

Finite-valued Semantics for Canonical Labelled Calculi

Anna Zamansky

Ori Lahav

Vienna University of Technology

Tel Aviv University

Compositional Meaning in Logic [GeTFun 1.0], Unilog 2013

Finite-valued Semantics for Canonical Labelled Calculi

Anna Zamansky

Ori Lahav

Vienna University of Technology

Tel Aviv University

Compositional Meaning in Logic [GeTFun 1.0], Unilog 2013



Matthias Baaz, Ori Lahav, and Anna Zamansky, *Finite-valued Semantics for Canonical Labelled Calculi*, **Journal of Automated Reasoning**, 2013.

The Big Picture

- **Goals:**
 - Characterization of important proof-theoretic properties of calculi: *cut-admissibility, the subformula property, invertibility of rules,...*
 - Understanding the dependencies between them
 - Tighten the relations between proof-theory and semantics
- **Tool:** Non-deterministic semantics
 - Goes back to [Schütte 1960], [Tait 1966]
 - Formalized and studied in [Avron,Lev 2001]
- **Framework:** Canonical **labelled sequent calculi**
 - Labelled = many-sided

Labelled Sequent Calculi

- A propositional language \mathcal{L}
- A finite set of labels \mathcal{C} $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \{\blacksquare, \blacktriangle, \blacklozenge, \blacktriangleleft, \dots\}$
- Labelled formula: $\square : A$ where $A \in \text{Frm}_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\square \in \mathcal{C}$
- Sequent: $\Gamma \vdash \Delta$ a finite set of labelled formulas

Labelled Sequent Calculi

- A **propositional language** \mathcal{L}
- A finite **set of labels** $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \{\blacksquare, \color{red}\blacksquare, \color{yellow}\blacksquare, \color{green}\blacksquare, \dots\}$
- **Labelled formula** := $\square : A$ where $A \in \text{Frm}_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\square \in \mathcal{C}$
- **Sequent** := a finite set of labelled formulas

$$\mathcal{C} = \{\color{blue}\blacksquare, \color{red}\blacksquare, \color{yellow}\blacksquare, \color{green}\blacksquare\} \quad \{\color{red}\blacksquare : p_1, \color{green}\blacksquare : \neg p_1\}$$

Labelled Sequent Calculi

- A **propositional language** \mathcal{L}
- A finite **set of labels** $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \{\blacksquare, \blacksquare, \blacksquare, \blacksquare, \dots\}$
- **Labelled formula** := $\square : A$ where $A \in \text{Frm}_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\square \in \mathcal{C}$
- **Sequent** := a finite set of labelled formulas

$$\mathcal{C} = \{\blacksquare, \blacksquare, \blacksquare, \blacksquare\} \quad \{\blacksquare : p_1, \blacksquare : \neg p_1\}$$

$$\frac{\frac{\{\blacksquare : p_1\}}{\{\blacksquare : \neg p_1, \blacksquare : \neg p_1\}} \quad \frac{\{\blacksquare : p_1\}}{\{\blacksquare : \neg p_1, \blacksquare : \neg p_1\}}}{\{\blacksquare : \neg p_1\}}$$

Labelled Sequent Calculi

- A propositional language \mathcal{L}
- A finite set of labels $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \{\blacksquare, \blacksquare, \blacksquare, \blacksquare, \dots\}$
- Labelled formula: $\square : A$ where $A \in \text{Frm}_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\square \in \mathcal{C}$
- Sequent: $\square : A$ a finite set of labelled formulas

$$\mathcal{C} = \{\blacksquare, \blacksquare, \blacksquare, \blacksquare\} \quad \{\blacksquare : p_1, \blacksquare : \neg p_1\}$$

$$\frac{\frac{\{\blacksquare : p_1\}}{\{\blacksquare : \neg p_1, \blacksquare : \neg p_1\}} \quad \frac{\{\blacksquare : p_1\}}{\{\blacksquare : \neg p_1, \blacksquare : \neg p_1\}}}{\{\blacksquare : \neg p_1\}}$$

$$p_1, p_1 \supset p_2 \Rightarrow p_2 \iff \{\blacksquare : p_1, \blacksquare : p_1 \supset p_2, \blacksquare : p_2\}$$

Canonical Labelled Calculi

- 1 All standard structural rules
(exchange, contraction, weakening)
- 2 A finite set of **primitive rules**
- 3 A finite set of **canonical logical rules**

Primitive Rules

Manipulate labels. Have the form (\square 's are replaced by labels)

$$\frac{\{\square : A, \dots, \square : A\} \cup s \quad \dots \quad \{\square : A, \dots, \square : A\} \cup s}{\{\square : A, \dots, \square : A\} \cup s}$$

Primitive Rules

Manipulate labels. Have the form (\square 's are replaced by labels)

$$\frac{\{\square : A, \dots, \square : A\} \cup s \quad \dots \quad \{\square : A, \dots, \square : A\} \cup s}{\{\square : A, \dots, \square : A\} \cup s}$$

Examples:

$$\frac{\{\color{red}\blacksquare : A\} \cup s \quad \{\color{blue}\blacksquare : A\} \cup s}{\{\color{yellow}\blacksquare : A, \color{green}\blacksquare : A\} \cup s}$$

$$\frac{\{\color{red}\blacksquare : A\} \cup s \quad \{\color{blue}\blacksquare : A\} \cup s}{s}$$

$$\overline{\{\color{red}\blacksquare : A, \color{blue}\blacksquare : A\} \cup s}$$

Canonical Rules

- “Ideal” logical introduction rules [Avron, Lev 2001]:
 - Introduce *exactly one connective*.
 - The active formulas are *immediate subformulas* of the principal formula.
 - The application is *context-independent*.

$$\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A, \Delta \quad \Gamma, B \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, A \supset B \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

Canonical Rules

- “Ideal” logical introduction rules [Avron, Lev 2001]:
 - Introduce *exactly one connective*.
 - The active formulas are *immediate subformulas* of the principal formula.
 - The application is *context-independent*.

