
\# $\mathrm{n}=1$ ?
\# then done
\# eax $=n-1$
\#
\# fact $(n-1)$
\# eax=retv*n \#
\# retv
\# restore ebx
\# restore esp
\# restore ebp

(stack in intermediate point)

## Call Sequences

- The processor does not save the content of registers on procedure calls
- So who will?
- Caller saves and restores registers
- Callee saves and restores registers
- But can also have both save/restore some registers


## Call Sequences



## "To Callee-save or to Caller-save?"

- Callee-saved registers need only be saved when callee modifies their value
- Some heuristics and conventions are followed


## Caller-Save and Callee-Save Registers

- Callee-Save Registers
- Saved by the callee before modification
- Values are automatically preserved across calls
- Caller-Save Registers
- Saved (if needed) by the caller before calls
- Values are not automatically preserved across calls
- Usually the architecture defines caller-save and calleesave registers
- Separate compilation
- Interoperability between code produced by different compilers/languages
- But compiler writers decide when to use caller/callee registers


## Callee-Save Registers

- Saved by the callee before modification
- Usually at procedure prolog
- Restored at procedure epilog
- Hardware support may be available
- Values are automatically preserved across calls
int foo(int a) \{ .global $\_^{\text {foo }}$
int $b=a+1$;
f1();
g1(b);
return(b+2);

```
Add_Constant -K, SP //allocate space for foo
Store_Local R5, -14(FP) // save R5
Load_Reg R5, R0; Add_Constant R5, 1
JSR f1 ; JSR g1;
Add_Constant R5, 2; Load_Reg R5, R0
Load_Local -14(FP), R5 // restore R5
Add_Constant K, SP; RTS // deallocate
```


## Caller-Save Registers

- Saved by the caller before calls when needed
- Values are not automatically preserved across calls

| void bar (int y) \{ | Add_Constant $-\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{SP} / /$ allocate space for bar |
| :---: | :--- |
| int $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{y}+1 ;$ | Add_Constant R0, 1 |
| $\mathrm{f} 2(\mathrm{x}) ;$ | JSR f2 |
| $\mathrm{g} 2(2) ;$ | Load_Constant $2, \mathrm{R} 0 ; \quad$ JSR g2; |
| $\mathrm{g} 2(8) ;$ | Load_Constant $8, \mathrm{R} 0 ; \quad$ JSR g2 |
| $\}$ | Add_Constant $\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{SP} / /$ deallocate space for bar |
|  | RTS |

## Parameter Passing

- 1960s
- In memory
- No recursion is allowed
- 1970s
- In stack
- 1980s
- In registers
- First k parameters are passed in registers ( $\mathrm{k}=4$ or $\mathrm{k}=6$ )
- Where is time saved?
- Most procedures are leaf procedures
- Interprocedural register allocation
- Many of the registers may be dead before another invocation
- Register windows are allocated in some architectures per call (e.g., sun Sparc)


## Activation Records \& Language Design

## Compile-Time Information on Variables

- Name, type, size
- Address kind
- Fixed (global)
- Relative (local)
- Dynamic (heap)
- Scope
- when is it recognized
- Duration
- Until when does its value exist


## Scoping

```
int x = 42;
int f() { return x; }
int g() { int x=1; return f();}
int main() { return g(); }
```

- What value is returned from main?
- Static scoping?
- Dynamic scoping?


## Nested Procedures

- For example - Pascal
- Any routine can have sub-routines
- Any sub-routine can access anything that is defined in its containing scope or inside the sub-routine itself
- "non-local" variables


## Example: Nested Procedures

program p() \{

```
    int x;
    procedure a() {
        int y;
    [ procedure b(){ ... c() ... };
    [ procedure c() {
        int z;
            cprocedure d() {
        y := x + z
        };
            ... b() ... d() ...
        }
        ... a() ... c() ...
    }
    a()
```


## Nested Procedures

- can call a sibling, ancestor
- when "c" uses (non-local) variables from "a", which instance of "a" is it?
- how do you find the right activation record at runtime?

Possible call sequence:

$$
\mathrm{P} \rightarrow \mathrm{a} \rightarrow \mathrm{a} \rightarrow \mathrm{c} \rightarrow \mathrm{~b} \rightarrow \mathrm{c} \rightarrow \mathrm{~d}
$$



## Nested Procedures

- goal: find the closest routine in the stack from a given nesting level

Possible call sequence:

$$
\mathrm{P} \rightarrow \mathrm{a} \rightarrow \mathrm{a} \rightarrow \mathrm{c} \rightarrow \mathrm{~b} \rightarrow \mathrm{c} \rightarrow \mathrm{~d}
$$

- if we reached the same routine in a sequence of calls
- routine of level $k$ uses variables of the same nesting level, it uses its own variables
- if it uses variables of nesting level $\mathrm{j}<\mathrm{k}$ then it must be the last routine called at level j
- If a procedure is last at level j on the stack, then it must be ancestor of the current routine



## Nested Procedures

- problem: a routine may need to access variables of another routine that contains it statically
- solution: lexical pointer (a.k.a. access link) in the activation record
- lexical pointer points to the last activation record of the nesting level above it
- in our example, lexical pointer of d points to activation records of c
- lexical pointers created at runtime
- number of links to be traversed is known at compile time


## Lexical Pointers

## program p() \{

int x;
[ procedure a() \{ int y;
[procedure b() \{c() \};
[ procedure c() \{ int z;
[procedure d()\{ $\mathrm{y}:=\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{z}$ \};
... b() ... d() ...
L \}
... a() ... c() ...

