Language Oriented Programming with Cedalion



Boaz Rosenan Dept. of Computer Science The Open University of Israel

Adviser: Prof. David H. Lorenz



• Overview:

- Language Oriented Programming (LOP)
- LOP Languages
- Cedalion, as an LOP Language
- Case Study:
 - DNA Microarray Design

Language Oriented Programming (LOP): Rethinking Software Development

Traditional Thinking

 Designing our software for a programming language.

New Thinking

 Design programming languages for our software.

- The Role of DSLs in LOP
 - Implement them if you need to.
 - Keep them focused and interoperable.



Sw. Implementation
DSL Definition



DSL Implementation



DSL Definition

DSL Implementation

Expressive



DSL Definition

DSL Implementation

Concise

Expressive



Language Workbenches

- IDEs for developing DSLs.
- Use External DSLs.
- Use Projectional-Editing
 [Fowler05].
- DSLs: Easy to use; hard to implement.
- Examples: MPS, Intentional.

Language Workbenches

- IDEs for developing DSLs.
- Use External DSLs.
- Use Projectional-Editing
 [Fowler05].
- DSLs: Easy to use; hard to implement.
- Examples: MPS, Intentional.

- Internal to a host language.
- First used in Lisp in the 1960s.
- DSLs: Easy to implement; limited by the host language.

Language Workbenches

- IDEs for developing DSLs.
- Use External DSLs.
- Use Projectional-Editing
 [Fowler05].
- DSLs: Easy to use; hard to implement.
- Examples: MPS, Intentional.

- Internal to a host language.
- First used in Lisp in the 1960s.
- DSLs: Easy to implement; limited by the host language.

Language Workbenches

- IDEs for developing DSLs.
- Use External DSLs.
- Use Projectional-Editing
 [Fowler05].
- DSLs: Easy to use; hard to implement.
- Examples: MPS, Intentional.

- Internal to a host language.
- First used in Lisp in the 1960s.
- DSLs: Easy to implement; limited by the host language.

LOP Languages: Rethinking LOP

LOP Languages

- Programming languages supporting LOP.
- Just like OOP languages support OOP.
- Definition
 - An LOP Language is a programming language that can host *internal DSLs*, allows the definition and enforcement of DSL schema, and features extensible projectional-editing.















- Logic Programming Language
 - Hosts internal DSLs
- Uses Projectional Editing
 - As a way to provide syntactic freedom
- Statically Typed (Type Inference)
 - As a way to define schema
- Open-source:
 - http://cedalion.sf.net



Cedalion standing on the shoulders of Orion; *Nicolas Poussin, 1658*



<u>F</u> ile	<u>E</u> dit <u>N</u> avigate Se <u>a</u> rch <u>P</u> roject <u>R</u> un <u>W</u> indow <u>H</u> elp	
] 参	- O - Q ₂ ≅ - 🗟 ≝ ⊕ O - ⋑ A - © - ∯ - ∜ - ∜ - ↔ - ↔ - 🗈 😫 Java	
	🔒 procedure.ced 🔒 file.ced 🔒 edit.ced 🔓 projection.ced 🔓 unittest.ced 🔓 grammer-example.ced 🛿 🐣	
L#		÷
	• pattem :: type ↔ []	^
Lei	•ε∷pattern ↔ []	
	• ε :: pattern ->> symbol (949)	
	• 'C':: pattern \hookrightarrow [C:: string]	
	• 'C' :: pattern ->> " [label (') , italic (《 C :: string 》) , label (') DSL DETINITION	
	• P1 . P2 :: pattern \hookrightarrow [P1 :: pattern , P2 :: pattern]	
	• P1 . P2 :: pattern ->> " [《 P1 :: pattern 》 , label (.) , 《 P2 :: pattern 》]	
	• Symbol ::= Pattern :: statement ↔ [Symbol :: pattern :: pattern]	
	• Symbol ::= Pattern :: statement ->> " [《 Symbol :: pattern 》 , label (::=) , 《 Pattern :: pattern 》]	
	• Pattern ⇒ Text / Residue :: pred ↔ [Pattern :: pattern , Text :: list (string) , Residue :: list (string)]	
	• Pattern ⇒ Text / Residue :: pred → "[《 Pattern :: pattern 》, symbol (8658), 《 Text :: list (string) @ horiz 》, label (/), 《 Residue :: list (string) @ horiz 》]	
	• $\varepsilon \Rightarrow X / X :=$	
	true	
	• $A' \Rightarrow [AB]/B$	
	true	
	• $II : I2 \Rightarrow IEM / Restance :$	
	$P1 \Rightarrow 1em / R1$,	
	$F_2 \Rightarrow RI / Restaue$	
	• Symbol ::= Fattern -> Symbol > lext / Residue :-	
	$Fattern \Rightarrow 1ext \ restate$	
	• s :: pattern ↔ []	
	• Sum E	
	• Unit Test: $a \Rightarrow [a, a, b, b, b] / []$	~
1		-