$$\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A, \Delta \quad \Gamma, B \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, A \supset B \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

- In Labelled Calculi [Avron, Zamansky 2009]:

$$\frac{\{\blacksquare : A\} \cup s \quad \{\blacksquare : B\} \cup s}{\{\blacksquare : A \supset B\} \cup s}$$

Canonical Rules

- “Ideal” logical introduction rules [Avron, Lev 2001]:
 - Introduce *exactly one connective*.
 - The active formulas are *immediate subformulas* of the principal formula.
 - The application is *context-independent*.

$$\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A, \Delta \quad \Gamma, B \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, A \supset B \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

- In Labelled Calculi [Avron, Zamansky 2009]:

$$\frac{\{\blacksquare : A\} \cup s \quad \{\blacksquare : B\} \cup s}{\{\blacksquare : A \supset B\} \cup s}$$

- May introduce a connective with *more than one label*.

$$\frac{\{\blacksquare : A, \blacksquare : B\} \cup s \quad \{\blacksquare : B, \blacksquare : C, \blacksquare : C\} \cup s}{\{\blacksquare : \heartsuit(A, B, C), \blacksquare : \heartsuit(A, B, C)\} \cup s}$$

Canonical Labelled Calculi

- 1 All standard structural rules
(exchange, contraction, weakening)
- 2 A finite set of **primitive rules**
- 3 A finite set of **canonical logical rules**

Semantics

Intuition

- The value of A determines which of the labelled formulas $\blacksquare : A, \blacksquare : A, \blacksquare : A, \dots$ is true.
- In general, there are $2^{|C|}$ possible options.
- Primitive rules forbid some of them.
- Logical rules are used to determine the values of compound formulas.

Semantics

Intuition

- The value of A determines which of the labelled formulas $\blacksquare : A, \blacksquare : A, \blacksquare : A, \dots$ is true.
- In general, there are $2^{|\mathcal{C}|}$ possible options.
- Primitive rules forbid some of them.
- Logical rules are used to determine the values of compound formulas.

Formalization

- The set of truth-values $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{G}} \subseteq P(\mathcal{C})$ is determined according to the primitive rules of \mathbf{G} .
- A valuation $v : \text{Frm}_{\mathcal{L}} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{G}}$ is a model of $\square : A$ if $\square \in v(A)$.
- A valuation is a model of a sequent s if it is a model of some labelled formula in s .

Example: Semantic Effect of Primitive Rules

$$\mathcal{C} = \{\text{red}, \text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}$$

Example: Semantic Effect of Primitive Rules

$$\mathcal{C} = \{\color{red}\blacksquare, \color{blue}\blacksquare, \color{yellow}\blacksquare\}$$

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{G}} = \{\{\}, \{\color{red}\blacksquare\}, \{\color{blue}\blacksquare\}, \{\color{yellow}\blacksquare\}, \{\color{red}\blacksquare, \color{blue}\blacksquare\}, \{\color{red}\blacksquare, \color{yellow}\blacksquare\}, \{\color{blue}\blacksquare, \color{yellow}\blacksquare\}, \{\color{red}\blacksquare, \color{blue}\blacksquare, \color{yellow}\blacksquare\}\}$$

Example: Semantic Effect of Primitive Rules

$$\mathcal{C} = \{\color{red}\blacksquare, \color{blue}\blacksquare, \color{yellow}\blacksquare\}$$

$$\frac{\{\color{yellow}\blacksquare : A\} \cup s}{\{\color{red}\blacksquare : A, \color{blue}\blacksquare : A\} \cup s} r_1$$

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{G}} = \{\{\}, \{\color{red}\blacksquare\}, \{\color{blue}\blacksquare\}, \{\color{yellow}\blacksquare\}, \{\color{red}\blacksquare, \color{blue}\blacksquare\}, \{\color{red}\blacksquare, \color{yellow}\blacksquare\}, \{\color{blue}\blacksquare, \color{yellow}\blacksquare\}, \{\color{red}\blacksquare, \color{blue}\blacksquare, \color{yellow}\blacksquare\}\}$$

Example: Semantic Effect of Primitive Rules

$$\mathcal{C} = \{\color{red}\blacksquare, \color{blue}\blacksquare, \color{yellow}\blacksquare\}$$

$$\frac{\{\color{yellow}\blacksquare : A\} \cup s}{\{\color{red}\blacksquare : A, \color{blue}\blacksquare : A\} \cup s} r_1$$

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{G}} = \{\{\}, \{\color{red}\blacksquare\}, \{\color{blue}\blacksquare\}, \color{red}\cancel{\color{yellow}\blacksquare}, \{\color{red}\blacksquare, \color{blue}\blacksquare\}, \{\color{red}\blacksquare, \color{yellow}\blacksquare\}, \{\color{blue}\blacksquare, \color{yellow}\blacksquare\}, \{\color{red}\blacksquare, \color{blue}\blacksquare, \color{yellow}\blacksquare\}\}$$

Example: Semantic Effect of Primitive Rules

$$\mathcal{C} = \{\color{red}\blacksquare, \color{blue}\blacksquare, \color{yellow}\blacksquare\}$$

$$\frac{\{\color{yellow}\blacksquare : A\} \cup s}{\{\color{red}\blacksquare : A, \color{blue}\blacksquare : A\} \cup s} r_1$$

$$\frac{\{\color{red}\blacksquare : A\} \cup s \quad \{\color{yellow}\blacksquare : A\} \cup s}{s} r_2$$

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{G}} = \{\{\}, \{\color{red}\blacksquare\}, \{\color{blue}\blacksquare\}, \{\color{yellow}\blacksquare\}, \{\color{red}\blacksquare, \color{blue}\blacksquare\}, \{\color{red}\blacksquare, \color{yellow}\blacksquare\}, \{\color{blue}\blacksquare, \color{yellow}\blacksquare\}, \{\color{red}\blacksquare, \color{blue}\blacksquare, \color{yellow}\blacksquare\}\}$$