- \}
a()



## Lexical Pointers

## program p() \{

int x;
[ procedure a() \{ int y;
[procedure b() \{c() \};
[ procedure c() c

```
int z;
```

[procedure d() \{

\};
... b() ... d() ...

- \}
... a() ... c() ...
_ \}
a()
$\rightarrow$ invokes
$\longrightarrow$ nested in


## Possible call sequence:

$$
\mathrm{P} \rightarrow \mathrm{a} \rightarrow \mathrm{a} \rightarrow \mathrm{c} \rightarrow \mathrm{~b} \rightarrow \mathrm{c} \rightarrow \mathrm{~d}
$$

            \(\mathbf{y}:=\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{z}\)
    

Activation Records: Remarks

## Stack Frames

- Allocate a separate space for every procedure incarnation
- Relative addresses
- Provide a simple mean to achieve modularity
- Supports separate code generation of procedures
- Naturally supports recursion
- Efficient memory allocation policy
- Low overhead
- Hardware support may be available
- LIFO policy
- Not a pure stack
- Non local references
- Updated using arithmetic


## Non-Local goto in C syntax

```
void level_0(void) {
    void level_1(void) {
        void level_2(void) {
            goto L_1;
        }
        L_1:...
        }
}
```


## Non-local gotos in C

- setjmp remembers the current location and the stack frame
- longjmp jumps to the current location (popping many activation records)


## Non-Local Transfer of Control in C

```
#+nctuge <sel jmp.n>
void find_div_7(int n, jmp_buf *jmpbuf_ptr) {
    if (n % 7 == 0) longjmp(*jmpbuf_ptr, n);
    find_div_7(n + 1, jmpbuf_ptr);
}
int main(void) {
    jmp_buf jmpbuf; /* type defined in setjmp.h */
    int return_value;
    if ((return_value = setjmp(jmpbuf)) == 0) {
        /* setting up the label for longjmp() lands here */
        find_div_7(1, &jmpbuf);
    }
    else {
        /* returning from a call of longjmp() lands here */
        printf("Answer = %d\n", return_value);
    }
    return 0;
}
```


## Variable Length Frame Size

- C allows allocating objects of unbounded size in the stack
void $p()$ \{
int i;
char *p;
scanf("\%d", \&i); p = (char *) alloca(i*sizeof(int));
\}
- Some versions of Pascal allows conformant array value parameters


## Limitations

- The compiler may be forced to store a value on a stack instead of registers
- The stack may not suffice to handle some language features


## Frame-Resident Variables

- A variable $x$ cannot be stored in register when:
- $x$ is passed by reference
- Address of $x$ is taken (\&x)
- is addressed via pointer arithmetic on the stack-frame (C varags)
- x is accessed from a nested procedure
- The value is too big to fit into a single register
- The variable is an array
- The register of $x$ is needed for other purposes
- Too many local variables
- An escape variable:
- Passed by reference
- Address is taken
- Addressed via pointer arithmetic on the stack-frame
- Accessed from a nested procedure


## The Frames in Different Architectures

 $g(x, y, z)$ where $x$ escapes|  | Pentium | MIPS | Sparc |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| X | InFrame(8) | InFrame(0) | InFrame(68) |
| y | InFrame(12) | $\operatorname{InReg}\left(\mathrm{X}_{157}\right)$ | $\operatorname{InReg}\left(\mathrm{X}_{157}\right)$ |
| Z | InFrame(16) | $\operatorname{InReg}\left(\mathrm{X}_{158}\right)$ | $\operatorname{InReg}\left(\mathrm{X}_{158}\right)$ |
| View <br> Change | $\begin{aligned} & M[s p+0] \leftarrow f p \\ & \mathrm{fp} \leftarrow \mathrm{sp} \\ & \mathrm{sp} \leftarrow \mathrm{sp}-\mathrm{K} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{sp} \leftarrow \mathrm{sp}-\mathrm{K} \\ & \mathrm{M}[\mathrm{sp}+\mathrm{K}+0] \leftarrow \mathrm{r}_{2} \\ & \mathrm{X}_{157} \leftarrow \mathrm{r} 4 \\ & \mathrm{X}_{158} \leftarrow \mathrm{r} 5 \end{aligned}$ | save \%sp, $-K$, \%sp $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}[\mathrm{fp}+68] \leftarrow \mathrm{i}_{0} \\ & \mathrm{X}_{157} \leftarrow \mathrm{i}_{1} \\ & \mathrm{X}_{158} \leftarrow \mathrm{i}_{2} \end{aligned}$ |

## Limitations of Stack Frames

- A local variable of $P$ cannot be stored in the activation record of $P$ if its duration exceeds the duration of $P$
- Example 1: Static variables in C (own variables in Algol) void p(int x)
\{
static int $y=6$;
y $+=x$;
\}
- Example 2: Features of the C language

```
int * f()
{ int x ;
    return &x ;
}
```

- Example 3: Dynamic allocation

```
int * f() { return (int *)
malloc(sizeof(int)); }
```


## Compiler Implementation

- Hide machine dependent parts
- Hide language dependent part
- Use special modules


## Basic Compiler Phases



## Hidden in the frame ADT

- Word size
- The location of the formals
- Frame resident variables
- Machine instructions to implement "shift-of-view" (prologue/epilogue)
- The number of locals "allocated" so far
- The label in which the machine code starts


## Activation Records: Summary

- compile time memory management for procedure data
- works well for data with well-scoped lifetime
- deallocation when procedure returns