<u>F</u> ile	<u>E</u> dit <u>N</u> avigate Se <u>a</u> rch <u>P</u> roject <u>R</u> un <u>W</u> indow <u>H</u> elp	
5	- O - Q ₄ -] ≅ - 📓 🗁] 😕 # @ -] 🥭	
	🔒 procedure.ced 🔒 file.ced 🔒 edit.ced 🔒 projection.ced 🔒 unittest.ced 🔒 grammer-example.ced 😂 🎽	- 0
Ħ		
	<pre>• pattern :: type ↔ [• ɛ :: pattern ↔] • ɛ :: pattern ↔ symbol (949) • ' C ': : pattern ↔ [C :: string] • ' C ': : pattern ↔ [label ('), italic (《 C :: string »), label (') DSL Definition • P1 : P2 :: pattern ↔ [P1 :: pattern », P2 :: pattern] • P1 : P2 :: pattern ↔ [《 P1 :: pattern », label (.), 《 P2 :: pattern »] • Symbol ::= Pattern :: statement ↔ [Symbol :: pattern », label (:=), 《 Pattern :: pattern »] • Symbol ::= Pattern :: statement → i [《 Symbol :: pattern », label (:=), 《 Pattern :: pattern »] • Pattern ⇒ Text / Residue :: pred ↔ [Pattern :: pattern », symbol (%65%), 《 Text :: list (string) @ horiz », label (/), 《 Residue :: list (string) @ horiz », label (/), 《 Residue :: list (string) @ horiz », label (/), 《 Residue :: list (string) @ horiz », label (/), 《 Residue :: list (string) @ horiz », label (/), % Residue :: list (s</pre>]
	blue DOL INIPIC INCLIDENT ALTON P1 → Text / Residue :- P2 → R1 / Residue Symbol ::= Pattern → Symbol ⇒ Text / Residue :- Pattern ⇒ Text / Residue • s :: pattern → [] • s ::= 'a' ⋅ s ⋅ 'b' • s ::= ε • Unit Test: s ⇒ [a, a, b, b] / []	
	• Unit Test: ¬ s ⇒ [a , a , a , b , b] / []	~

<u>F</u> ile	<u>E</u> dit <u>N</u> avigate Se <u>a</u> rch <u>P</u> roject <u>R</u> un <u>W</u> indow <u>H</u> elp
🎋	- O- Q-] th- 🔄 🔤] 🖄 🛱 @-] 🅭 A-] te-] ∦ - ∛I - ∜⊃ ↔ ↔ ↔ 🗈 🔡 ∰java
	🔒 procedure.ced 🔒 file.ced 🔒 edit.ced 🔓 projection.ced 🔒 unittest.ced 🔒 grammer-example.ced 😂 🌋 🖳 🗖 🗖
L#	
	• pattem :: type ↔ []
٩	• ε :: pattern ↔ [
	• E :: pattern ->> symbol (949)
	• 'C' :: pattern ↔ [C :: string]
	• 'C' :: pattern ->> " [label ('), italic (《 C :: string 》), label (') DOL DE IIIIIIOI
	• P1 . P2 :: pattern \leftrightarrow [P1 :: pattern , P2 :: pattern]
	• P1 . P2 :: pattern ->> " [《 P1 :: pattern 》 , label (.) , 《 P2 :: pattern 》]
	• Symbol := Pattern :: statement \hookrightarrow [Symbol :: pattern ; Pattern]
	• Symbol ::= Pattern :: statement -> " [(Symbol :: pattern # , label (::=) , (Pattern :: pattern #]
	• Fattern = Text / Residue = pred = [Fattern = pattern], rest = 1(\$1000), restaue = list (sting)]
	• Futern > lext / Kestane pred -> [% Fatern pattern //, symbol (3038), % lext list (stimg) (@ honz //, tabel (7), % Restate list (stimg) (@ honz //]
	$\bullet^{+}A^{+} \Rightarrow [AB]/B$
	DSI Implementation
	• $P1 : P2 \Rightarrow Text / Residue :-$
	$Pl \Rightarrow Text / R1$,
	$P2 \Rightarrow R1 / Residue$
	• Symbol ::= Pattern → Symbol ⇒ Text / Residue :-
	$Pattern \Rightarrow Text / Residue$
	• s :: pattern ↔ []
	• s ::= ' a ' . s . ' b '
	• s == c Sw. Implementation
	• Unit Test: s ⇒ [a , a , b , b] / []
	• Unit Test: ¬ s ⇒ [a , a , a , b , b] / []
	a 😥 🛷 💇 @ 🔝 🛛 🕫

Cedalion Case Studies

- BNF Grammar for Parsing + Evaluation.
- Functional Programming.
- Process Calculus (CCS) + Modal Logic (HML).
- DNA Sequence Sets



Related Work

- Language Oriented Programming
 - [Ward, 1994] Language-oriented programming. Software-Concepts and Tools, 15(4):147–161, 1994
 - [Fowler, 2005] Language workbenches: The killer-app for domain specific languages. 2005.
- Language Workbenches
 - [Dmitriev, 2004] Language oriented programming: The next programming paradigm. JetBrains onBoard, 1(2), 2004.
 - [Simonyi, Christerson, and Clifford, 2006] Intentional software. ACM SIGPLAN Notices, 41(10):451–464, 2006.
- Internal DSLs
 - [Hudak, 1996] Building domain-specific embedded languages. ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR), 28(4es), 1996.