Example: Semantic Effect of Primitive Rules

$$\mathcal{C} = \{\color{red}\blacksquare, \color{blue}\blacksquare, \color{yellow}\blacksquare\}$$

$$\frac{\{\color{yellow}\blacksquare : A\} \cup s}{\{\color{red}\blacksquare : A, \color{blue}\blacksquare : A\} \cup s} r_1$$

$$\frac{\{\color{red}\blacksquare : A\} \cup s \quad \{\color{yellow}\blacksquare : A\} \cup s}{s} r_2$$

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{G}} = \{\{\}, \{\color{red}\blacksquare\}, \{\color{blue}\blacksquare\}, \{\color{yellow}\blacksquare\}, \{\color{red}\blacksquare, \color{blue}\blacksquare\}, \{\color{red}\blacksquare, \color{yellow}\blacksquare\}, \{\color{blue}\blacksquare, \color{yellow}\blacksquare\}, \{\color{red}\blacksquare, \color{blue}\blacksquare, \color{yellow}\blacksquare\}\}$$

Example: Semantic Effect of Primitive Rules

$$\mathcal{C} = \{\text{red}, \text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}$$

$$\frac{\{\text{yellow} : A\} \cup s}{\{\text{red} : A, \text{blue} : A\} \cup s} r_1$$

$$\frac{\{\text{red} : A\} \cup s \quad \{\text{yellow} : A\} \cup s}{s} r_2$$

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{G}} = \{\{\}, \{\text{red}\}, \{\text{blue}\}, \{\text{yellow}\}, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{red}, \text{yellow}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$$

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{G}} = \{\{\}, \{\text{red}\}, \{\text{blue}\}, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$$

The Truth-Tables

The table for a connective is **algorithmically** extracted from its logical rules.

The Truth-Tables

The table for a connective is **algorithmically** extracted from its logical rules.

For example:

$$\frac{\{\blacksquare : A\} \cup s \quad \{\blacksquare : B\} \cup s}{\{\blacksquare : A \supset B\} \cup s}$$

$$\mathcal{T}_G = \{\{\blacksquare\}, \{\blacksquare\}\}$$

$$\frac{\{\blacksquare : A, \blacksquare : B\} \cup s}{\{\blacksquare : A \supset B\} \cup s}$$

The Truth-Tables

The table for a connective is **algorithmically** extracted from its logical rules.

For example:

$$\mathcal{T}_G = \{\{\color{red}\blacksquare\}, \{\color{blue}\blacksquare\}\}$$

$$\frac{\{\color{blue}\blacksquare : A\} \cup s \quad \{\color{red}\blacksquare : B\} \cup s}{\{\color{red}\blacksquare : A \supset B\} \cup s}$$

$$\frac{\{\color{red}\blacksquare : A, \color{blue}\blacksquare : B\} \cup s}{\{\color{blue}\blacksquare : A \supset B\} \cup s}$$

\supset	$\{\color{red}\blacksquare\}$	$\{\color{blue}\blacksquare\}$
$\{\color{red}\blacksquare\}$		
$\{\color{blue}\blacksquare\}$		

The Truth-Tables

The table for a connective is **algorithmically** extracted from its logical rules.

For example:

$$\mathcal{T}_G = \{\{\text{red}\}, \{\text{blue}\}\}$$

$$\frac{\{\text{blue} : A\} \cup s \quad \{\text{red} : B\} \cup s}{\{\text{red} : A \supset B\} \cup s}$$

$$\frac{\{\text{red} : A, \text{blue} : B\} \cup s}{\{\text{blue} : A \supset B\} \cup s}$$

\supset	$\{\text{red}\}$	$\{\text{blue}\}$
$\{\text{red}\}$	$\{\text{blue}\}$	
$\{\text{blue}\}$		

The Truth-Tables

The table for a connective is **algorithmically** extracted from its logical rules.

For example:

$$\mathcal{T}_G = \{\{\color{red}\blacksquare\}, \{\color{blue}\blacksquare\}\}$$

$$\frac{\{\color{blue}\blacksquare : A\} \cup s \quad \{\color{red}\blacksquare : B\} \cup s}{\{\color{red}\blacksquare : A \supset B\} \cup s}$$

$$\frac{\{\color{red}\blacksquare : A, \color{blue}\blacksquare : B\} \cup s}{\{\color{blue}\blacksquare : A \supset B\} \cup s}$$

\supset	$\{\color{red}\blacksquare\}$	$\{\color{blue}\blacksquare\}$
$\{\color{red}\blacksquare\}$	$\{\color{blue}\blacksquare\}$	
$\{\color{blue}\blacksquare\}$	$\{\color{red}\blacksquare\}$	

The Truth-Tables

The table for a connective is **algorithmically** extracted from its logical rules.

For example:

$$\mathcal{T}_G = \{\{\color{red}\blacksquare\}, \{\color{blue}\blacksquare\}\}$$

$$\frac{\{\color{blue}\blacksquare : A\} \cup s \quad \{\color{red}\blacksquare : B\} \cup s}{\{\color{red}\blacksquare : A \supset B\} \cup s}$$

$$\frac{\{\color{red}\blacksquare : A, \color{blue}\blacksquare : B\} \cup s}{\{\color{blue}\blacksquare : A \supset B\} \cup s}$$

$\tilde{\supset}$	$\{\color{red}\blacksquare\}$	$\{\color{blue}\blacksquare\}$
$\{\color{red}\blacksquare\}$	$\{\color{blue}\blacksquare\}$	$\{\color{blue}\blacksquare\}$
$\{\color{blue}\blacksquare\}$	$\{\color{red}\blacksquare\}$	$\{\color{blue}\blacksquare\}$

The Truth-Tables

The table for a connective is **algorithmically** extracted from its logical rules.