# Compilation <br> Lecture 8b 



Optimizations
Noam Rinetzky

## Basic Compiler Phases



## IR Optimization



## Optimization points



## IR Optimization

- Making code better


## IR Optimization

- Making code "better"


## "Optimized" evaluation _t0 = cgen( $\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}\left[5^{*} \mathrm{c}\right]$ )

Phase 2: - use weights to decide on order of translation


## But what about...

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{a}:=1+2 ; \\
& \mathrm{y}:=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b} ; \\
& \mathrm{x}:=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}+8 ; \\
& \mathrm{z}:=\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{a} ; \\
& \mathrm{a}:=\mathrm{a}+1 ; \\
& \mathrm{w}:=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

## Overview of IR optimization

- Formalisms and Terminology
- Control-flow graphs
- Basic blocks
- Local optimizations
- Speeding up small pieces of a procedure
- Global optimizations
- Speeding up procedure as a whole
- The dataflow framework
- Defining and implementing a wide class of optimizations


## Program Analysis

- In order to optimize a program, the compiler has to be able to reason about the properties of that program
- An analysis is called sound if it never asserts an incorrect fact about a program
- All the analyses we will discuss in this class are sound
- (Why?)


## Soundness

int $x$;
int $y$;
if (y < 5)

$$
x=137 ;
$$

else

Print(x);

## Soundness

int $x$;
int $y$;
if (y < 5)

$$
\mathbf{x}=137 ;
$$

else


Print(x);

## (Un)Soundness

int $x$;
int $y$;
if (y < 5)

$$
x=137 ;
$$

else

$$
x=42
$$

Print(x);
"At this point in the program, $\mathbf{x}$ is 137"

## Soundness \& Precision

int $x$;
int $y$;
if (y < 5)
x $=137$;
else
"At this point in the program, $\mathbf{x}$ is either 137, 42 , or $271^{\prime \prime}$

Print(x);

## Semantics-preserving optimizations

- An optimization is semantics-preserving if it does not alter the semantics of the original program
- Examples:
- Eliminating unnecessary temporary variables
- Computing values that are known statically at compile-time instead of runtime
- Evaluating constant expressions outside of a loop instead of inside
- Non-examples:
- Replacing bubble sort with quicksort (why?)
- The optimizations we will consider in this class are all semantics-preserving


## A formalism for IR optimization

- Every phase of the compiler uses some new abstraction:
- Scanning uses regular expressions
- Parsing uses CFGs
- Semantic analysis uses proof systems and symbol tables
- IR generation uses ASTs
- In optimization, we need a formalism that captures the structure of a program in a way amenable to optimization


## Visualizing IR

main:

```
_tmp0 = Call _ReadInteger;
a = _tmp0;
    _tmp1 = Call _ReadInteger;
\(\bar{b}=\) tmp1;
    _tmp2 \(=0\);
    _tmp3 \(=\mathrm{b}==\) _tmp2;
    \(-\operatorname{tmp} 4=0\);
    tmp5 \(=\) _tmp3 \(^{\text {tm }}==\quad\) tmp4;
\(\overline{\text { IfZ }}\) _tmp \(\overline{5}\) Goto _LI;
c \(=a ;\)
\(\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{b}\);
    _tmp6 \(=c\) \% \(a ;\)
\(\overline{\mathrm{b}}=\) _tmp6;
Goto _L0;
Push a;
Call _PrintInt;
```

L0:
_L1:

## Visualizing IR

main:

```
    _tmp0 \(=\) Call _ReadInteger;
    \(a=\) tmp0;
    _tmp \(\overline{1}=\) Call _ReadInteger;
    \(\overline{\mathrm{b}}=\) tmp1;
    _tmp2 \(=0\);
    _tmp3 \(=\mathrm{b}==\) _tmp2;
    -tmp4 \(=0\);
    _tmp5 \(=\) _tmp3 \(==\) _tmp4;
    IfZ _tmp \(\overline{5}\) Goto _LI;
    c \(=a\);
    \(\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{b}\);
    _tmp6 = c \% a;
    \(\overline{\mathrm{b}}=\)-tmp6;
    Goto _L0;
    Push a;
Call _PrintInt;
```

L0:
_L1:

## Visualizing IR

main:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { tmp0 = Call_ReadInteger; } \\
& \mathrm{a}=\text { tmp0; } \\
& \overline{\mathrm{tmp}}=\mathrm{Call} \text { ReadInteger; } \\
& \mathrm{b}=\text { tmp1; }
\end{aligned}
$$

LO:
$\_$tmp2 $=0$;
_tmp3 $=\mathrm{b}==$ _tmp2;
-tmp4 $=0$;
_tmp5 $=$ _tmp3 $==$ _tmp4;
$\bar{I} f Z \quad$ tmp $\overline{5}$ Goto _LI;
c $=a ;$
$\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{b}$;
_tmp6 $=c$ \% $a ;$
$\overline{\mathrm{b}}=$ _tmp 6 ;
Goto _L0;
L1:
Push a;
Call PrintInt;

```
tmp0 = Call _ReadInteger;
a = _tmp0;
\overline{b}
b = _tmp1;
```



## Basic blocks

- A basic block is a sequence of IR instructions where
- There is exactly one spot where control enters the sequence, which must be at the start of the sequence
- There is exactly one spot where control leaves the sequence, which must be at the end of the sequence
- Informally, a sequence of instructions that always execute as a group