Conclusion

- Contributions
 - LOP Languages
 - Cedalion
- Future Work
 - Theory
 - Further investigate the properties of LOP Languages.
 - Prove Cedalion type-system correctness.
 - Practice
 - Make Cedalion "ready for prime-time".
 - Provide more validation by real life examples.

Case Study

DNA Sequence Sets for DNA Microarray Design



Joint work with Itai Beno, Faculty of Biology, Technion – Israel Institute of Technology



What is DNA?

- **Deoxyribonucleic acid.**
- A double-helix consisting of nucleotides.
- Four types, abbreviated A,T,C,G.
- Stores the "machine code" of life.
- ~3GBase (~750MB) is the size of the worlds most amazing "software"...



DNA and Cancer Research

- DNA anomalies play a significant role in the formation of Cancer.
- Studying these anomalies is critical in the search for effective treatment for Cancer.
- Certain proteins which participate in cancerous processes interact with DNA.
- These interactions are of extreme importance to this field.



Figure 5-4 part 2 of 2. Molecular Biology of the Cell, 4th Edition.

DNA Microarray

- Finding a sequence with certain qualities requires multiple experiments.
- A DNA Microarray is a device containing O(10⁵) microscopic spots, each containing a different DNA sequence (multiple instances).
- Microarrays can be custom-made for specific experiments.
- Biologists provide the manufacturer a list of all sequences need to be produced.





Case-Study Goals

- Produce a list of O(10⁵) DNA sequences that reflect the desired design.
- Do this "LOP Style":
 - Microarray specification is done by biologists (non-programmers).
 - These biologists should use a DSL developed for this purpose.
 - All "programming" should be restricted to the DSL and its runtime environment, and should be agnostic of the actual Microarray design.

Before Cedalion...

- The biologist performing this experiment has a programming day-job...
- Programmed ~500 LOC in Java to express a simple design.
- Runs fast (few seconds).
- This code must change to accommodate any change to the microarray design.

With Cedalion...

- A DSL was provided to express sets of DNA sequences.
- A microarray design can be defined using sets of sequences, with a name and quantity for each.
- A microarray design can be generated into files containing all sequences in the set.
- A 30 LOC Perl-script decimates the sequence files to form the desired output.

DSL for DNA Sequence Sets

- A/T/C/G: Singleton sets of a single nucleotide.
- N:=A∪T∪C∪G
- X.Y: The set consisting of an element of X concatenated to an element of Y.
- Xⁿ: A singleton set containing the empty sequence if n=0, or X.Xⁿ⁻¹ otherwise.
- Y=[X]: Evaluates to the members of X. Y is bound to a singleton set containing that member, e.g., Y=[N²].Y

DSL for DNA Sequence Sets

- X^{inv} : The members of X in inverse order.
- X^{conj}: The members of X, with all nucleotides replaced by their conjugates: A⇔T; C⇔G.
- $X^{\text{comp}} := (X^{\text{conj}})^{\text{inv}}$



Restricting a Set

- Double-stranded DNA is redundant.
- For each sequence S, S and S^{αnp} represent the same double-stranded DNA.
- restrict(X): Contains all members of X, taking only the "smaller" of two sequences representing the same DNA.
- uniformRestrict(X): Same as restrict(X), but taking either the smaller of the greater, at coin-toss.



Generating a Microarray

- A microarray has a name (base file name) and a list of sections.
- Each section consists of a name, a set of sequences and a quantity – how many sequences we wish to select.
- A context-menu-entry allows the generation of the microarray files, containing all possibilities.
- Running the Perl script in the target directory creates the final, decimated files.



- Build a microarray design.
- All sequences will start with ACCGGT and end with TTTTT.
- The middle part consists of a sequence followed by its conjugate.
- The basic sequence consists of the following:
 - Experiment: A sequence of 5 bases, with either A or T in the middle. Select 100.
 - Control: A sequence of 5 bases, with either C or G in the middle. Select 20.

Case-Study Results

- With some assistance, the biologist was able to specify the microarray design using Cedalion.
- The design was changed twice before reaching the final version. Non of the changes required "programming".
- Unit-tests were used to assure that the constant parts of the DNA sequences do not contain "interesting" features.

Case-Study: Conclusion

- The microarray design produced by Cedalion was submitted to the manufacturer.
- Pros:
 - Specification was done by non-programmer.
 - Modifications to the design were straight-forward.
- Cons:
 - Runtime performance is bad: x10 to x100 slower then the hand-written Java implementation (6 minutes for ~500,000 sequences).

Thank You!



Boaz Rosenan Dept. of Computer Science The Open University of Israel

brosenan@cslab.openu.ac.il http://cedalion.sf.net