For example:

$$\mathcal{T}_G = \{\{\color{red}\blacksquare\}, \{\color{blue}\blacksquare\}\}$$
$$\frac{\{\color{blue}\blacksquare : A\} \cup s \quad \{\color{red}\blacksquare : B\} \cup s}{\{\color{red}\blacksquare : A \supset B\} \cup s}$$
$$\frac{\{\color{red}\blacksquare : A, \color{blue}\blacksquare : B\} \cup s}{\{\color{blue}\blacksquare : A \supset B\} \cup s}$$

$\tilde{\supset}$	$\{\color{red}\blacksquare\}$	$\{\color{blue}\blacksquare\}$
$\{\color{red}\blacksquare\}$	$\{\color{blue}\blacksquare\}$	$\{\color{blue}\blacksquare\}$
$\{\color{blue}\blacksquare\}$	$\{\color{red}\blacksquare\}$	$\{\color{blue}\blacksquare\}$

A legal valuation should respect the table:
 $v(\diamond(A_1, \dots, A_n)) = \tilde{\diamond}(v(A_1), \dots, v(A_n))$

Non-determinism

Non-determinism

- Non truth-functional connectives,
e.g. primal implication [Gurevich, Neeman 2009]:

$$\mathcal{T}_G = \{\{\blacksquare\}, \{\blacktriangle\}\}$$
$$\frac{\{\blacktriangle : A\} \cup s \quad \{\blacksquare : B\} \cup s}{\{\blacksquare : A \supset B\} \cup s}$$
$$\frac{\{\blacktriangle : B\} \cup s}{\{\blacktriangle : A \supset B\} \cup s}$$

How to determine $\tilde{\supset}(\{\blacksquare\}, \{\blacktriangle\})$?

Non-determinism

- More than one option satisfies the conclusion, e.g.

$$\mathcal{T}_G = \{\{\blacksquare\}, \{\blacksquare\}, \{\blacksquare, \blacksquare\}\}$$
$$\frac{\{\blacksquare : A\} \cup s \quad \{\blacksquare : B\} \cup s}{\{\blacksquare : A \supset B\} \cup s}$$
$$\frac{\{\blacksquare : A, \blacksquare : B\} \cup s}{\{\blacksquare : A \supset B\} \cup s}$$

How to determine $\tilde{\supset}(\{\blacksquare\}, \{\blacksquare\})$?

Non-determinism

- More than one option satisfies the conclusion, e.g.

$$\mathcal{T}_G = \{\{\blacksquare\}, \{\blacksquare\}, \{\blacksquare, \blacksquare\}\}$$
$$\frac{\{\blacksquare : A\} \cup s \quad \{\blacksquare : B\} \cup s}{\{\blacksquare : A \supset B\} \cup s}$$
$$\frac{\{\blacksquare : A, \blacksquare : B\} \cup s}{\{\blacksquare : A \supset B\} \cup s}$$

How to determine $\tilde{\supset}(\{\blacksquare\}, \{\blacksquare\})$?

Non-deterministic Truth-Tables [Avron, Lev 2001]

A table of an n -ary connective \diamond is a function $\tilde{\diamond} : \mathcal{T}^n \rightarrow P^+(\mathcal{T})$.

A legal valuation satisfies: $v(\diamond(A_1, \dots, A_n)) \in \tilde{\diamond}(v(A_1), \dots, v(A_n))$

Example: Construction of a Non-deterministic Truth-Table

$\mathcal{C} = \{\text{red}, \text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}$ $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{G}} = \{\emptyset, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$ \circ is a binary connective

Example: Construction of a Non-deterministic Truth-Table

$\mathcal{C} = \{\text{red}, \text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}$ $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{G}} = \{\emptyset, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$ \circ is a binary connective

$\tilde{\circ}$	\emptyset	$\{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}$	$\{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}$
\emptyset	$\{\emptyset, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$	$\{\emptyset, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$	$\{\emptyset, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$
$\{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}$	$\{\emptyset, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$	$\{\emptyset, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$	$\{\emptyset, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$
$\{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}$	$\{\emptyset, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$	$\{\emptyset, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$	$\{\emptyset, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$

Example: Construction of a Non-deterministic Truth-Table

$$\mathcal{C} = \{\text{red}, \text{blue}, \text{yellow}\} \quad \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{G}} = \{\emptyset, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\} \quad \circ \text{ is a binary connective}$$

$$\frac{\{\text{red} : A\} \cup s \quad \{\text{red} : B\} \cup s}{\{\text{red} : A \circ B\} \cup s}$$

$\tilde{\circ}$	\emptyset	$\{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}$	$\{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}$
\emptyset	$\{\emptyset, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$	$\{\emptyset, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$	$\{\emptyset, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$
$\{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}$	$\{\emptyset, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$	$\{\emptyset, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$	$\{\emptyset, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$
$\{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}$	$\{\emptyset, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$	$\{\emptyset, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$	$\{\emptyset, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$

Example: Construction of a Non-deterministic Truth-Table

$$\mathcal{C} = \{\color{red}\blacksquare, \color{blue}\blacksquare, \color{yellow}\blacksquare\} \quad \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{G}} = \{\emptyset, \{\color{red}\blacksquare, \color{blue}\blacksquare\}, \{\color{blue}\blacksquare, \color{yellow}\blacksquare\}\} \quad \circ \text{ is a binary connective}$$

$$\frac{\{\color{red}\blacksquare : A\} \cup s \quad \{\color{red}\blacksquare : B\} \cup s}{\{\color{red}\blacksquare : A \circ B\} \cup s}$$