## Control-Flow Graphs

- A control-flow graph (CFG) is a graph of the basic blocks in a function
- The term CFG is overloaded - from here on out, we'll mean "control-flow graph" and not "context free grammar"
- Each edge from one basic block to another indicates that control can flow from the end of the first block to the start of the second block
- There is a dedicated node for the start and end of a function


## Types of optimizations

- An optimization is local if it works on just a single basic block
- An optimization is global if it works on an entire control-flow graph
- An optimization is interprocedural if it works across the control-flow graphs of multiple functions
- We won't talk about this in this course


## Basic blocks exercise

```
int main() {
    int x;
    int y;
    int z;
    y = 137;
    if (x == 0)
        z = y;
    else
        x = y;
}
```

START:
LO :
L0:
END:

Divide the code into basic blocks

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { _t0 = 137; } \\
& \mathrm{y}=\mathrm{t0} \text {; } \\
& \text { IfZ x Goto _LO; } \\
& \text { t1 }=\mathrm{y} \text {; } \\
& \text { z = _t1; } \\
& \text { Goto END: } \\
& \begin{array}{l}
t 2=y ; \\
\bar{x}=-t 2 ;
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Control-flow graph exercise

```
int main() {
    int x;
    int y;
    int z;
    y = 137;
    if (x == 0)
        z = y;
    else
        x = y;
}
```

START:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { t0 }=137 ; \\
& \bar{y}=\text { t0; } \\
& \text { IfZ } \mathrm{x} \text { Goto_L0; } \\
& \mathrm{t1}=\mathrm{y} ; \\
& \mathrm{z}=\mathrm{tI;} \\
& \text { Goto END: }
\end{aligned}
$$

LO :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t 2=y ; \\
& \bar{x}=-t 2 ;
\end{aligned}
$$

Draw the control-flow graph

## Control-flow graph exercise

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { int main () }\{ \\
\text { int } x ; \\
\text { int } y ; \\
\text { int } z ; \\
y=137 ; \\
\text { if }(x=0) \\
z=y ; \\
\text { else } \\
x=y ; \\
\} \quad x \quad y
\end{gathered}
$$



## Local optimizations

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { int main() }\{ \\
\text { int } x ; \\
\text { int } y ; \\
\text { int } z ; \\
y=137 ; \\
\text { if }(x=0) \\
z=y ; \\
\text { else } \\
x=y ; \\
\}
\end{gathered}
$$



## Local optimizations

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { int main() }\{ \\
\text { int } x ; \\
\text { int } y ; \\
\text { int } z ; \\
y=137 ; \\
\text { if }(x=0) \\
z=y ; \\
\text { else } \\
x=y ; \\
\} \quad x \quad y
\end{gathered}
$$



## Local optimizations

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { int main() }\{ \\
\text { int } x ; \\
\text { int } y ; \\
\text { int } z ; \\
y=137 ; \\
\text { if }(x=0) \\
z=y ; \\
\text { else } \\
x=y ; \\
\}
\end{gathered}
$$



## Local optimizations

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { int main() }\{ \\
\text { int } x ; \\
\text { int } y ; \\
\text { int } z ; \\
y=137 ; \\
\text { if }(x=0) \\
z=y ; \\
\text { else } \\
x=y ; \\
\} \quad x \quad y
\end{gathered}
$$



## Local optimizations

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { int main () }\{ \\
\text { int } x ; \\
\text { int } y ; \\
\text { int } z ; \\
y=137 ; \\
\text { if }(x==0) \\
z=y ; \\
\text { else } \\
x=y ; \\
\}
\end{gathered}
$$



## Local optimizations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { int main() \{ } \\
& \text { int x; } \\
& \text { int } y \text {; } \\
& \text { int z; } \\
& y=137 ; \\
& \text { if (x = } 0 \text { ) } \\
& z=y ; \\
& \text { else } \\
& \mathbf{x}=\mathrm{y} \text {; } \\
& \text { \} }
\end{aligned}
$$



## Local optimizations

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { int main () }\{ \\
\text { int } x ; \\
\text { int } y ; \\
\text { int } z ; \\
y=137 ; \\
\text { if }(x=0) \\
z=y ; \\
\text { else } \\
x=y ; \\
\}
\end{gathered}
$$



## Global optimizations

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { int main () }\{ \\
\text { int } x ; \\
\text { int } y ; \\
\text { int } z ; \\
y=137 ; \\
\text { if }(x=0) \\
z=y ; \\
\text { else } \\
x=y ; \\
\} \quad x \quad y
\end{gathered}
$$



## Global optimizations

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { int main () }\{ \\
\text { int } x ; \\
\text { int } y ; \\
\text { int } z ; \\
y=137 ; \\
\text { if }(x=0) \\
z=y ; \\
\text { else } \\
x=y ; \\
\} \quad x \quad
\end{gathered}
$$



## Global optimizations

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { int main () }\{ \\
\text { int } x ; \\
\text { int } y ; \\
\text { int } z ; \\
y=137 ; \\
\text { if }(x=0) \\
z=y ; \\
\text { else } \\
x=y ; \\
\} \quad x \quad y
\end{gathered}
$$



## Local Optimizations

## Optimization path



## Example



## Example



## Example

| Object x; <br> int a; <br> int b; <br> int c; <br> x = new Object; <br> a $=4$; <br> $\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}$; <br> x.fn(a +b$)$; |  |
| :---: | :---: |

## Example



## Example



## Example



## Common Subexpression Elimination

- If we have two variable assignments
v1 = a op b
...
v2 = a op b
- and the values of $v 1, a$, and $b$ have not changed between the assignments, rewrite the code as v1 = a op b
$\mathrm{v} 2=\mathrm{v} 1$
- Eliminates useless recalculation
- Paves the way for later optimizations


## Common Subexpression Elimination

- If we have two variable assignments
v1 = a op b [or: v1 = a]
...
$\mathrm{v} 2=\mathrm{aop} \mathrm{b} \quad$ [or: $\mathrm{v} 2=\mathrm{a}$ ]
- and the values of $v 1, a$, and $b$ have not changed between the assignments, rewrite the code as v1 = a op b [or: v1 = a]
$\mathrm{v} 2=\mathrm{v} 1$
- Eliminates useless recalculation
- Paves the way for later optimizations


## Common subexpression elimination

Object x;
int a;
int $b$;
int c;
x = new Object;
a $=4$;
$c=a+b ;$
x.fn(a + b);

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { _tmp0 }=4 \text {; } \\
& \text { Push _tmp0; } \\
& \text { _tmp1 = Call _Alloc; } \\
& \text { tmp2 = ObjectC; } \\
& \text { * (_tmp1) = _tmp2; } \\
& \mathbf{x}=\text { tmp1; } \\
& \text { _tmp3 }=4 \text {; } \\
& \text { a = _tmp3; } \\
& \text { _tmp4 }=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b} \text {; } \\
& \text { c = _tmp4; } \\
& { }^{\operatorname{tmp}} \overline{5}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b} \text {; } \\
& \text { _tmp6 }=\text { * (x); } \\
& \text { _tmp7 = * (_tmp6) ; } \\
& \text { Push _tmp5; } \\
& \text { Push x; } \\
& \text { Call _tmp7; }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Common subexpression elimination

Object x;
int a;
int $b$;
int c;
x = new Object;
a $=4$;
$c=a+b ;$
x.fn(a + b);

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { _tmp0 }=4 \text {; } \\
& \text { Push _tmp0; } \\
& \text { _tmp1 = Call _Alloc; } \\
& \text { tmp2 = ObjectC; } \\
& \text { * (_tmp1) = _tmp2; } \\
& \mathbf{x}=\text { tmp1; } \\
& \text { _tmp3 }=4 \text {; } \\
& \text { a = _tmp3; } \\
& \text { _tmp4 }=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b} \text {; } \\
& \text { c = _tmp4; } \\
& \text { _tmp5 }=\text { _tmp4; } \\
& \text { _tmp6 }=\text { * (x) ; } \\
& \text { _tmp7 = * (_tmp6) ; } \\
& \text { Push _tmp5; } \\
& \text { Push x; } \\
& \text { Call _tmp7; }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Common subexpression elimination

```
Object x;
int a;
int b;
int c;
x = new Object;
a = 4;
c = a + b;
x.fn(a + b);
```

```
_tmp0 \(=4\);
Push _tmp0;
_tmp1 = Call _Alloc;
    tmp2 = ObjectC;
* (_tmp1) = _tmp2;
\(\mathbf{x}=\) tmp1;
_tmp3 \(=4\);
a = tmp3;
_tmp4 \(=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}\);
c \(=\) tmp4;
_tmp5 \(=\) _tmp4;
-tmp6 \(=\) * (x) ;
_tmp7 = * (_tmp6) ;
Push _tmp5;
Push x;
Call _tmp7;
```


## Common subexpression elimination

Object x;
int a;
int b;
int c;
x = new Object;
a $=4$;
$c=a+b ;$
x.fn(a + b);

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { _tmp0 }=4 \text {; } \\
& \text { Push _tmp0; } \\
& \text { _tmp1 = Call _Alloc; } \\
& \text { tmp2 = ObjectC; } \\
& \text { * (_tmp1) = _tmp2; } \\
& \mathbf{x}=\text { tmp1; } \\
& \text { _tmp3 }=\text { _tmp0; } \\
& a=\text { tmp3; } \\
& \text { _tmp4 }=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b} \text {; } \\
& \text { c }=\text { tmp4; } \\
& \text { _tmp5 }=\text { _tmp4; } \\
& \text { _tmp6 }=\text { * (x) ; } \\
& \text { _tmp7 = * (_tmp6) ; } \\
& \text { Push _tmp5; } \\
& \text { Push x; } \\
& \text { Call _tmp7; }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Common subexpression elimination

Object x;
int a;
int b;
int c;
x = new Object;
a $=4$;
$\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}$;
x.fn $(a+b)$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { _tmp0 }=4 \text {; } \\
& \text { Push _tmp0; } \\
& \text { _tmp1 = Call _Alloc; } \\
& \text { tmp2 = ObjectC; } \\
& \text { * (_tmp1) = _tmp2; } \\
& \mathbf{x}=\text { tmp1; } \\
& \text { _tmp3 }=\text { _tmp0; } \\
& \text { a = _tmp3; } \\
& \text { _tmp4 }=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b} \text {; } \\
& \text { c }=\text { tmp4; } \\
& \text { _tmp5 }=\text { _tmp4; } \\
& \text { _tmp6 }=\text { * (x) ; } \\
& \text { _tmp7 = * (_tmp6) ; } \\
& \text { Push _tmp5; } \\
& \text { Push x; } \\
& \text { Call _tmp7; }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Common subexpression elimination