$\tilde{\circ}$	\emptyset	$\{\color{red}\blacksquare, \color{blue}\blacksquare\}$	$\{\color{blue}\blacksquare, \color{yellow}\blacksquare\}$
\emptyset	$\{\emptyset, \{\color{red}\blacksquare, \color{blue}\blacksquare\}, \{\color{blue}\blacksquare, \color{yellow}\blacksquare\}\}$	$\{\emptyset, \{\color{red}\blacksquare, \color{blue}\blacksquare\}, \{\color{blue}\blacksquare, \color{yellow}\blacksquare\}\}$	$\{\emptyset, \{\color{red}\blacksquare, \color{blue}\blacksquare\}, \{\color{blue}\blacksquare, \color{yellow}\blacksquare\}\}$
$\{\color{red}\blacksquare, \color{blue}\blacksquare\}$	$\{\emptyset, \{\color{red}\blacksquare, \color{blue}\blacksquare\}, \{\color{blue}\blacksquare, \color{yellow}\blacksquare\}\}$	$\{\color{red}\blacksquare, \color{blue}\blacksquare\}, \{\color{red}\blacksquare, \color{blue}\blacksquare\}, \{\color{blue}\blacksquare, \color{yellow}\blacksquare\}$	$\{\emptyset, \{\color{red}\blacksquare, \color{blue}\blacksquare\}, \{\color{blue}\blacksquare, \color{yellow}\blacksquare\}\}$
$\{\color{blue}\blacksquare, \color{yellow}\blacksquare\}$	$\{\emptyset, \{\color{red}\blacksquare, \color{blue}\blacksquare\}, \{\color{blue}\blacksquare, \color{yellow}\blacksquare\}\}$	$\{\emptyset, \{\color{red}\blacksquare, \color{blue}\blacksquare\}, \{\color{blue}\blacksquare, \color{yellow}\blacksquare\}\}$	$\{\emptyset, \{\color{red}\blacksquare, \color{blue}\blacksquare\}, \{\color{blue}\blacksquare, \color{yellow}\blacksquare\}\}$

Example: Construction of a Non-deterministic Truth-Table

$$\mathcal{C} = \{\color{red}\blacksquare, \color{blue}\blacksquare, \color{yellow}\blacksquare\} \quad \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{G}} = \{\emptyset, \{\color{red}\blacksquare, \color{blue}\blacksquare\}, \{\color{blue}\blacksquare, \color{yellow}\blacksquare\}\} \quad \circ \text{ is a binary connective}$$

$$\frac{\{\color{red}\blacksquare : A\} \cup s \quad \{\color{red}\blacksquare : B\} \cup s}{\{\color{red}\blacksquare : A \circ B\} \cup s} \quad \frac{\{\color{red}\blacksquare : A\} \cup s \quad \{\color{yellow}\blacksquare : B\} \cup s}{\{\color{red}\blacksquare : A \circ B, \color{blue}\blacksquare : A \circ B\} \cup s}$$

$\tilde{\circ}$	\emptyset	$\{\color{red}\blacksquare, \color{blue}\blacksquare\}$	$\{\color{blue}\blacksquare, \color{yellow}\blacksquare\}$
\emptyset	$\{\emptyset, \{\color{red}\blacksquare, \color{blue}\blacksquare\}, \{\color{blue}\blacksquare, \color{yellow}\blacksquare\}\}$	$\{\emptyset, \{\color{red}\blacksquare, \color{blue}\blacksquare\}, \{\color{blue}\blacksquare, \color{yellow}\blacksquare\}\}$	$\{\emptyset, \{\color{red}\blacksquare, \color{blue}\blacksquare\}, \{\color{blue}\blacksquare, \color{yellow}\blacksquare\}\}$
$\{\color{red}\blacksquare, \color{blue}\blacksquare\}$	$\{\emptyset, \{\color{red}\blacksquare, \color{blue}\blacksquare\}, \{\color{blue}\blacksquare, \color{yellow}\blacksquare\}\}$	$\{\color{red}\blacksquare, \color{blue}\blacksquare\}$, $\{\color{red}\blacksquare, \color{blue}\blacksquare\}$, $\{\color{blue}\blacksquare, \color{yellow}\blacksquare\}$	$\{\emptyset, \{\color{red}\blacksquare, \color{blue}\blacksquare\}, \{\color{blue}\blacksquare, \color{yellow}\blacksquare\}\}$
$\{\color{blue}\blacksquare, \color{yellow}\blacksquare\}$	$\{\emptyset, \{\color{red}\blacksquare, \color{blue}\blacksquare\}, \{\color{blue}\blacksquare, \color{yellow}\blacksquare\}\}$	$\{\emptyset, \{\color{red}\blacksquare, \color{blue}\blacksquare\}, \{\color{blue}\blacksquare, \color{yellow}\blacksquare\}\}$	$\{\emptyset, \{\color{red}\blacksquare, \color{blue}\blacksquare\}, \{\color{blue}\blacksquare, \color{yellow}\blacksquare\}\}$

Example: Construction of a Non-deterministic Truth-Table

$$\mathcal{C} = \{\text{red}, \text{blue}, \text{yellow}\} \quad \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{G}} = \{\emptyset, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\} \quad \circ \text{ is a binary connective}$$

$$\frac{\{\text{red} : A\} \cup s \quad \{\text{red} : B\} \cup s}{\{\text{red} : A \circ B\} \cup s} \quad \frac{\{\text{red} : A\} \cup s \quad \{\text{yellow} : B\} \cup s}{\{\text{red} : A \circ B, \text{blue} : A \circ B\} \cup s}$$

$\tilde{\circ}$	\emptyset	$\{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}$	$\{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}$
\emptyset	$\{\emptyset, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$	$\{\emptyset, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$	$\{\emptyset, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$
$\{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}$	$\{\emptyset, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$	$\{\emptyset, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$	$\{\emptyset, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$
$\{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}$	$\{\emptyset, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$	$\{\emptyset, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$	$\{\emptyset, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$