Object x;
int a;
int b;
int c;
x = new Object;
a $=4$;
$\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}$;
x.fn $(a+b)$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { _tmp0 }=4 \text {; } \\
& \text { Push _tmp0; } \\
& \text { _tmp1 = Call _Alloc; } \\
& \text { tmp2 = ObjectC; } \\
& \text { * (_tmp1) = _tmp2; } \\
& \mathbf{x}=\text { tmp1; } \\
& \text { _tmp3 }=\text { _tmp0; } \\
& \text { a = _tmp3; } \\
& \text { _tmp4 }=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b} \text {; } \\
& \text { c = _tmp4; } \\
& \text { _tmp5 }=c \text {; } \\
& \text { _tmp6 }=\text { * (x); } \\
& \text { _tmp7 = * (_tmp6) ; } \\
& \text { Push _tmp5; } \\
& \text { Push x; } \\
& \text { Call _tmp7; }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Copy Propagation

- If we have a variable assignment v1 = v2
then as long as v 1 and v 2 are not reassigned, we can rewrite expressions of the form
a = ... v1 ...
as
a = ... v2 ...
provided that such a rewrite is legal


## Copy Propagation

```
Object x;
int a;
int b;
int c;
x = new Object;
a = 4;
c = a + b;
x.fn(a + b);
```


## Copy Propagation

```
Object x;
int a;
int b;
int c;
x = new Object;
a = 4;
c = a + b;
x.fn(a + b);
```


## Copy Propagation

Object x;
int a;
int b;
int c;
x = new Object;
a $=4$;
$c=a+b ;$
x.fn $(a+b)$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { _tmp0 }=4 \text {; } \\
& \text { Push tmp0; } \\
& \text { _tmp1 = Call _Alloc; } \\
& \text { tmp2 = ObjectC; } \\
& \text { * (_tmp1) = ObjectC; } \\
& \mathrm{x}=\text { tmp1; } \\
& \text { _tmp3 }=\text { _tmp0; } \\
& a=\text { tmp3; } \\
& \text { _tmp4 }=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b} \text {; } \\
& \text { c = tmp4; } \\
& \text { _tmp5 }=c \text {; } \\
& \text { _tmp6 }=\text { * (x); } \\
& \text { _tmp7 = * (_tmp6) ; } \\
& \text { Push _tmp5; } \\
& \text { Push x; } \\
& \text { Call _tmp7; }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Copy Propagation

Object x;
int a;
int $b$;
int c;
x = new Object;
a $=4$;
$\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}$;
x.fn(a + b);

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { _tmp0 }=4 \text {; } \\
& \text { Push _tmp0; } \\
& \text { _tmp1 = Call _Alloc; } \\
& \text { tmp2 = ObjectC; } \\
& \text { * (_tmp1) = ObjectC; } \\
& \mathrm{x}=\text { tmp1; } \\
& \text { _tmp3 }=\text { _tmp0; } \\
& a=\text { tmp3; } \\
& \text { _tmp4 }=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b} \text {; } \\
& \text { c = tmp4; } \\
& \text { _tmp5 }=\mathrm{c} \text {; } \\
& \text { _tmp6 }=\text { * (_tmp1); } \\
& \text { _tmp7 = * (_tmp6) ; } \\
& \text { Push _tmp5; } \\
& \text { Push _tmp1; } \\
& \text { Call _tmp7; }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Copy Propagation

```
Object x;
int a;
int b;
int c;
x = new Object;
a = 4;
c = a + b;
x.fn(a + b);
```


## Copy Propagation

```
Object x;
int a;
int b;
int c;
x = new Object;
a = 4;
c = a + b;
x.fn(a + b);
```

```
_tmp0 \(=4\);
Push _tmp0;
_tmp1 = Call _Alloc;
    tmp2 = ObjectC;
    * (_tmp1) = ObjectC;
\(\mathbf{x}=\) tmp1;
_tmp3 \(=\) _tmp0;
\(a=\) tmp3;
\(\overline{\mathrm{c}}=\mathrm{tmp} 4=\operatorname{tmp} 3+\mathrm{b}\);
\(\bar{c}=\operatorname{tmp} \overline{4}\);
_tmp \(\overline{5}=c\);
_tmp6 \(=\) * (_tmp1) ;
_tmp7 = * (_tmp6) ;
Push _tmp5;
Push _tmp1;
Call _tmp7;
```


## Copy Propagation

```
Object x;
int a;
int b;
int c;
x = new Object;
a = 4;
c = a + b;
x.fn(a + b);
```


## Copy Propagation

```
Object x;
int a;
int b;
int c;
x = new Object;
a = 4;
c = a + b;
x.fn(a + b);
```


## Copy Propagation

```
Object x;
int a;
int b;
int c;
x = new Object;
a = 4;
c = a + b;
x.fn(a + b);
```

```
_tmp0 \(=4\);
Push _tmp0;
_tmp1 = Call _Alloc;
    tmp2 = ObjectC;
    * (_tmp1) = ObjectC;
\(\mathbf{x}=\) tmp1;
_tmp3 \(=\) _tmp0;
a = _tmp3;
\(\overline{\mathrm{c}}=\mathrm{tmp} 4=\operatorname{tmp} 3+\mathrm{b}\);
\(\bar{c}=\operatorname{tmp} \overline{4}\);
_tmp \(\overline{5}=c\);
_tmp6 \(=\) * (_tmp1) ;
_tmp7 = *(_tmp6);
Push c;
Push _tmp1;
Call _tmp7;
```


## Copy Propagation

```
Object x;
int a;
int b;
int c;
x = new Object;
a = 4;
c = a + b;
x.fn(a + b);
```

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { _tmp0 }=4 \text {; } \\
& \text { Push _tmp0; } \\
& \text { _tmp1 = Call_Alloc; } \\
& \text { tmp2 = ObjectC; } \\
& \text { * (_tmp1) = ObjectC; } \\
& \mathbf{x}=\text { tmp1; } \\
& \text { _tmp3 }=\text { _tmp0; } \\
& \text { a = _tmp3; } \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\operatorname{tmp} 4=\operatorname{tmp} 3+b ; \\
\bar{c}=\operatorname{tmp} 4 ;
\end{array} \\
& \text { _tmp5 }=c \text {; } \\
& \text { _tmp6 }=\text { ObjectC; } \\
& \text { _tmp7 = *(_tmp6); } \\
& \text { Push c; } \\
& \text { Push _tmp1; } \\
& \text { Call _tmp7; }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Copy Propagation

Object x;
int a;
int $b$;
int c;
x = new Object;
a $=4$;
$\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}$;
x.fn(a + b);

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { _tmp0 }=4 \text {; } \\
& \text { Push _tmp0; } \\
& \text { _tmp1 = Call _Alloc; } \\
& \text { tmp2 = ObjectC; } \\
& \text { * (_tmp1) = ObjectC; } \\
& \mathbf{x}=\text { tmp1; } \\
& \text { _tmp3 }=\text { _tmp0; } \\
& a=\text { tmp3; } \\
& -\operatorname{tmp} 4=-\operatorname{tmp} 3+b ; \\
& \text { c }=\text { tmp } 4 \text {; } \\
& \text { _tmp5 }=c \text {; } \\
& \text { _tmp6 }=\text { ObjectC; } \\
& \text { _tmp7 = * (_tmp6) ; } \\
& \text { Push c; } \\
& \text { Push _tmp1; } \\
& \text { Call _tmp7; }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Copy Propagation

Object x;
int a;
int $b$;
int c;
x = new Object;
a $=4$;
$\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}$;
x.fn(a + b);

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { _tmp0 }=4 \text {; } \\
& \text { Push _tmp0; } \\
& \text { _tmp1 = Call _Alloc; } \\
& \text { tmp2 = ObjectC; } \\
& \text { * (_tmp1) = ObjectC; } \\
& \mathbf{x}=\text { tmp1; } \\
& \text { _tmp3 }=\text { _tmp0; } \\
& \text { a = _tmp3; } \\
& \overline{t m p} 4=\operatorname{tmp} 3+b ; \\
& c=\operatorname{tmp} 4 \text {; } \\
& \text { _tmp5 }=c \text {; } \\
& \text { _tmp6 }=\text { ObjectC; } \\
& \text { _tmp7 = *(ObjectC); } \\
& \text { Push c; } \\
& \text { Push _tmp1; } \\
& \text { Call _tmp7; }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Copy Propagation

Object x;
int a;
int b;
int c;
x = new Object;
a $=4$;
$c=a+b ;$
x.fn(a +b );

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { _tmp0 }=4 \text {; } \\
& \text { Push _tmp0; } \\
& \text { _tmp1 = Call _Alloc; } \\
& \text { tmp2 = ObjectC; } \\
& \text { * (_tmp1) = ObjectC; } \\
& \mathbf{x}=\text { tmp1; } \\
& \text { _tmp3 }=\text { _tmp0; } \\
& \text { a = _tmp3; } \\
& -\operatorname{tmp} 4=-\operatorname{tmp} 3+b ; \\
& \text { c }=\text { tmp4; } \\
& \text { _tmp5 }=c \text {; } \\
& \text { _tmp6 }=\text { ObjectC; } \\
& \text { _tmp7 = *(ObjectC); } \\
& \text { Push c; } \\
& \text { Push _tmp1; } \\
& \text { Call _tmp7; }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Copy Propagation

Object x;
int a;
int b;
int c;
x = new Object;
a $=4$;
$c=a+b ;$
x.fn $(a+b)$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { _tmp0 }=4 \text {; } \\
& \text { Push _tmp0; } \\
& \text { _tmp1 = Call _Alloc; } \\
& \text { tmp2 = ObjectC; } \\
& \text { * (_tmp1) = ObjectC; } \\
& \mathbf{x}=\text { tmp1; } \\
& \text { _tmp3 }=\text { _tmp0; } \\
& \text { a = _tmp0; } \\
& { }_{-t m p 4}=-\operatorname{tmp} 0+b ; \\
& c=\text { tmp } 4 \text {; } \\
& \text { _tmp5 }=c \text {; } \\
& \text { _tmp6 }=\text { ObjectC; } \\
& \text { _tmp7 = *(ObjectC); } \\
& \text { Push c; } \\
& \text { Push _tmp1; } \\
& \text { Call _tmp7; }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Dead Code Elimination

- An assignment to a variable $v$ is called dead if the value of that assignment is never read anywhere
- Dead code elimination removes dead assignments from IR
- Determining whether an assignment is dead depends on what variable is being assigned to and when it's being assigned


## Dead Code Elimination

```
Object x;
int a;
int b;
int c;
x = new Object;
a = 4;
c = a + b;
x.fn(a + b);
```

```
_tmp0 \(=4\);
Push _tmp0;
_tmp1 = Call _Alloc;
    tmp2 = ObjectC;
    * (_tmp1) = ObjectC;
\(\mathbf{x}=\) tmp1;
_tmp3 \(=\) _tmp0;
\(a=\) tmp0;
\(-\operatorname{tmp} 4=\operatorname{tmp} 0+b ;\)
\(\bar{c}=\operatorname{tmp} 4\);
_tmp5 = c;
_tmp6 \(=\) ObjectC;
_tmp7 = *(ObjectC);
Push c;
Push _tmp1;
Call _tmp7;
```