Example: Construction of a Non-deterministic Truth-Table

$$\mathcal{C} = \{\text{red}, \text{blue}, \text{yellow}\} \quad \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{G}} = \{\emptyset, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\} \quad \circ \text{ is a binary connective}$$

$$\frac{\{\text{red} : A\} \cup s \quad \{\text{red} : B\} \cup s}{\{\text{red} : A \circ B\} \cup s} \quad \frac{\{\text{red} : A\} \cup s \quad \{\text{yellow} : B\} \cup s}{\{\text{red} : A \circ B, \text{blue} : A \circ B\} \cup s} \quad \frac{\{\text{blue} : A, \text{yellow} : B\} \cup s}{\{\text{blue} : A \circ B\} \cup s}$$

$\tilde{\circ}$	\emptyset	$\{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}$	$\{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}$
\emptyset	$\{\emptyset, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$	$\{\emptyset, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$	$\{\emptyset, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$
$\{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}$	$\{\emptyset, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$	$\{\emptyset, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$	$\{\emptyset, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$
$\{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}$	$\{\emptyset, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$	$\{\emptyset, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$	$\{\emptyset, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$

Example: Construction of a Non-deterministic Truth-Table

$\mathcal{C} = \{\text{red}, \text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}$ $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{G}} = \{\emptyset, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$ \circ is a binary connective

$$\frac{\{\text{red} : A\} \cup s \quad \{\text{red} : B\} \cup s}{\{\text{red} : A \circ B\} \cup s} \quad \frac{\{\text{red} : A\} \cup s \quad \{\text{yellow} : B\} \cup s}{\{\text{red} : A \circ B, \text{blue} : A \circ B\} \cup s} \quad \frac{\{\text{blue} : A, \text{yellow} : B\} \cup s}{\{\text{blue} : A \circ B\} \cup s}$$

$\tilde{\circ}$	\emptyset	$\{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}$	$\{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}$
\emptyset	$\{\emptyset, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$	$\{\emptyset, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$	$\{\emptyset, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$
$\{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}$	$\{\emptyset, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$	$\{\text{red}, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$	$\{\emptyset, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$
$\{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}$	$\{\emptyset, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$	$\{\emptyset, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$	$\{\emptyset, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$

Example: Construction of a Non-deterministic Truth-Table

$\mathcal{C} = \{\text{red}, \text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}$ $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{G}} = \{\emptyset, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$ \circ is a binary connective

$$\frac{\{\text{red} : A\} \cup s \quad \{\text{red} : B\} \cup s}{\{\text{red} : A \circ B\} \cup s} \quad \frac{\{\text{red} : A\} \cup s \quad \{\text{yellow} : B\} \cup s}{\{\text{red} : A \circ B, \text{blue} : A \circ B\} \cup s} \quad \frac{\{\text{blue} : A, \text{yellow} : B\} \cup s}{\{\text{blue} : A \circ B\} \cup s}$$

$\tilde{\circ}$	\emptyset	$\{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}$	$\{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}$
\emptyset	$\{\emptyset, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$	$\{\emptyset, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$	$\{\emptyset, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$
$\{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}$	$\{\emptyset, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$	$\{\emptyset, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$	$\{\emptyset, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$
$\{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}$	$\{\emptyset, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$	$\{\emptyset, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$	$\{\emptyset, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$

$\tilde{\circ}$	\emptyset	$\{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}$	$\{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}$
\emptyset	$\{\emptyset, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$	$\{\emptyset, \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$	$\{\{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$
$\{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}$	$\{\{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$	$\{\{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}\}$	$\{\{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$
$\{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}$	$\{\{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$	$\{\{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$	$\{\{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}, \{\text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}\}$

What Can Go Wrong?

What Can Go Wrong?

- Contradictions between rules, e.g.

$$\mathcal{T}_G = \{\{\blacksquare\}, \{\blacksquare\}\} \quad \frac{\{\blacksquare : B\} \cup s}{\{\blacksquare : A \diamond B\} \cup s} \quad \frac{\{\blacksquare : A\} \cup s}{\{\blacksquare : A \diamond B\} \cup s}$$

How to determine $\delta(\{\blacksquare\}, \{\blacksquare\})$?

What Can Go Wrong?

- Contradictions between rules, e.g.

$$\mathcal{T}_G = \{\{\blacksquare\}, \{\blacktriangle\}\} \quad \frac{\{\blacksquare : B\} \cup s}{\{\blacksquare : A \diamond B\} \cup s} \quad \frac{\{\blacktriangle : A\} \cup s}{\{\blacktriangle : A \diamond B\} \cup s}$$

How to determine $\tilde{\diamond}(\{\blacktriangle\}, \{\blacksquare\})$?

$\tilde{\diamond}$	$\{\blacksquare\}$	$\{\blacktriangle\}$
$\{\blacksquare\}$	$\{\{\blacksquare\}\}$	$\{\{\blacksquare\}, \{\blacktriangle\}\}$
$\{\blacktriangle\}$	\emptyset	$\{\{\blacktriangle\}\}$

$\{\blacktriangle\}$ and $\{\blacksquare\}$ cannot be used by the same valuation.

What Can Go Wrong?

- Contradictions between rules, e.g.

$$\mathcal{T}_G = \{\{\color{red}\blacksquare\}, \{\color{blue}\blacksquare\}\} \quad \frac{\{\color{red}\blacksquare : B\} \cup s}{\{\color{red}\blacksquare : A \diamond B\} \cup s} \quad \frac{\{\color{blue}\blacksquare : A\} \cup s}{\{\color{blue}\blacksquare : A \diamond B\} \cup s}$$

How to determine $\tilde{\diamond}(\{\color{blue}\blacksquare\}, \{\color{red}\blacksquare\})$?

$\tilde{\diamond}$	$\{\color{red}\blacksquare\}$	$\{\color{blue}\blacksquare\}$
$\{\color{red}\blacksquare\}$	$\{\{\color{red}\blacksquare\}\}$	$\{\{\color{red}\blacksquare\}, \{\color{blue}\blacksquare\}\}$
$\{\color{blue}\blacksquare\}$	\emptyset	$\{\{\color{blue}\blacksquare\}\}$

$\{\color{blue}\blacksquare\}$ and $\{\color{red}\blacksquare\}$ cannot be used by the same valuation.