## Dead Code Elimination

```
Object x;
int a;
int b;
int c;
x = new Object;
a = 4;
c = a + b;
x.fn(a + b);
```


## Dead Code Elimination



## Dead Code Elimination

```
Object x;
int a;
int b;
int c;
x = new
Object;
a = 4;
c = a + b;
x.fn(a + b);
```


## Applying local optimizations

- The different optimizations we've seen so far all take care of just a small piece of the optimization
- Common subexpression elimination eliminates unnecessary statements
- Copy propagation helps identify dead code
- Dead code elimination removes statements that are no longer needed
- To get maximum effect, we may have to apply these optimizations numerous times


# Applying local optimizations example 

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{b}=\mathrm{a} * \mathrm{a} ; \\
& \mathrm{c}=\mathrm{a} * \mathrm{a} ; \\
& \mathrm{d}=\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{c} \\
& \mathrm{e}=\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{b}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Applying local optimizations

 example$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{b}=\mathrm{a} * \mathrm{a} ; \\
& \mathrm{c}=\mathrm{a} * \mathrm{a} ; \\
& \mathrm{d}=\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{c} \\
& \mathrm{e}=\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{b}
\end{aligned}
$$

Which optimization should we apply here?

## Applying local optimizations example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{b}=\mathrm{a} * \mathrm{a} ; \\
& \mathrm{c}=\mathrm{b} ; \\
& \mathrm{d}=\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{c} \\
& \mathrm{e}=\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{b}
\end{aligned}
$$

Which optimization should we apply here?

Common sub-expression elimination

## Applying local optimizations example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{b}=\mathrm{a} * \mathrm{a} ; \\
& \mathrm{c}=\mathrm{b} ; \\
& \mathrm{d}=\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{c} \\
& \mathrm{e}=\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{b}
\end{aligned}
$$

Which optimization should we apply here?

## Applying local optimizations example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{b}=\mathrm{a} * \mathrm{a} ; \\
& \mathrm{c}=\mathrm{b} ; \\
& \mathrm{d}=\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{b} \\
& \mathrm{e}=\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{b}
\end{aligned}
$$

Which optimization should we apply here?

Copy propagation

## Applying local optimizations

 example$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{b}=\mathrm{a} * \mathrm{a} ; \\
& \mathrm{c}=\mathrm{b} ; \\
& \mathrm{d}=\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{b} ; \\
& \mathrm{e}=\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{b}
\end{aligned}
$$

Which optimization should we apply here?

## Applying local optimizations example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{b}=\mathrm{a} * \mathrm{a} ; \\
& \mathrm{c}=\mathrm{b} ; \\
& \mathrm{d}=\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{b} ; \\
& \mathrm{e}=\mathrm{d} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

Which optimization should we apply here?

Common sub-expression elimination (again)

## Other types of local optimizations

- Arithmetic Simplification
- Replace "hard" operations with easier ones
- e.g. rewrite $\mathbf{x}=4$ * a ; as $\mathbf{x}=\mathrm{a} \ll 2$;
- Constant Folding
- Evaluate expressions at compile-time if they have a constant value.
- e.g. rewrite $\mathbf{x}=4 * 5$; as $\mathbf{x}=20$;


## Optimizations and analyses

- Most optimizations are only possible given some analysis of the program's behavior
- In order to implement an optimization, we will talk about the corresponding program analyses


## Available expressions

- Both common subexpression elimination and copy propagation depend on an analysis of the available expressions in a program
- An expression is called available if some variable in the program holds the value of that expression
- In common subexpression elimination, we replace an available expression by the variable holding its value
- In copy propagation, we replace the use of a variable by the available expression it holds


## Finding available expressions

- Initially, no expressions are available
- Whenever we execute a statement a = bop c:
- Any expression holding a is invalidated
- The expression $\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{b}$ op $\mathbf{c}$ becomes available
- Idea: Iterate across the basic block, beginning with the empty set of expressions and updating available expressions at each variable


## Available expressions example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { \{ \} } \\
& \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{b}+2 \text {; } \\
& \{a=b+2\} \\
& \mathrm{b}=\mathrm{x} \text {; } \\
& \{\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{x}\} \\
& d=a+b ; \\
& \{\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{~d}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}\} \\
& e=a+b ; \\
& \{\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{~d}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{e}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}\} \\
& \mathrm{d}=\mathrm{x} \text {; } \\
& \{\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{~d}=\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{e}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}\} \\
& \mathrm{f}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b} \text {; } \\
& \{\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{~d}=\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{e}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{f}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Common sub-expression elimination

 \{ \}$\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{b}+2$;
$\{a=b+2\}$
$\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{x}$;
$\{\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{x}\}$
$d=a+b ;$
$\{\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{d}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}\}$
e $=d$;
$\{\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{d}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{e}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}\}$
$\mathrm{d}=\mathrm{b}$;
$\{\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{d}=\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{e}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}\}$
f =e;
$\{\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{d}=\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{e}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{f}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}\}$

## Common sub-expression elimination

 \{ \}$\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{b}+2$;
$\{a=b+2\}$
$\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{x}$;
$\{\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{x}\}$
$\mathrm{d}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}$;
$\{\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{d}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}\}$
$e=a+b ;$
$\{\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{d}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{e}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}\}$
$\mathrm{d}=\mathrm{x}$;
$\{\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{d}=\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{e}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}\}$
$\mathrm{f}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}$;
$\{\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{d}=\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{e}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{f}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}\}$