Partial Non-deterministic Truth-Tables

Allow empty entries: $\tilde{\diamond} : \mathcal{T}^n \rightarrow P(\mathcal{T})$.

The Semantic Framework

Partial Non-deterministic Matrices

A PNmatrix \mathbf{M} for \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{C} consists of:

- A set \mathcal{T} of **truth-values**.
- A function $\mathcal{D} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow P(\mathcal{T})$ assigning a set of **designated truth-values** for every label.
- A **partial non-deterministic truth-table** $\tilde{\diamond} : \mathcal{T}^n \rightarrow P(\mathcal{T})$ for every n -ary connective of \mathcal{L} .

A valuation $v : Frm_{\mathcal{L}} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ is:

- a **model** (in \mathbf{M}) of a sequent s if $v(A) \in \mathcal{D}(\Box)$ for some $\Box : A$ in s .
- **M-legal** if $v(\diamond(A_1, \dots, A_n)) \in \tilde{\diamond}(v(A_1), \dots, v(A_n))$ for every $\diamond(A_1, \dots, A_n) \in Frm_{\mathcal{L}}$.

Main Result

Theorem

For every canonical labelled calculus \mathbf{G} , there exists a *strongly characteristic PNmatrix* $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{G}}$ (i.e. $\Omega \vdash_{\mathbf{G}} s$ iff every $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{G}}$ -legal valuation which is a model of every sequent in Ω is also a model of s).

Moreover, we provide a uniform *algorithm* to obtain $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{G}}$ from \mathbf{G} .

Main Result

Theorem

For every canonical labelled calculus \mathbf{G} , there exists a *strongly characteristic PNmatrix* $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{G}}$ (i.e. $\Omega \vdash_{\mathbf{G}} s$ iff every $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{G}}$ -legal valuation which is a model of every sequent in Ω is also a model of s).

Moreover, we provide a uniform *algorithm* to obtain $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{G}}$ from \mathbf{G} .

In many cases, the obtained semantics coincides with a known one:

- Propositional fragment of **LK**
- **LK** without cut [Girard 1987]
- **LK** without identity axiom [Hösli, Jäger 1994]
- Two-sided canonical systems [Avron, Lev 2001]
- Labelled calculi studied in [Baaz et al. 1998] and [Avron, Zamansky 2009]

Effectiveness

Theorem

Semantic consequence relations induced by PNmatrices are decidable.

Corollary

All canonical labelled calculi are decidable.

Effectiveness

Theorem

Semantic consequence relations induced by PNmatrices are decidable.

Proof Outline.

- **Usual method:** To decide whether s is valid in \mathbf{M} , check one-by-one all \mathbf{M} -legal **partial** valuations defined on the subformulas of s , and look for one which is not a model of s .
- **Hidden assumption:** All \mathbf{M} -legal partial valuations can be extended to full ones (**semantic analyticity**).
But, it does not hold for PNmatrices (recall $\tilde{\delta}(\{\blacksquare\}, \{\blacksquare\}) = \emptyset$!).
- **Lemma:** It is decidable whether an \mathbf{M} -legal partial valuation can be extended to a full one.
- **Solution:** Check one-by-one all \mathbf{M} -legal partial valuations defined on the subformulas of s , and look for one which is both **extendable** and not a model of s .

Application - “Almost”-Canonical Calculi

Consider the following **non**-canonical calculus for the basic *LFI* called **BK**:

$$(\wedge \Rightarrow) \frac{\Gamma, A, B \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, A \wedge B \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

$$(\Rightarrow \wedge) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, A \quad \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, B}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, A \wedge B}$$

$$(\vee \Rightarrow) \frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow \Delta \quad \Gamma, B \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, A \vee B \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

$$(\Rightarrow \vee) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, A, B}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, A \vee B}$$

$$(\supset \Rightarrow) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A, \Delta \quad \Gamma, B \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, A \supset B \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

$$(\Rightarrow \supset) \frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow B, \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \supset B, \Delta}$$

$$(\Rightarrow \neg) \frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \neg A}$$

$$(\circ \Rightarrow) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A, \Delta \quad \Gamma \Rightarrow \neg A, \Delta}{\Gamma, \circ A \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

$$(\Rightarrow \circ) \frac{\Gamma, A, \neg A \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \circ A, \Delta}$$

$$(cut) \frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow \Delta \quad \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, A}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

$$(id) \frac{}{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow \Delta, A}$$

$$(weak) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, \Gamma' \Rightarrow \Delta, \Delta'}$$

Application - “Almost”-Canonical Calculi

$$\begin{array}{l} (\blacksquare : \wedge) \quad \frac{\{\blacksquare : A, \blacksquare : B\} \cup s}{\{\blacksquare : A \wedge B\} \cup s} \\ (\blacksquare : \vee) \quad \frac{\{\blacksquare : A\} \cup s \quad \{\blacksquare : B\} \cup s}{\{\blacksquare : A \vee B\} \cup s} \\ (\blacksquare : \supset) \quad \frac{\{\blacksquare : A\} \cup s \quad \{\blacksquare : B\} \cup s}{\{\blacksquare : A \supset B\} \cup s} \\ (\blacksquare : \circ) \quad \frac{\{\blacksquare : A\} \cup s \quad \{\blacksquare : \neg A\} \cup s}{\{\blacksquare : \circ A\} \cup s} \\ (cut) \quad \frac{\{\blacksquare : A\} \cup s \quad \{\blacksquare : A\} \cup s}{s} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} (\blacksquare : \wedge) \quad \frac{\{\blacksquare : A\} \cup s \quad \{\blacksquare : B\} \cup s}{\{\blacksquare : A \wedge B\} \cup s} \\ (\blacksquare : \vee) \quad \frac{\{\blacksquare : A, B\} \cup s}{\{\blacksquare : A \vee B\} \cup s} \\ (\blacksquare : \supset) \quad \frac{\{\blacksquare : A, \blacksquare : B\} \cup s}{\{\blacksquare : A \supset B\} \cup s} \\ (\blacksquare : \neg) \quad \frac{\{\blacksquare : A\} \cup s}{\{\blacksquare : \neg A\} \cup s} \\ (\blacksquare : \circ) \quad \frac{\{\blacksquare : A, \blacksquare : \neg A\} \cup s}{\{\blacksquare : \circ A\} \cup s} \\ (id) \quad \frac{}{\{\blacksquare : A, \blacksquare : A\} \cup s} \quad (weak) \quad \frac{s}{s \cup s'} \end{array}$$

Translation into a Canonical Labelled Calculus

- Add two labels: \blacksquare_{\neg} and \blacksquare_{\neg} .
- Replace the logical rules:

$$\frac{\{\blacksquare : A\} \cup s}{\{\blacksquare_{\neg} : \neg A\} \cup s} \quad \frac{\{\blacksquare : A\} \cup s \quad \{\blacksquare : \neg A\} \cup s}{\{\blacksquare : \circ A\} \cup s} \quad \frac{\{\blacksquare : A, \blacksquare : \neg A\} \cup s}{\{\blacksquare : \circ A\} \cup s}$$

by the rules:

$$\frac{\{\blacksquare : A\} \cup s}{\{\blacksquare_{\neg} : A\} \cup s} \quad \frac{\{\blacksquare : A\} \cup s \quad \{\blacksquare_{\neg} : A\} \cup s}{\{\blacksquare : \circ A\} \cup s} \quad \frac{\{\blacksquare : A, \blacksquare_{\neg} : A\} \cup s}{\{\blacksquare : \circ A\} \cup s}$$

- Add cut and axiom:

$$\frac{\{\blacksquare_{\neg} : A\} \cup s \quad \{\blacksquare_{\neg} : A\} \cup s}{s} \quad \frac{}{\{\blacksquare_{\neg} : A, \blacksquare_{\neg} : A\} \cup s}$$

- Add extra logical rules:

$$\frac{\{\blacksquare_{\neg} : A\} \cup s}{\{\blacksquare : \neg A\} \cup s} \quad \frac{\{\blacksquare_{\neg} : A\} \cup s}{\{\blacksquare : \neg A\} \cup s}$$

Translation into Canonical Labelled Calculi

- Now, we can use the previous method to obtain a **PNmatrix** for this calculus, and use it in a **decision procedure**.
- This translation is possible for every canonical calculus with additional logical rules of the form:

$$\frac{\Gamma, \Pi_1 \Rightarrow \Sigma_1, \Delta \quad \dots \quad \Gamma, \Pi_m \Rightarrow \Sigma_m, \Delta}{\text{conc}} \diamond$$

where:

- **conc** has one of the following forms (for some n -ary connective \diamond):
 - $\Gamma, \diamond(A_1, \dots, A_n) \Rightarrow \Delta$
 - $\Gamma \Rightarrow \diamond(A_1, \dots, A_n), \Delta$
 - $\Gamma, \star \diamond(A_1, \dots, A_n) \Rightarrow \Delta$ for some unary connective \star
 - $\Gamma \Rightarrow \star \diamond(A_1, \dots, A_n), \Delta$ for some unary connective \star .
- Π 's and Σ 's consist of A_i 's and formulas of the form $\star A_i$ for some unary connective \star .

Cut-Admissibility in Canonical Labelled Calculi

A *cut* is a primitive rule of the form:

$$\frac{\{\square : A, \dots, \square : A\} \cup s \quad \dots \quad \{\square : A, \dots, \square : A\} \cup s}{s}$$

Cut-Admissibility in Canonical Labelled Calculi

A *cut* is a primitive rule of the form:

$$\frac{\{\square : A, \dots, \square : A\} \cup s \quad \dots \quad \{\square : A, \dots, \square : A\} \cup s}{s}$$

$$\frac{\{\color{red}\blacksquare : A\} \cup s \quad \{\color{blue}\blacksquare : A\} \cup s}{s}$$

$$\frac{\{\color{red}\blacksquare : A, \color{blue}\blacksquare : A\} \cup s \quad \{\color{yellow}\blacksquare : A\} \cup s \quad \{\color{green}\blacksquare : A\} \cup s}{s}$$

- A is called the *cut-formula*.
- s is called the *cut-context*.

Cut-Admissibility in Canonical Labelled Calculi

A *cut* is a primitive rule of the form:

$$\frac{\{\square : A, \dots, \square : A\} \cup s \quad \dots \quad \{\square : A, \dots, \square : A\} \cup s}{s}$$

Many-Sided Strong Cut-Admissibility

$\Omega \vdash_{\mathbf{G}} s \implies$ there is a derivation of s from Ω in \mathbf{G} in which: the cut-formula of each cut occurs either in Ω or in the cut-context.

Cut-Admissibility in Canonical Labelled Calculi

A *cut* is a primitive rule of the form:

$$\frac{\{\square : A, \dots, \square : A\} \cup s \quad \dots \quad \{\square : A, \dots, \square : A\} \cup s}{s}$$

Many-Sided Strong Cut-Admissibility

$\Omega \vdash_{\mathbf{G}} s \implies$ there is a derivation of s from Ω in \mathbf{G} in which: the cut-formula of each cut occurs either in Ω or in the cut-context.

Theorem

A canonical labelled calculus \mathbf{G} enjoys many-sided strong cut-admissibility iff

$\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{G}}$ does not include empty entries

Summary

- We provided **effective** and **modular** semantic characterization for canonical labelled sequent calculi using **partial non-deterministic matrices**.
- Application: effective semantics for “**almost**”-**canonical** calculi via translation to **canonical labelled** calculi.
- Application: **semantic characterization** of proof-theoretic properties.

Thank you!